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Dedication

To my family,
those I love,
who teach me
to yearn for those
without whom heaven
would not be perfect.
About the Covers

The front cover features an altered copy of the 1842 portrait of Joseph Smith, the founding prophet of Mormonism.

The 1842 portrait, now owned by the Community of Christ, is apparently the only full face image created of Joseph Smith during his lifetime.

Decades after Joseph’s death, copies of the portrait were produced by Herald Publishing House. Daguerreotypes were made from these copies. A daguerreotype of one painted copy is now in the possession of the Library of Congress. The daguerreotype is often mischaracterized as a photo of Joseph.

Joseph reportedly did not feel the painting was an accurate likeness. Modern comparison of the painting with Joseph’s death mask and skull shows that the portrait departed significantly from the bone structure of Joseph's face. Profile portraits painted by Sutcliffe Maudsley show Joseph to have been a dignified but portly individual. Maudsley's profiles happen to correspond closely with the profile of the death mask.

I was given an image of a painted copy that had been cherished since the late 1800s. The painting shows signs of wear, and the chin and nose were rather petite. But the face was more kindly than the original painting.

For the cover, I modified the digital copy to better match the dimensions of the death mask and skull. I also took the liberty of reflecting that Joseph was a healthy eater, as seen in the Sutcliffe Maudsley profiles.

The back cover features a detail from Martyrdom of Joseph and Hiram Smith in Carthage jail, June 27th, 1844, painted by G.W. Fasel; lithograph by Charles G. Crehore; print by Nagel & Weingaertner, N.Y., c1851. The digital copy was obtained from the Library of Congress Prints & Photographs Online Catalog, reproduction number LC-DIG-pga-02259. The Fasel painting attempted to depict the craven fiend mentioned in Lyman O. Littlefield’s corruption of William Daniels’s account of the killing of Joseph Smith.
Advance Praise for Reluctant Polygamist

For an unexpected look at the secrets lurking around Nauvoo in the days of Joseph Smith, I highly recommend the Reluctant Polygamist as a very good place to start. Meg Stout has provided us the opportunity to see Joseph in a new light. — Gerald A. Smith, historian, blogger

Praise for Meg Stout’s Faithful Joseph series
at millennialstar.org, Dec ‘13 – Aug ‘14

[Meg Stout] surprised me when she mentioned that her studies had suggested to her the possibility that Joseph Smith rarely consummated his plural marriages — with even a chance that maybe he consummated none of them. Now of course this view easily falls into the ‘too good to be true’ category, so I politely asked her a few more questions out of curiosity but also to gently challenge her.

She promptly proceeded to bury me.

As I stood gasping for air and trying to spit factoids out of my teeth, I realized that this wasn’t just someone that was naively choosing to see what she wanted to see by ignoring all inconvenient facts. Meg was clearly someone that had done her homework and knew what she was talking about.

— Bruce Nielson, Dec 16, 2013

Meg deserves more praise than could ever be given for her faithful Joseph series... Her inclusion of John C. Bennett’s participation in the history of Nauvoo was one of the most original yet seemingly obvious and logical aspects of her series... How other scholars glossed over this despite the overwhelming evidence presented by Meg is likely one of the tragedies of how the traditional narratives have been developed. — Jess W.

Thank you! Your posts continue to provide an astounding amount of information. — John S.

Meg, thank you for some basic common sense on this... — Geoff B.

A tad more complicated than the 'history' we usually hear about, but very informative and useful. — John H.
Thank you Meg Stout for putting together such an interesting, plausible, and faithful reconstruction of events! — Tom D

I stayed up all night reading your faithful Joseph posts… really great stuff. I don’t know how to say this. It’s like watching Ancient Aliens on the history channel or a 9/11 conspiracy documentary, but not silly. — Sam C.

I have personally found [Meg’s] narrative to be the *only* one that actually makes any kind of sense from a faithful perspective, tying up all sorts of loose ends. — Jeff C.

Your ability to gather facts and interpret them in a way that feels genuine to who I believe Joseph (and the others mentioned) to really be is invaluable. So. Invaluable. This feels more complete than other pieces I’ve found; more transparent, and, as mentioned, I think does a better job filling in the information gaps with guesswork that is both natural and intuitive. — Mickelle

Just finished your series at Millennial Star and wanted to say how much I appreciate the mental effort that went into your thoughtful examination of LDS polygamy. I’m one of those who struggled for years with the cognitive dissonance of keeping a picture of the Joseph who had the first vision and translated the Book of Mormon alongside a picture of the Joseph who took 27 wives in my head… I will be one of the first in line to buy the book, which I believe will be a landmark in Mormon literature. — Michael K.

Your series has opened my eyes to possibilities that I had never considered and which, in light of everything, make perfect sense. So count me among those who finds the study of this history as being full of secret honor and selfless sacrifice. I love that quote. So thank you and know how much I look forward to each post in this series. — Joey K.

[Meg’s] analysis of the cultural setting in which polygamy was introduced and the details of the life of her polygamous ancestor add several new dimensions to our understanding of polygamy… — Jeff L.
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Conventions and Terminology

Evidence. If the source of a tale claimed first-hand knowledge, the term “reportedly” is used, so long as there is a credible provenance for the report. The term “allegedly” will be used to denote times when someone is describing events about which they either lack first-hand knowledge, their description is not credible, or the provenance of the tale is suspicious.

Joseph’s revelations are often expressed as the words of Jesus Christ, the Lord. There is no indication he believed himself to be a fraud, nor do his followers believe he was a fraud. Though non-believers would cast these revelations as being not credible, I will report his words as he wrote them, without inserting qualifiers such as “allegedly.”

Women’s Names. There are many individuals involved in this history. Many of the women were married to multiple men over the course of their life. The typical convention is to refer to a woman as First (Maiden) Married. However nicknames are also sometimes put in parentheses. In this book women are identified by their maiden names, following standard genealogical practice. For married women, surnames of husbands are in brackets. For example, Joseph’s wife, Emma, is called Emma Hale [Smith].

Quotes. Quotations will contain the original spelling present in the source of the quotation. Elisions are used for brevity.

Polygamy Terminology. Eternal marriage, where a man and his wife or wives would be together in heaven, was variously called Celestial Marriage, plurality of wives, plural marriage, and sealing. Only after the Nauvoo era would eternal marriages involving more than one wife be referred to as polygamy.

Sexual intercourse without benefit of marriage was termed spiritual wifery, illicit intercourse, adultery, and polygamy.

During the Nauvoo era, Joseph Smith, Emma Hale [Smith], and others understood polygamy to be illicit and promiscuous sex. Emma Hale [Smith] allegedly said spiritual wifery came straight from hell. Yet she also reportedly participated in ceremonies uniting her husband to other women in Celestial marriage. Joseph, Emma, and others likely did not see themselves as practicing polygamy. Rather they were sealing themselves and others together in Celestial marriages.

Preface

The subject of Joseph’s covenants with women is not a topic casually broached in faithful Mormon circles, even among those who are aware of the origin of the Mormon practice of plural marriage. Correlated lesson materials tend to minimize discussion of important historical points relating to plural marriage in order to avoid distracting from discussion of core gospel truths.

Unfortunately, this has led to polarized versions of early Mormon history. One is the sanitized hagiography familiar to modern Mormons, featuring a Joseph portrayed as monogamously devoted to his beloved Emma. The other is the bawdy tale accepted by non-Mormons and some Mormons, where Joseph deceived Emma and his followers while slaking his sexual appetite on dozens of women.

Joseph covenanted with dozens of women, based on the affidavits these women signed in the late 1860s and 1870s. Those familiar with the affidavits have presumed Joseph was married to these women, with all the conjugal privileges marriage implies. Thus knowledgeable scholars have presumed that Joseph had sex with the women he covenanted with unless the relationship was explicitly described as only for “eternity.”

Importantly, no one has both embraced the information regarding Joseph’s covenants with women yet suggested that many or all those covenant relationships might have been celibate. It has simply been an unexplored possibility. If it is a false possibility, it should be easy to dismiss.

Nightfall at Nauvoo

I was fourteen when I first came face to face with unpleasant possibilities regarding the life of Joseph Smith. My mother had just finished reading Nightfall at Nauvoo, then a newly-released novel written by her uncle, Samuel W. Taylor.

Mother put the thick paperback down and cocked her head. “I think Sam presents an overall positive view of Joseph Smith,” she said.

Presuming Sam’s book was therefore “safe,” I began reading. I was a child who was shocked to hear detractors had called Joseph Smith “Joe.” I was completely unprepared to deal with the salacious accusations made by
John Bennett and Thomas Sharp, which Sam repeated in his book. My youthful belief in the validity of the Mormon faith was destroyed.

I white-knuckled for two decades harboring serious doubts about Joseph Smith and the Church. Even so, I went on to graduate from Seminary, earn the Young Womanhood in Recognition Award, be a Relief Society President, serve a mission, and marry in the temple.

In 1999 I realized that the God at the center of Joseph Smith’s theology was the God I had experienced in my life. But I still had no acceptable explanation for Joseph and polygamy.

Elvira Annie Cowles

In 2001 a friend asked me to present a 5-minute spotlight in Relief Society on a notable Mormon woman. As she rattled off the names on her list, I recognized the name of my ancestor, Elvira Annie Cowles. Elvira Annie was the treasurer for the first Relief Society when Emma Smith was Relief Society President. I remembered my mother telling me as a teenager that Elvira Annie had covenanted with Joseph Smith during his lifetime.

By 4 am the Sunday of the presentation, I had pieced together the fact that Elvira Annie Cowles was mother of the three sisters who married Job Welling and grandmother of two women who married rogue Apostle John Whitaker Taylor in 1901. As I sat looking at the short history I had assembled, I knew I had to write about these women. Yet I also feared writing about these women, certain that the story of Joseph’s plural marriages necessarily involved sexual relations that moderns would consider inappropriate.

In the years since 2001, I immersed myself in the history and documents related to early Nauvoo events. I initially despained of ever being able to write a serious history. So I attempted to tell the story in novel form. Revisions conducted with dozens of advance readers forced the story to take on a life of its own. One reader criticized my villain, Dr. Bennett, as one-dimensional. Another said I should tell the story from a male point of view to retain male readers. One non-Mormon man said the sexual tension between my heroine and Joseph Smith was uncomfortably intense, even though I did not portray them as sexually intimate. As I expanded and modified the story in response to these comments, I had to dig deeper into the history, delving beneath the facile understanding I had had of events and motivations. Causalities emerged to which I had previously been blind.

No Sex?

Some of my friends live without any form of birth control. I saw in their lives the typical pattern for most married couples in the 1800s. A child is born within the first year, and other children arrive every two years thereafter. Watching these friends, I realized something was wrong with Elvira Annie’s reproductive history.

Elvira Annie’s first child was born in October 1845, nearly three years after her public marriage to Jonathan Harriman Holmes and over a year after Joseph’s death. Elvira Annie continued to bear children regularly whenever Jonathan was around. For example, Elvira’s second daughter was born nine and a half months after Jonathan returned with his Mormon Battalion unit. Elvira Annie bore her final child when she was 43 years old.

Elvira Annie was fertile. Jonathan was virile. Joseph was also virile, producing children with Emma regularly. Yet Elvira Annie did not produce a child for years after the ceremonies I presumed would legitimize intimacies between Elvira Annie and either Joseph or Jonathan.

Around this time I came across Ugo Perego’s DNA research looking into possible offspring of Joseph Smith by women other than Emma Hale.1 Not a single suspected child of these other women has been proven to have been fathered by Joseph. None of the single women with whom Joseph covenanted appear to have conceived children prior to Joseph’s death.

Perhaps Joseph wanted to avoid engendering children with other women while enjoying sex. But if this were the case, there were few methods of birth control available to Joseph, and these were considered criminal.2 The rhythm method would not be generally understood until the 1930s.3 While lack of children does not prove lack of sex, it leaves lack of sex as a potential cause for the available data.

Modern belief in Joseph’s sexual activities with women other than Emma, therefore, is based on rumor and written reports, rather than objective evidence.4

There are three prominent views of Joseph Smith.

1. He was a practicing polygamist who was loved and honored by his followers. Many of his covenant wives so testified in seemingly unambiguous terms, including under oath in 1894.5
2. He was a monogamist who rejected polygamy. His wife, sons, and thousands of others supported this view. 6

3. He was an abusive philanderer. Two men who had served as Assistant Presidents of the Church made these accusations, as did numerous others of his contemporaries. 7, 8

To quote Joseph’s own words, “I often said to myself: What is to be done? Who of all these parties are right; or, are they all wrong together?” 9

Is there a way that each of these contradictory views might express a species of truth? What is the common truth that can explain these divergent viewpoints?

Modern Mormons do not talk of polygamy, do not preach of polygamy, and they most certainly do not rejoice in polygamy. And yet it is crucial that we understand our past, so that we and our loved ones may know which assertions regarding polygamy are true, and which are lies.

Meg Stout
Annandale, VA
April 6, 2016

3rd edition: added Joseph’s alleged children by other women, Appendix C
4th edition: added the hypothesis that Esther Dutcher [Smith] was the Esther Smith who testified before the Nauvoo High Council. Also added a table showing which of the women who covenanted with Joseph may have given birth as reported by George Albert Smith.
5th edition: added confirmation that Josephine Lyon was not the biological daughter of Joseph Smith.
6th edition: refined the analysis of how the heresy of illicit intercourse spread in Nauvoo during 1841 and why so little is documented.

Preface – Notes

There are widely divergent accounts of Joseph Smith, from righteous man second only to Christ (e.g., John Taylor, D&C 135) to evil villain second only to Lucifer (online commentary for just about any Mormon-themed news story).

Stout first encountered troublesome possibilities regarding Joseph Smith when she was a teen, reading Nightfall at Nauvoo. She stayed within the Mormon faith tradition, but harbored major doubts about Joseph Smith and the religion he had “restored.” Even after eventually coming to peace with Mormonism as a religion, Stout could not reconcile the stories of Joseph Smith’s polygamy with the loving God she knew.

In 2001 a friend requested Stout present a vignette on a famous Mormon woman. Selecting an ancestor, Stout realized that her own ancestry contained women at the very beginning and very end of Mormon polygamy. She felt she had to write about these women, but dreaded the task.

Over the years, Stout realized her ancestor who was Joseph Smith’s plural wife should have conceived before 1845 if she had been intimate with either her public husband or Joseph Smith. Around this time, Stout learned that DNA research has failed to confirm Joseph engendered any of the children born to women considered to be his plural wives.

As Joseph said, “I often said to myself: What is to be done? Who of all these parties are right; or, are they all wrong together?”

Considering the grossly different historical interpretations of Joseph’s life, Stout devoted herself to finding a common truth that could explain all the evidence. Appendix A contains a summary of tenets adhered to by conscientious historians and which Stout used in her efforts.
On the evening of March 31, 1844, Joseph Smith crouched in a skiff floating in the Mississippi river. Just upstream stood the large brick home of William Law, former Assistant President in the Church Joseph had founded. According to Joseph's informants, the brick home was filled to bursting with hundreds of men ready to swear an oath to kill.

Joseph's informants were two youths, Dennison Harris and Robert Scott. They had been invited to the first of the seditious meetings. Prompted by Dennison's uncle, Emer Harris, the two young men told Joseph Smith of the invitation. Joseph cautioned Emer to avoid the meetings, predicting the conspirators would kill Emer if they determined he was not a full sympathizer. Dennison and Robert, however, were young. They would not be scrutinized as thoroughly as the older men. Joseph asked that the young men attend the meeting, pay strict attention to what was said, make no commitments, and report the entire matter back to him.

In the first meeting the leaders denounced Joseph Smith. Joseph was head of the Mormon Church, Mayor of Nauvoo, Lieutenant General of the Nauvoo Legion, and recently announced candidate for the Presidency of the United States. Beyond the unusual concentration of power that resided in Joseph, there were stories that Joseph had secretly married several young women. Those assembled argued that Joseph must be overthrown. They proceeded to organize for the conspiracy.
By the end of the second meeting, the conspirators began to say that Joseph would have to be killed. Reporting this to Joseph, Robert and Dennison discussed what they should do about the third meeting.

If the young men failed to show up, it seems they feared the conspirators would kill them for what they had already heard. If they did attend, they would be pressed to be part of the planned murder. If they refused to agree with the planned murder, it was possible they would be killed.

Joseph hoped the conspirators would spare the young men because they were so young. But he counseled them: “Don’t flinch. If you have to die, die like men, you will be martyrs to the cause, and your crowns can be no greater. But I hardly think they will shed your blood.”

The evening of the third meeting, Joseph and a bodyguard waited nearby on the river in a skiff. Despite Joseph’s earlier assurances, he may well have been concerned the young men might be killed. If so, the conspirators would likely take the bodies to where the river ran close behind William Law’s large brick home. Joseph stood ready to rescue the young men if possible.

To Joseph’s relief, he eventually saw the two youths emerge and run down the river’s edge about a quarter mile. Joseph Smith joined the young men near the Red Brick Store, hidden from view by a board fence, orchard trees, and shrubbery. In the chilly warmth of that late March evening, Dennison and Robert recounted what had occurred.

As anticipated, the hundreds who attended the third meeting were asked to swear a solemn oath to destroy Joseph Smith. Robert and Dennison reported they had evaded the matter as long as possible. When they could delay no longer, they refused, saying Joseph had never harmed them, and they were unwilling to participate in killing him.

“If you do not take that oath, we will cut your throats,” one of the leaders said. Knives were drawn and musketscocked. The young men were forced to the cellar. Once more they were told to take the oath or die. They refused again. But before the fatal blows, someone cried out, “Hold on!” Though roughly two hundred men had sworn an oath to kill Joseph, at least one of them was not ready to kill these two young men. There were practical reasons to spare the young men. Violence might shatter the group’s murderous resolve. There would be bodies to dispose of. Dennison or Robert’s families might know enough to make accusations.

Though spared, Robert and Dennison were threatened with certain death if they revealed what had transpired in the meetings or who had participated. With that, they were escorted away from the Law home.

Robert and Dennison had suggested they could take a dip in the river to explain the delay getting home. With this, their guards had let them go.

Despite their promise to the conspirators, Robert and Dennison reported everything, including the names of leaders of the group and the fact that at least 200 men had signed the oath.

By the light of a waxing gibbous moon, Joseph reportedly whispered his response to the conspiracy and their accusations:

“They accuse me of polygamy and of being a false prophet. But I am no false prophet… I have had no revelations—I have got nothing up of myself.

“The same God who has thus far directed me and strengthened me in this work gave me this revelation and commandment on Celestial and plural marriage.

“This same God commanded me to obey it. He said unless I accepted it and introduced it and practiced it, I and my people would be damned and cut off from this time hence.

“There are those who say that if I do so, I will be killed. What am I to do?

“If I do not practice plural marriage, I shall be damned, along with my people.

“If I do teach it and urge it and practice it, they say I will be killed. And I know they are right.

“But we have got to observe it. It is an eternal principle. It is given by way of commandment, not merely by way of instruction.”

Before letting the young men go, Joseph counseled them not to speak of this to anyone, not even their own fathers, for 20 years or more. Dennison
Harris would keep the secret regarding the conspiracy for decades. There is no record suggesting Robert Scott ever told the tale.

In three months Joseph would be dead, shot by a mob of over one hundred men, many with blackened faces to hide their identities. Yet those who picked up the banner of leadership from Joseph would continue undeterred. Joseph’s successor, widower Brigham Young, would publicly proclaim plural marriage a central tenet of the Mormon faith. Yet the number of polygamists was never more than a minority of all Mormon men. The norm for Mormon families became Celestial marriage, which unites a wife and her children to her husband for all eternity, regardless of whether the man had ever previously been married.

The tension evident in the months prior to Joseph’s death would expand, eventually provoking extreme national opposition to Mormons and their “polygamous” lifestyle.

Then, during the lifetime of Joseph’s contemporaries, plural marriage was put aside with the Manifestos of 1890 and 1904. For the modern student seeking understanding over a hundred years after the Manifestos, the origins and purposes of plural marriage are a murky matter, provoking stultification, disgust, outrage, and disbelief.

Despite this troubling past, the modern Mormon Church continues to thrive, even as some other Christian denominations have gone into decline. Yet for many, the secretive past regarding plural marriage festers like a wound, denied the light and air that might allow it to heal.

This book attempts to shed light on this troublesome subject, airing out a space in Mormon history and doctrine that has been kept dark and closed.

Prelude to a Killing – Notes

A few months before Mormon founder Joseph Smith died in June 1844, he learned of a conspiracy among his followers. Hundreds of men had sworn an oath to participate in his murder. Despite acknowledging that death was certain, Joseph maintained that his actions related to Celestial Marriage and plural marriage were commanded by God. Joseph and his entire people risked damnation if they did not embrace this commandment.

2 – Why Would God Command Polygamy?

Throughout the Bible, God promises to save all mankind. One of the most famous of these promises was recorded by Luke as being spoken by the angel announcing Christ’s birth:

Behold, I bring you good tidings of great joy, which shall be to all people.

For unto you is born this day in the city of David a Saviour, which is Christ the Lord.¹

Another passage, less famous but perhaps more clear, states:

For this is good and acceptable in the sight of God our Saviour; Who will have all men to be saved, and to come unto the knowledge of the truth.

Who will have all men to be saved, and to come unto the knowledge of the truth.

Who gave himself a ransom for all, to be testified in due time. ²
Throughout scripture, God and His prophets speak of the salvation of all mankind, of whosoever believeth in God.

Yet when Joseph knelt in the grove to pray as a boy, there was no theology that had a mechanism that might save all mankind through baptism. On that bright spring day in 1820, Joseph simply knew that God lived and there was something missing from the religions of the day. The glorious being he saw in vision had declared: “they were all wrong; ...their creeds were an abomination in my sight; ...that “they draw near to me with their lips, but their hearts are far from me, they teach for doctrines the commandments of men, having a form of godliness, but they deny the power thereof.”

Mormons believe God mourned the loss of doctrines that could save all mankind, doctrines they believe were present during Christ’s day, which are now found in the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints, the LDS Church.

Lest the World be Wasted at His Coming

Joseph’s life work began in earnest over three years later, in September 1823. Reportedly in response to Joseph’s prayers, an angel named Moroni appeared to Joseph several times in one night. Each repeat visit began with the angel describing Joseph’s missions.

Joseph’s first mission was to be retrieval and translation of a book written on golden plates containing the fullness of the Gospel.

Next the angel spoke of Elijah, who would come and plant in the hearts of the children the promises made to the fathers, and the hearts of the children would turn to their fathers.

If the children did not fulfill the promises made to the fathers, the whole earth would be utterly wasted in the great and dreadful day of the Lord, when the wicked would be left without branch or root.

The angelic message would eventually be understood in these terms: “If the people of your day do not fulfill the promise God made to offer deceased generations baptism, those unable to be cleansed by baptism will remain in their wickedness. They will remain cut off from God and from both their parents and their children in eternity.”

However, Moroni spoke in language close to the biblical original, using the symbolic language characteristic of Jewish culture. Moroni would not comprehend for many years how God could keep the promises made to the fathers, or even how one could be saved with their fathers and their children.

Any modern Mormon child can explain how deceased relatives can be baptized by proxy, allowing those who were not baptized in life a chance to embrace this saving ordinance prior to final judgment. But Joseph had not known this. When Joseph’s older brother, Alvin, died without being baptized, the Smith family presumed that Alvin would be damned.

Weaving the Family of Mankind Together

In 1835 Joseph had a vision of Alvin in heaven. This was his first understanding that it was possible for those who had not been baptized in life to attain heaven.

An understanding that proxy baptisms could be performed on behalf of the dead arose in response to the grief of Jane Nyman, a mother who believed her teenage son was condemned to hell because he died without baptism. Believers rejoiced to offer baptism to their unbaptized dead.

But in the theology Joseph restored, it was not sufficient for individuals to merely be saved. We were to be saved as united families, with our root and branch, as prophesied by Malachi and others. Baptism was a pre-requisite to a higher good, rejoining God in Heaven with our fathers and mothers, our sons and daughters. Thus the entire family of man could be offered the saving ordinances, throughout all history and all nations.

The work of Joseph’s life was restoring the mechanisms to eternally join parents and their children throughout all the generations of mankind. Accepting priesthood power, organizing the Church of Christ, spreading the restored gospel, building temples, all were in service to this universal salvation of all mankind. For believers, this binding together of mankind’s families is the clear meaning of the prophesy about Elijah. This was the promise God made to Abraham and Isaac and Jacob. This is the New and Everlasting Covenant.

The binding of children to their parents was a work so sacred that Joseph would not allow the ordinances to be performed outside a temple of God. These ceremonies would not be performed until after Joseph’s death. But merely binding parents and children across generations would not be sufficient.
Binding husbands and wives together for eternity was required to weave the family of mankind together. Joseph could not imagine being bound to his children without also being bound to Emma, his beloved and mother of their children. He could not think of being bound to his father without also being bound to his mother.

**Orphans without Root**

A thousand years prior to Joseph Smith’s lifetime, polygamous marriages were biblically acceptable. All women could have been sealed to their respective husbands. There would have been no cognitive dissonance if existence of a prior wife transformed a man into a “polygamist” in eternity.

But in the 1050s the Roman pope strengthened the impediment of affinity, which holds that the union of a man and woman creates a blood bond. This prohibited marriages between the couple’s respective kin. 12 In following years, the Catholic faithful would adjust their laws to align with the realities of the impediments of consanguinity and affinity. 13 The term bastard was extended to any child born outside of the marriage recognized by Church and state, even when acknowledged by the father. 14 Over the succeeding centuries, polygamous marriages would almost entirely cease to exist in Western Europe. 15

By the lifetime of Joseph Smith, monogamy was the only allowable form of marriage in Western civilization. Widows and widowers were permitted to remarry. But with the introduction of eternal marriage, a remarried widower would be transformed into a polygamist. If monogamy were allowed to remain as the only valid form of marriage in eternity, all but first wives and their children would remain stranded, cut off forever.

It’s not clear Joseph understood this at first. He initially tried to teach eternal marriage without teaching polygamy. One early saint, William W. Phelps, wrote his wife in 1835. “Sally, you will be mine in this world and in the world to come… I have no right to any other woman in this world nor in the world to come according to the law of the Celestial Kingdom.” 16

Romantic though Phelps’s statement seems, this idea of eternal marriage would prohibit him from remarrying were Sally to die, or would have cut him off from a previous wife had he been a widower.

Insistence on eternal monogamy would prevent the faithful from uniting other than first wives with their respective husbands in eternity. If a loved ancestor was not the first wife, she would not be sealed to her husband for eternity. Children of women unable to be sealed might have been considered eternal orphans, never to be sealed to the family of mankind. 17

Mormons believe all are spirit children of God who lived before this life. Mormons believe that each soul only came to earth through an explicit decision to trust Heavenly Father and the promise that Christ would be our Savior. This Mormon God could not allow any to become eternal orphans.

The work of unifying all mankind into one great family via Celestial marriage required God’s people embrace the possibility of plural marriage. Only through this accommodation would none be categorically excluded from the fulfillment of the promises made to us before our mortal lives.

Other reasons have been given for Joseph’s teachings regarding plural marriage. Critics claimed Joseph was motivated by lust and greed. Believers proposed plural marriage protected women or allowed more children to be born. But these reasons fail to explain such a radical institutional practice involving thousands of families over several decades. None of these reasons explains why modern temple practices allow a widower or divorced man to be sealed to another wife. None of these other reasons is sufficient to justify Joseph’s willingness to die rather than disobey.

The only logical reason for the God Mormons worship to command plural marriage was to permit universal salvation through baptism and sealing for all who love God.

**Why Would God Command Polygamy? – Notes**

The Bible repeatedly affirms that Christ sacrificed himself to save all mankind. Yet the Christian denominations of Joseph Smith’s day did not retain the mechanisms to permit salvation of the unbaptized dead. Joseph instituted proxy baptism on behalf of the dead, but went further to state that individuals must be united to their parents, spouses, and children, else “the whole earth would be utterly wasted at [Christ’s Second] coming.” 18

The medieval rulers of Europe had changed marriage laws. By the lifetime of Joseph Smith, monogamy was the only permissible form of marriage. Restoration of plural marriage was required to allow the entire human family to be joined together via posthumous sealing ordinances.
In 1998 Jared Diamond won the Pulitzer Prize for his non-fiction book, *Guns, Germs, and Steel: The Fates of Human Societies*. Diamond argued that Eurasian civilizations survived and conquered due to environmental factors. Diamond's text emphasized the role of real physical conditions, and how important it is to consider these realities when interpreting history and constructing hypotheses.

Though Joseph Smith lived a mere two hundred years ago, the modern student needs to be reminded of the differences between Joseph’s time and our day. Weaponry was primitive. Medicine was primitive. Sexual innovations had emerged in the name of religion. However there were many beliefs that persuaded men and women to be circumspect even within marriage. Hypotheses about what happened in Nauvoo during the 1840s must take these realities into consideration.

**Guns**

On June 27, 1844, Joseph and Hyrum Smith died while in custody at Carthage jail, each shot by several balls.

Hyrum was killed first, shot in the face. But the fatal shot was likely the ball that entered his back from the east and passed through his entire body. The force of the ball was such that it shattered his pocket watch when it exited his flesh.

Less than a minute later, Joseph Smith was shot while poised in the second-story window of the jailhouse sitting room. Two balls hit him in the back, shot from the west-facing doorway inside the jailhouse. One ball hit Joseph's shoulder and another hit him in the chest. The coroner found that it was the chest wound that had caused Joseph's death.

The facts regarding the deaths of the Smith brothers become striking when one understands the limited range and accuracy of guns in 1844. Most guns used by armed forces in 1844 were smooth bore muskets. The musket’s smooth bore made the gun easy to load and shoot. But such musket shots were relatively ineffective by modern standards.

Rifled guns had been invented by Germans in the late 1400s. The twisting grooves in the barrel of the musket made the ball spin. The spinning gave gyroscopic stability to the ball, eliminated bouncing within the barrel, and prevented veering caused by the Magnus effect. Loading the ball with a patch of natural fabric ensured the ball took the spin. Thus more of the energy from the ignited gunpowder was imparted to the ball. A rifle could kill at twice the range of a musket.

But accuracy and ability to kill at range came at a price. Rifled muskets took more time to load due to the tight fit of the patched ball. The rifling grooves became fouled with unexploded gunpowder. For these reasons, George Washington argued that rifles were militarily inferior to smooth bore muskets. In 1844 there were many more muskets in circulation than rifles. For example, in the year ending June 1844, the United States disbursed 5,750 muskets and only 680 rifles.

Shortly after the shooting, John Taylor calculated the distance from which the shot must have been fired to hit Hyrum on the far side of a second-story room. John determined that someone at least 100 yards to the east had fired the shot that pierced Hyrum’s torso. On that basis, Taylor decided the ball that hit Hyrum must have been shot by a member of the Carthage Greys, stationed some distance to the east of the jail.

Meanwhile, John C. Elliott claimed to have killed both Smith brothers with a borrowed Neimeyer rifle, reportedly a .49 caliber private weapon that likely had a hair trigger. John C. Elliott was reportedly an undercover U.S. Marshall at the time and would go on to become a noted slave chaser prior to his death in the Civil War. John C. Elliott was celebrated by his peers as the man who killed the Smith brothers.
The coroner who examined the four wounds on Joseph Smith’s body found that the fatal ball was the one that had pierced his right breast, shot from the east, outside the jail. The most noticeable wound on Hyrum was the wound to his face, but it is likely the body shot from the east that caused his actual death. If the fatal wounds were inflicted from the east by the same gunman, they must have been consecutive shots.

Small arms trials conducted by the U.S. Army in 1860 demonstrated that only rifles braced on a rest could consistently hit the center of the target from 100 yards. This use of an unusually accurate weapon braced for enhanced stability would eventually give rise to the military specialty of sniper. Appendix B contains charts showing representative targets from the 1860 Small Arms Trials.

Confusing matters, William Daniels had been watching across the road from Carthage jail. Daniels suggested that a firing squad of four men shot at Joseph after he fell from the jailhouse window. However it seems unlikely that a firing squad shooting at a man propped against a wall could have inflicted two wounds in that man’s back. It seems more likely that the marksman shooting from the east was merely gathered with others who wanted to claim they had fired the fatal shot. A gathering of men, examining their handiwork and demonstrating their techniques could have appeared to a distant observer to be a firing squad.

The constraints of a prior time inform our understanding of that time. The killing shots from the east were almost certainly from a rifle, shot by a person using a rest. Death was thus delivered with chilling pre-meditation. The report that four men gathered around the body combined with later certainty that Elliott had inflicted the fatal shot evokes a scene of men jovially evaluating the evidence and then congratulating the winner.

The gun John C. Elliot had used on June 27, 1844, was cherished as “the rifle that killed Joe Smith” until at least the 1890s.

Germs

There are many theories about sexual activity in Nauvoo in the 1840s. For example, someone e-mailed me regarding a 19th-century woman who was reportedly raped and is believed by some to have never had children. My correspondent postulated the woman had realized she was infected with a venereal disease, then selflessly decided to avoid sexual relations for the rest of her life, to spare a future husband any risk of infection.
Bennett with a long metal implement used to perform abortions, explaining he had just performed such an operation on one of Joseph's wives. By implication, other pregnancies were also surgically terminated, explaining the lack of offspring from the presumed liaisons.

However doctors in the 1840s would not have sterilized their instruments. Surgical termination of pregnancies should have resulted in statistically significant rates of maternal death.

Widespread non-exclusive sexuality should also have resulted in evidence of venereal disease, even if the mechanisms of venereal infection would not have been understood at the time.

Ironically, beliefs in the 1840s regarding the causes of illness would have discouraged unnecessary intimacy within marriage. Cholera in particular was believed to be associated with intemperate sexuality, including unnecessary sex in marriage. 14

Cholera first appeared in India in 1817. By 1832 cholera epidemics broke out in New York and London. The linkage between cholera and the environment would not be discovered until 1849, when Dr. John Snow was able to use statistics to construct a theory linking the spread of cholera to contaminated water. The existence of the micro-organisms causing cholera would not be confirmed until bacteriologist Robert Koch observed them in an advanced microscope in 1883. 15

Lacking a medical context for the scourge of cholera, leaders in both London and New York "attributed the disease to poor morality, because outbreaks clustered within the poverty stricken sectors of the cities. Specifically, Irish immigrants were regarded as second class… their vice was considered a contributing factor to their high mortality rates. Americans saw neither poverty nor wealth as accidental conditions. The affluent classes viewed success as testimony of their virtuous habits and poverty as a product of vice, idleness, intemperance, and immorality." 16

A survey of medical professionals in 1833 found that only one physician of more than 100 surveyed thought cholera was a contagious disease. Most believed the disease was caused by promiscuity and excessive sexuality within legitimate marriages. If a respectable individual contracted the disease, gossip confirmed that he harbored a clandestine vice. Fear of cholera persuaded many to embrace formal religion and refrain from excess sexuality. 17

Yet casual scholars of Joseph's life persist in trying to maintain that Joseph was manipulative and libidinous by putting forward seemingly plausible explanations for Joseph's alleged sexual activity and the lack of children. Such modern scholars live in a world that elevates sexual satisfaction to the status of an inalienable right, where people regularly tinker with fertility. But Joseph lived in a completely different world. Thus we need to review the sexual realities, mores, and folkways of the 19th century.

Procreative Sex. Considering the belief that sexual excess contributed to the cholera epidemic of 1832, it is not unreasonable to hypothesize that many married couples in 1840s Nauvoo limited sexual activity to the minimum required to produce children. The legacy of such restraint or prudery was still...
manifest in Mormon circles over a century later. In some Mormon circles, the cultural habit of restricting sexual contact to the production of children was still in force well into the 1980s. 19

Another tell of this married restraint can be seen in the term Irish twin, referring to a child born within a year of a preceding sibling. It was considered only the intemperate Irish would be so unwise as to engage in married sex when a mother was newly delivered of a child. In an age where birth control was not considered moral, the only sure way for a husband to protect the health of his wife and her nursing infant was to avoid procreative intercourse until pregnancy would not create a health burden.

Joseph Smith and Emma Hale appear to have refrained from sexual contact until the prior child was at least a year old, limiting their conjugal activities to key dates. 20 While it is impossible to prove sexual restraint, an analysis of the pregnancies of Joseph's wife, Emma Hale, demonstrates that in only one case did Emma become pregnant sooner than two years after her prior conception. In addition, most of her children, including her firstborn, were apparently conceived in September. September was the time of year she and Joseph retrieved the golden plates in 1827, the anniversary of Joseph's vision of the Angel Moroni. Two were conceived around Valentine's Day, within two weeks of Emma's wedding anniversary. This pattern may not have been noted previously because Emma's twins would naturally have been born less than 40 weeks after conception. The conception of Emma's boy who was stillborn in February 1842 may have been as late as September.

Infertility. As none of the few children born to women known to have covenanted with Joseph Smith can be confidently attributed to Joseph, some suggest that infertility may have been the cause. 21

Yet Joseph Smith engendered children with Emma Hale on a regular basis throughout their marriage. Emma first conceived within a year of the date Joseph and Emma married. She was pregnant with their final child when Joseph was killed.

Similarly many of the women with whom Joseph Smith covenanted during his lifetime went on to bear children. They usually conceived within weeks of re-marrying after Joseph's death. In the case of Louisa Beaman, the first woman Joseph Smith covenanted with in Nauvoo, she remained childless during the period of Joseph's life. After marrying Brigham Young in 1846, Louisa gave birth to five children in a period of five years before her death of cancer in 1850.

It has been argued that roughly 20% of women who were infertile are able to become normally fertile after treatment. But as only 6% of women are found to be infertile, normal fertility after a period of infertility would only occur in 1% of all women. Moreover, the fertility treatments associated with "fixing" infertility were not available in the 1840s. While it is possible something went temporarily wrong in an individual case, there are dozens of instances where the women who covenanted with Joseph conceived only after Joseph's death. Theories as to what was happening must take into account this large and fertile data set.

Infertility is not sufficient to explain why so few children were born to plural wives prior to Joseph's death (with apparently none engendered by Joseph with the women with whom he had entered into covenant). Meanwhile, sexual abstinence in the service of religion was a commitment other respected Christians were making.

Shakers and Celibacy. The religious sect most associated with celibacy was founded by English-born Ann Lee. Born in 1736, Ann Lee taught her followers that it was possible to attain perfect holiness. One aspect of gaining this holiness involved giving up sexual relations.

Ann was forced to marry against her wishes. Her four children all died in infancy, informing her radical rejection of sexuality and marriage. In 1774, Ann Lee and some followers emigrated from England to Albany County, New York, and formed the United Society of Believers in Christ's Second Appearing. They were also referred to as the Shaking Quakers or Shakers because their worship services involved dance and charismatic shaking. The community grew from converts and from taking in children who had been abandoned by others. However the Shakers' celibacy has led to near extinction of practicing Shakers. 22

In 1831 a Shaker from the Cleveland area, Leman Copley, became a Mormon. Copley sought to bring Shaker beliefs into the Mormon faith. These beliefs included the idea that Ann Lee was the incarnation of Christ's Second Coming, that it was wrong to eat meat, and that it was wrong to have sex or enter into marriage. D&C 49 specifically refuses these Shaker doctrines for the LDS faith. The revelation was sent to the Shaker group in Cleveland, but the message was rejected. 23

Since 1831, Mormon doctrine has clearly been built around the idea that families are ordained of God and that sexuality within marriage is righteous. Yet the example of the Shakers demonstrates that sexuality could be deferred for extensive periods of time or even denied entirely in the service of God.
There are those, however, who point to the aberrant sexual practices of other Christian sects as the source of Joseph Smith’s supposed activities.

**Spiritual Wives.** Jacob Cochran, like Ann Lee, formed a denomination that worshipped using dancing and charismatic shaking. Cochran’s initial settlement was located in Saco, Maine, and his denomination was called The Society of Free Brethren and Sisters. Like Ann Lee, Cochran taught that marriage was not valid. Cochran taught that believers should hold everything in common. However instead of teaching celibacy, Cochran allegedly taught that intercourse could occur between “spiritual” husbands and wives, pseudo-marriage arrangements that were temporary. Care for children resulting from these short-duration “spiritual marriages” would theoretically be provided by the entire community.

Rival preacher, Ephraim Stinchfield, published a pamphlet in April 1819 exposing the sexual habits of the Cochranites. Stinchfield wrote:

> “each brother and sister in this fraternity, has a spiritual husband, wife, mate, or yoke fellow, such as they choose, or their leaders choose for them. These spiritual mates, dissolve, or disannul, all former marriage connections; and many of them bed and board together, to the exclusion of all former vows.”

Cochran was soon arrested for lewdness. After his release, he relocated his community to Grove township, in Allegany, New York.

Various high profile Mormons such as Warren Cowdery came from the areas near the Cochranite settlements. Austin Cowles was a former neighbor of the Cochranites who would aggressively reject Joseph’s teachings regarding plural marriage.

The term “spiritual wifery” would be used by most in Nauvoo to describe sexual intercourse that was not part of a marriage. Secondary documents describing the marriage situation in Nauvoo attempt to simplify the confusing terms by referring to everything as “polygamy.” Yet we see time and again that spiritual wifery was rejected by the same people who had embraced Joseph’s teachings regarding Celestial marriage. We never see Joseph refer to his teachings regarding Celestial marriage as spiritual wifery. Even though Joseph’s own brother advocated spiritual wifery, Joseph’s most trusted intimates, including the women with whom he covenanted, rejected spiritual wifery. An example of this is Zina Diantha Huntington’s strong negative reaction when William Smith preached in 1845 regarding spiritual wifery.

**Complex Marriage and Social Intercourse.** Another relatively well known group sometimes cited as Joseph Smith’s inspiration was the Oneida community formed by John Noyes. Noyes claimed “his new relationship to God canceled out his obligation to obey traditional moral standards or the normal laws of society.”

John Humphrey Noyes was born in 1811. In the early 1830s he attended Yale Divinity School in New Haven, Connecticut. In 1834, Noyes declared himself perfect and free from sin. This outraged the Divinity School. Noyes’s license to preach was revoked. Undeterred, Noyes returned to his native Vermont and established a religious community committed to his unorthodox views. Regarding sex, Noyes would teach that sexual intercourse could be separated into two components: the social and the procreative. By 1844 the community became a formal organization where the pursuit of perfection was facilitated by male continence (sex without ejaculation) and complex marriage, where persons were permitted to engage in “social” intercourse with individuals to whom they were not married.

Noyes felt it was a positive social act for everyone to participate in intercourse starting at puberty, which Noyes considered began at age 14. Young men were initially to have intercourse with older women past the age of bearing, to avoid “sexual starvation” at a time when the sexual appetite is at its peak. Only men like himself, who had perfected the skill of engaging in intercourse without ejaculating, were permitted to have intercourse with nubile teens and women who were not married.

Noyes fled the United States in 1879 when he was told he was going to be arrested for statutory rape. Two months later, Noyes directed his followers to abandon complex marriage and revert to traditional marriage practices.

Noyes is sufficiently late that it is not clear that his sexual experiments would necessarily have informed the origins of Mormon polygamy. However the key technique Noyes used to achieve social intercourse without risking pregnancy was a variation of onanism, the practice of having intercourse without allowing the man to ejaculate during penetration. Onan and sufficient detail regarding what he did are discussed in Genesis 38:8-10. On the other hand, the Bible record of onanism claims that Onan was killed for this behavior. Thus it seems a stretch to presume that a people who embraced the Bible would embrace onanism at that time, unless it was specifically documented as a practiced behavior.
Onanism was explicitly rejected by Mormon leaders as part of the 1885 excommunication of Albert Carrington. Carrington thought sex was not adultery unless the man ejaculated during penetration. On that basis, he had been “friendly” with young ladies who were not his wives. The LDS Church still allowed promiscuity in 1885. Yet Carrington’s onanism was considered adultery, fornication, and “lewd and lascivious conduct.”

Illicit Intercourse. There is documentation confirming that many individuals in Nauvoo were engaging in promiscuous sex in 1841-1842. Though rarely mentioned in discussions of Mormon polygamy, this epidemic of illicit intercourse must be considered to fully understand why Joseph established so many covenants between himself and his female followers and their families.

Treatment of Hysteria. Given the discussion of the sexual prudery of the 1800s, it may seem incomprehensible that individuals in 1840s Nauvoo could embrace promiscuous sex to any significant degree. However, a treatment for hysteria used since ancient times could have been the precursor to Nauvoo promiscuity.

As seen in the writings of Hippocrates (before 370 BC), medical literature had promulgated the idea that women were prone to a variety of disorders caused by the uterus, hystera in Greek. The uterus would supposedly wander about the body like a living creature, causing disease, blocked passages, and obstructed breathing.

The wandering of the uterus could supposedly be cured by causing it to become sufficiently lubricated. This occurred as a result of sex or pregnancy. Cappadocian physician Aretaeus, writing in the 1st century BCE, described the uterus or womb as “closely resembling an animal… It delights also in fragrant smells, and advances towards them.” Aretaeus’s views would become more influential in Medieval and Renaissance medicine regarding “hysteria” than the more biologically correct gynecological writings of Galen of Pergamon and Soranus of Ephesus. The idea of the wandering womb was still in vogue when Sigmund Freud described the wandering mind.

By the 1800s, the recommended remedy for female hysteria was to massage the genitalia to produce a uterine paroxysm. Such a “paroxysm” was believed to cause the wandering uterus to become lubricated and to resit itself in the proper position. The medical literature of the day did not refer to this as orgasm.

It was believed a woman with an attentive husband would become lubricated and to resit itself in the proper position. The medical literature of the day did not refer to this as orgasm.

In the latter half of the 1800s, a new device unimaginatively referred to as a vibrator was developed to assist healers. The vibrator helped relieve the repetitive stress a doctor incurred by treating hysteria manually. These new devices also allowed women to treat their own hysteria without having to resort to medical professionals. Vibrators were widely advertised in respectable periodicals of the day for medical use.

It was not until the advent of film that this method of treating hysteria was questioned. When the process of treating hysteria was shown on film in the 1910s, it was deemed to be offensive, obviously more of a sexual act than a medical act. This is reflected in the precipitous drop of medical papers describing hysteria and treatment of hysteria after 1900. Not only was the traditional treatment for hysteria now considered immoral, doctors began to be more exact about female ailments, rather than attributing every possible problem to hysteria.

In the early 1800s, when Mormonism was forming, it would have been perfectly respectable for a doctor to treat his female patients for hysteria. This becomes important as we consider reports of early interactions between Dr. Bennett and Mormon women. It is possible that Dr. Bennett’s early interactions with women were legitimate. It is conceivable he convinced honorable men that treating women in need for “hysteria” was a legitimate Christian duty.

Dr. Bennett’s medical experience would later give him the confidence to persuade appalling numbers of people that they could indulge in sexual activities outside of marriage. Dr. Bennett promised that it was acceptable, that it was safe, and that there would be minimal risk of pregnancy.

Guns, Germs, and Sex – Notes

Joseph Smith’s death was not the result of impulsive anti-Mormon mob action, but was apparently the result of a concerted conspiracy that included a pre-meditated killing by a highly-skilled marksman using state of the art weaponry.
Sexual mores and folkways of the 1840s were very different from modern practices and beliefs. Most people limited sexuality to intentional procreation, as it was widely believed cholera was caused by moral depravity, including non-procreative sexuality within marriage.

Moderns presume rampant sexual excess under the leadership of Joseph Smith failed to produce a commensurate number of children because of birth control, infertility, and abortion. However birth control was considered obscene and criminal. Frontier pioneers, specifically Joseph Smith and many of the women with whom he covenanted, were not afflicted with infertility. Surgical abortion should have produced a statistically noticeable number of deaths because people in the 1840s would not have taken any of the sanitary precautions required to avoid infection. No such pattern of suspicious deaths exists among those known to be involved.

Joseph Smith and the male and female leaders who succeeded him specifically rejected the celibacy of the Shakers, the spiritual wifery of Jacob Cochran, and the male continence or onanism taught by John Humphrey Noyes.

Yet time-honored medical procedures for treating hysteria may have paved the way for gross sexual misbehavior in Nauvoo during the early 1840s.

4 – The 1831 Revelation Regarding Plural Marriage

Many presume Joseph was marrying women to justify libido-driven actions. Yet Dennison Harris’s report indicates Joseph considered plural marriage a commandment from God which he was required to obey. It was a commandment he said he would obey even if it led to his death.

The only canonized document discussing plural marriage is found in D&C 132, which was not published during Joseph’s lifetime. The RLDS Church questioned the legitimacy of this revelation, bolstered by the deathbed claim of Emma Hale [Smith] that she had never seen the revelation.

However Erastus Snow wrote that Joseph had received the revelation while translating a passage in the Old Testament where “one of the Old Prophets was dividing His property to His offspring,” likely Genesis 17. ¹ We know Joseph abruptly ceased translating the Old Testament at Genesis 24:31 prior to March 7, 1831. ² When we consider the initial revelation regarding plural marriage likely occurred at that time, the historical and revelatory record comes to life.

The Context for the 1831 Revelation

Several historical events occurred prior to March 1831 that provide us insight into the relationship between Joseph Smith and his wife, Emma Hale:
Based on the Genesis account up to the death of Abraham's wife, Sarah, Joseph went to God and seems to have asked how it was that Abraham and other honored Bible figures were justified in having many wives and concubines.

Emma seems the most likely person to have discussed Old Testament polygamy with Joseph in March of 1831. She had the strongest motive to question the institution of polygamy in the Old Testament. She certainly would later question whether polygamy could be the will of God. Other people close enough to Joseph to discuss these things in 1831, such as Oliver Cowdery, or Newell K. Whitney, would likely have left a record of the interaction. Yet there is no such record.

We can infer that the initial verses of D&C 132 reflect the answer Joseph received in March 1831:

Verily, thus saith the Lord unto you my servant Joseph, that inasmuch as you have inquired of my hand to know and understand wherein I, the Lord, justified my servants Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob, as also Moses, David and Solomon, my servants, as touching the principle and doctrine of their having many wives and concubines—

Behold, and lo, I am the Lord thy God, and will answer thee as touching this matter.

Therefore, prepare thy heart to receive and obey the instructions which I am about to give unto you; for all those who have this law revealed unto them must obey the same.

For behold, I reveal unto you a new and an everlasting covenant; and if ye abide not that covenant, then are ye damned; for no one can reject this covenant and be permitted to enter into my glory.

Independent of whether this revelation came as a result of a discussion between Emma and Joseph, it seems natural that Joseph would have shared something about the answer with Emma. Yet there is no record of what Emma thought about the revelation and covenant in 1831.
Arguing with God

Even though Joseph did not write down the revelation related to plural marriage in 1831, we see the continued argument between Joseph and God. Section 45 of the Doctrine and Covenants, received March 7, 1831, is the first revelation that mentions the New and Everlasting Covenant:

…I have sent mine everlasting covenant into the world, to be a light to the world, and to be a standard for my people...to prepare the way before me [Jesus Christ]...in the day when I shall come in my glory in the clouds of heaven, to fulfill the promises that I have made unto your fathers...

After numerous verses describing terrible events that would precede the time when Christ would come in glory, Joseph wrote God had said:

"[It] shall not be given you any further concerning this chapter, until the New Testament be translated, and in it [the New Testament] all these things shall be made known...I give unto you that you may now translate it, that ye may be prepared for the things to come. [Verily], great things await you.

Joseph stopped translating Genesis and began working on the New Testament. As per direction in the revelation, Joseph moved his family from the Whitney home in the center of the village to a cabin on the Morley Farm. On April 30, 1831, Emma gave birth to twins, Thaddeus and Louisa. The two infants died hours later.

Thaddeus and Louisa were the second and third children taken from Joseph and Emma when they could have believed they were flouting commandment. Joseph and Emma may have therefore seen these deaths as a rebuke from God.

Take Unto You Wives

It is only after the revelation in early 1831 that we see mention of men having more than one wife. The first instance is a revelation remembered by William W. Phelps as occurring in July 1831. According to Phelps's later record, Joseph Smith reportedly suggested seven married elders being sent to the American Indians should “take unto you wives of the Lamanites and Nephites.”

It seems Phelps did not bother asking Joseph what he had meant in July 1831 until three years later. There is no indication that any of the men present in July 1831 courted or married an Indian woman.

In the New Testament These Things Shall be made Known

Joseph worked his way through translation of the New Testament until he got to the Gospel of John. At this point Joseph was working on his Bible translation with Sidney Rigdon in an upper room of the Johnson home in Hiram, Ohio. A little less than a year had passed since Joseph was told to shift his translation work to the New Testament. Joseph and Sidney were translating John chapter 5 and came to verse 29:

Speaking of the resurrection of the dead, concerning those who shall hear the voice of the Son of Man:

And shall come forth; they who have done good, in the resurrection of the just; and they who have done evil, in the resurrection of the unjust.

Prompted by this mention of the dead who would hear “the voice of the Son of Man,” Joseph and Sidney proceeded to record a revelation now canonized as D&C 76. This is one of the most important revelations regarding Mormon eschatology (Greek for “last study”), or beliefs regarding the ultimate destiny of mankind. The vision describes a heaven of different degrees based on earthly faith and works. The highest, or celestial, heaven would be reserved for those who were baptized and sealed by the Holy Spirit of promise.

D&C 76 answered some of Joseph’s questions from the year before. This revelation, prompted by the translation of the New Testament, answered Joseph’s question about the fate of mankind when the end would come. The vision addressed in part the purpose of the New and Everlasting Covenant, to prepare a people to obtain Celestial glory.

In 1831 and 1832, Joseph did not record whether a restoration of plural marriage was convolved with the salvation of mankind and the terrible happenings predicted for the end of times, described in D&C 45. But he would tell trusted individuals in the 1840s that this is when the Lord had commanded him to restore plural marriage.
Mormon eschatology would evolve further after 1832, to include proxy ordinance work on behalf of the dead, multiple degrees of glory within the Celestial Kingdom, and the sealing of all mankind together via biological and adoptive family lines.

Mormonism also developed a unique origin narrative, or protology (Greek for “first study”). Joseph taught that individuals were eternal and uncreated, given spiritual form by God the Father. Citing Jeremiah, Isaiah, and John the Revelator, Joseph taught that each of us made a choice before this life to trust Christ’s atonement and accept the risk of mortality and sin to gain physical form. This protology elevated mortal and eternal conjugal marriage to a divine partnership with God.

Biblical Marriage

When Joseph Smith eventually began to solemnize plural marriages, the seeds of his actions can be found in the Bible, particularly the Old Testament. Many of the biblical marriage patterns followed by Joseph and his immediate successors can be seen in the marriages of the patriarchs about whom Joseph had asked God, listed in D&C 132:1.

Abraham. Abraham was married to Sarah, who offered Abraham her servant Hagar as a wife. We see in this two important ideas, both a wife offering her servant and a wife being the one to select the additional woman for the husband.

After Sarah’s death, Abraham married Keturah and had five sons with her. Thus we see an Old Testament patriarch re-marrying after the death of his wife.

Isaac. The inclusion of Isaac in D&C 132:1 is curious, as there is nothing in the Bible account that leads us to think Isaac was married to anyone other than Rebekah. With Isaac we see one of the key Bible patriarchs mentioned in D&C 132 who is only married to one woman.

Jacob. Jacob returned to the household of Rebekah’s brother, Laban. Jacob labored in Laban’s household for seven years for the hand of his cousin, Laban’s daughter Rachel, the woman he loved. But on the wedding night, Laban switched Rachel for her older, unmarried sister, Leah.

Jacob proceeded to commit himself to another seven years of labor to obtain the hand of Rachel. With Jacob, then, we see the third Old Testament patriarch mentioned in D&C 132 who was apparently married to only one wife.

David. King David married several women, though the number is not clear. In the story of David's relationship with Michal, we see wives shifted between husbands. David married Abigail after the death of her husband, who the Bible claims was smitten by the Lord. This marriage of a widow resembles the levirate marriage customs codified in the Levitical law. Biblical examples of levirate marriage include the union of Tamar and Judah and the marriage of David's great-grandparents, Ruth and Boaz.

However David caused the death of Uriah to have Bathsheba. D&C 132 explained that for this murder David “shall not inherit them [his wives] out of the world, for I [the Lord] gave them to another.” This introduces the belief that a sinful man’s wife could be taken from him in eternity.

Solomon. David was succeeded by Bathsheba’s son, Solomon. The only wife of Solomon mentioned by name is Naamah, mother of Solomon's successor, Rehoboam. But the Bible states that Solomon had 700 wives and 300 concubines. In the wives of Solomon, we see a pattern of creating alliances through ceremonial marriage and concubinage. The number of wives and concubines suggests sexuality may not have played a role in all these relationships.

And Eve did labor with him. As has been discussed, it appears the covenants Joseph entered into with women other than his legal wife were rarely, if ever, consummated. If the marriages were not initially for pleasure or procreation, then we must consider that some of the marriages created a bond wherein a man and the woman with whom he covenanted could simply assist one another within a relationship of complete loyalty.

Joseph entered into the vast majority of his plural marriages between November 1841 and November 1843, a period of only two years. Few understand the devastation caused when John Bennett and his followers
seduced unknown numbers of women during 1841-1842. John Bennett and his acolytes taught their victims that “it was right to go to bed to a woman if not found out.”

Most of the women who covenanted with Joseph fit one or more of the biblical archetypes. But some of the women who became plural wives prior to Joseph’s death were vulnerable, specifically to seduction. Joseph and other church leaders appear to have spoken with or “married” these women to provide for them and to protect them, as in the case of the orphaned Partridge sisters.

A few other women appear to have served as detectives seeking to uncover the corruption created by Dr. Bennett and his acolytes. Emma was one of those seeking to end the corruption in her role as Relief Society President. It is likely she knew about the other “detective-wives,” including her friends Elizabeth Davis [Durfee] and Sarah Kingsley [Cleveland].

If Joseph had married a plural wife shortly after receiving the 1831 revelation, plural marriage would have been well established by the arrival of Dr. Bennett in 1840. If so, the heresy of illicit intercourse might have been avoided. But it would take far more than revelation and biblical precedent to persuade Joseph to marry someone in addition to Emma Hale.

The 1831 Revelation Regarding Plural Marriage – Notes

Joseph Smith initially received a revelation commanding him to embrace plural marriage in February or March 1831 while translating Genesis. The first suggestion that married men consider taking other wives occurs after this time.

Published revelations indicate that Joseph may have believed the New and Everlasting Covenant and plural marriage would play an important role in preparing the world for the Second Coming of Christ (D&C 45) or that the highest heaven was reserved for those who had been baptized and sealed by the holy spirit of promise.

Early LDS plural marriages resemble biblical archetypes. However the timing of Joseph’s plural marriages suggest many of them occurred in reaction to the illicit intercourse taught by Dr. John C. Bennett.

5 – Mormon Polygamy Prior to 1841

There is no doubt Joseph Smith began covenating with women in 1841, eventually entering into dozens of such covenants. The history of Mormon polygamy for the decade between 1831 and 1841 is less clear.

Marinda, Tar, Feathers, and Death

On February 16, 1832, Joseph Smith and Sidney Rigdon had a glorious vision of a three-tiered heaven. This appears to be the answer to the question Joseph had asked in 1831, the answer God had promised in D&C 45:60-62. Joseph’s 1831 question may have involved plural marriage, inspired as it was by the Genesis account of the Abrahamic Covenant. Therefore the February 1832 revelation marks the beginning of when we might expect to see Joseph seeking a plural wife.

Nancy Marinda Johnson was a marriageable single woman in the Johnson household in Hiram, Ohio, where Joseph was living at the time of the February 1832 revelation. Years later, Joseph would covenant with women he reportedly had felt prompted to marry during the 1830s. In 1843 Nancy Marinda Johnson [Hyde] would covenant with Joseph instead of the good man she married in 1834, Apostle Orson Hyde.

It is possible Joseph’s suspected intentions towards Nancy were part of the motivation for a violent attack on Joseph Smith mere weeks after receiving the revelation regarding heaven (D&C 76). Joseph Smith was pulled
from his bed into the dark night. The attackers attempted to pour tar down
Joseph's throat, attempted to administer poison, and had a physician on hand
to castrate Joseph. The murderous violence could have been caused by any
of a variety of perceived wrongs. The attempted castration suggests the attack
could have been inspired by some sex-related provocation. ⁵

The story of this mobbing is well known. Emma was terrorized by the
brutal attack and the resulting death of their adopted son, Joseph Murdock
Smith. Sidney Rigdon was badly beaten and would never fully recover. Joseph
escaped castration, but the mob broke his front teeth in the beating. The hair
the ordeal ripped from Joseph’s head never did grow back on the side of his
face. When Emma saw her tarred and feathered husband, she though he was
covered in blood ³ and near death.

It is reasonable to suggest Joseph and Emma saw this attack as a direct
result of an early attempt to practice plural marriage. If so, it would be no
wonder they would subsequently approach plural marriage as though it could
lead to Joseph’s death.

Mr. Smith

According to the recorded genealogies, Miss Hannah Dubois married a
John F. Smith and bore him two children during the 1830s. Mr. Smith
allegedly died circa 1840 in Nauvoo. Hannah Dubois then married widower
Philo Dibble, to whom she was sealed in the Nauvoo temple in January, 1846.

Despite this history, family rumors have persisted that there was no John
F. Smith. Hannah’s descendants claim the children born in the 1830s were
the result of liaisons between Hannah and Joseph Smith. ⁴ Historians have
typically discounted the assertion that Hannah was a wife of Joseph Smith.
However, explanation of this dismissal involved discussion of the children
born in the 1840s during Hannah’s marriage to Philo Dibble. ⁵

It was a descendant of the mysterious John F. Smith who told me they
are descended from Joseph Smith. They cited the inability to find a John F.
Smith ⁴ and the close relationship between Hannah’s oldest children and
members of the Smith family, such as Joseph’s mother, Lucy Mack [Smith].
There is also a patriarchal blessing William Smith, Joseph’s brother,
pronounced on the head of one of these early children, a blessing reported
to be closely held at Church Headquarters. Benjamin Winchester proposed
that a Mrs. Smith had been Joseph’s lover and then married Dibble. ⁷ Another
unnamed man alleged in 1969 that Dibble’s household was one of three in
which a wife of Joseph was harbored. ⁸

If the mysterious John F. Smith and his descendants did not share
ancestors with the sons of Joseph Smith Sr. and Lucy Mack, it might be
possible to determine who fathered Hannah’s children using DNA analysis.
But Hannah’s descendants have not submitted their claim to DNA testing.
This demonstrates a problem related to unraveling the tangle of Mormon
polygamy. Far from being horrified at the thought of a Joseph Smith who
loved many women, some Mormons would love to find that they had a
biological link to Joseph Smith. A list of children allegedly engendered by
Joseph Smith with various women is found in Appendix C. Most are simple
speculation, based on the mere possibility that a woman might have been in
the vicinity of Joseph Smith.

Time and again, then, situations and statements that have a non-sexual
explanation have been imbued with sexual significance. Despite the number
of amateurs hoping to prove a biological link to Joseph Smith, prominent
Joseph Smith scholars who have written regarding this earlier period of
Joseph’s life are skeptical of the popular view that Joseph was a sexual
opportunist. ⁹

Zion’s Camp, Cholera, and Wishful Thinking

By 1834 tensions had arisen in Missouri, prompting Joseph to raise a force
to defend the members of the Church in Missouri, or Zion. The defenders
were called Zion’s Camp. A little known event associated with Zion’s Camp
was the cholera-induced death of John Sims Carter.

John Sims Carter had been a widower, so care for his seven orphans (six
of them girls) fell on his surviving brothers, Gideon and Jared. Jared appears
to have caught wind of plural marriage. He had a double family now, with
several young women. It seems he hoped to get a second wife to help handle
the young people.

Jared was so confident that he could obtain another wife that he built a
second home in preparation. But his hope was ill-founded. In September
1835 Jared was chastised. ¹⁰ Of interest, Jared’s ward Marietta Rosetta Carter
is sometimes listed as one of Joseph Smith’s wives, possibly because her
surviving daughter would become a member of the Smith household. ¹¹
Exchange of Women

It appears Joseph Smith entered into a covenant relationship with Fanny Alger by the summer of 1836. In September 1836 Fanny left the Mormon community amidst a storm of controversy. It is unclear if Fanny conceived a child as a result of her interactions with Joseph during this period of time.

Todd Compton makes a solid circumstantial case for the involvement being an actual marriage, an example of what anthropologists refer to as trading women. It seems Joseph offered to help Levi Hancock obtain the hand of Clarissa Reed. Levi, in turn, would "get Fanny Alger" for Joseph as a wife. Compton proposes the relationship between Fanny and Joseph occurred around the time of the marriage between Levi Hancock and Clarissa Reed, in March 1833. It does appear that Fanny began to work as a servant in the Smith home around 1833.

When Fanny fled the Smith household in 1836, she stayed with the Chauncey Webb family. Chauncey Webb’s family would later characterize Fanny’s relationship with Joseph as a marriage.

Don Bradley, like Compton, finds that the relationship between Fanny Alger and Joseph Smith was probably a marriage, likely solemnized after 1835. A marriage would explain all the accounts. An illicit affair would not be able to explain the accounts asserting the relationship was honorable.

Both Compton and Bradley lend credence to the idea that sexual intercourse occurred between Fanny and Joseph. They suggest Emma’s report of an encounter between Joseph and Fanny caused Oliver Cowdery’s belief that Joseph had committed adultery. Bradley cites Chauncey Webb’s 1872 assertion that Fanny was pregnant when she stayed with the Webbs just prior to leaving Kirtland.

However Oliver Cowdery was not an eye-witness to the scene that caused Emma such distress. Chauncey Webb was an embittered opponent of Mormonism when he reported that Fanny had been pregnant. His understanding of the matter could have been caused by circumstances other than actual pregnancy.

Another plausible reason exists for Emma’s rage and Fanny’s stress. Jonathan Harriman Holmes was an eligible bachelor living in the Smith home during the sixteen months prior to Fanny’s departure from Kirtland. It is conceivable Jonathan asked Fanny to become his wife. Had Fanny asked Joseph for a release from their covenant in order to marry another, an

Apostasy and Prison

Oliver Cowdery had known the Smiths for almost ten years and was possibly Joseph’s most trusted associate. Joseph had turned to Oliver when Emma became upset about the situation with Fanny Alger.

But Oliver’s brother, Warren Cowdery, had been a neighbor of Jacob Cochran. Thus Oliver may have been predisposed to suspect immoral behavior from a religious leader. Oliver, without having seen anything, inferred an inappropriate sexual relationship between Joseph and Fanny. Oliver’s belief in an affair eventually caused Oliver to break with Joseph and the Mormons. Oliver relocated to Missouri.

Certain members of the Church, including Jared Carter and Sidney Rigdon, believed Oliver’s alienation was dangerous to the cause of the Gospel. They decided that Oliver must be driven from the society of the Saints and even killed. Verbal hostility between members of the Church and non-Mormon residents of Zion would cause Missourians to attack numerous homesteads. The Mormon town of DeWitt was put under lethal siege. Three Mormons died at the Battle at Crooked River. Governor Lilburn Boggs of Missouri sided against the Mormons and issued the Extermination Order. Mormon men and boys at the settlement of Haun’s Mill would be brutally murdered. Throughout the area Mormons were hunted and killed, their homes burned. Claims that Mormons inflicted similar outrages on their Missouri neighbors were rarely substantiated.

Joseph was taken into custody, as supposed instigator of the Missouri troubles. Though General Doniphan refused to execute Joseph in cold blood, Joseph was imprisoned for months in the jail in Liberty, Missouri.
As Joseph languished in Liberty, he cried out,

Oh God, where art thou?  

In answer, Jesus responded,

Peace be unto your soul...you are not yet as Job.

God shall give unto you knowledge...that has not been revealed since the world was until now, which our forefathers have awaited with anxious expectation to be revealed...which their minds were pointed to by the angels. A time to come in which nothing will be withheld.

The Joseph languishing in Liberty jail had not embraced the commandment to teach plural marriage. Even if Fanny Alger had been a fully conjugal plural wife, she departed under a cloud of secrecy. Nothing had happened to model the acceptability of plural marriage.

Mormon Polygamy Prior to 1841 – Notes

It is uncertain whether Joseph Smith asked any woman to be a plural wife prior to 1841. Later events suggest Joseph may have considered asking Marinda Johnson to be a plural wife in 1832. Modern descendants of Hannah Dubois claim she had children in the 1830s by Joseph Smith, but scholars dismiss these claims.

It is likely that Joseph married Fanny Alger by 1836, but Fanny left the Mormon community amidst suggestions the relationship was improper. Despite later allegations asserting Fanny had become pregnant, it is unclear if the relationship between Joseph and Fanny included a sexual element.

Oliver Cowdery’s disgust over the Fanny Alger matter fueled existing tensions with inhabitants of Missouri. Violence escalated and Joseph was jailed. While in prison, Joseph was told he would be given knowledge which the forefathers were anxious to see revealed, presumably related to the promise of Malachi that the hearts of the children would turn to the fathers.

6 – Six Funerals and a Blessing

Some scholars have presumed Joseph initiated marriages whenever there was an opportunity for Joseph to be in the same town or house or room as an alleged wife. This seems to be the rationale behind the belief that Joseph fathered children with Hannah Dubois in the early 1830s or Compton’s suggestion that Joseph married Lucinda Pendleton in 1838.

In focusing on sexual desire, scholars have ignored the deaths that ripped Joseph’s soul and informed his vision of family and eternity. There may have been more heart-rending funerals than the six enumerated here: brother, son, friend, wife & mother, daughter, and father. But these six deaths occurred at key times. It appears these deaths influenced Joseph’s evolving understanding of God’s promise to the fathers and children written in Malachi and quoted by the Angel Moroni.

Beloved Brother: Alvin Smith

Alvin was Joseph’s eldest brother, a man Joseph both loved and respected. The Angel Moroni told Joseph that Alvin was to be the one who must accompany Joseph if he was to retrieve the ancient record from the Hill Cumorah. Alvin’s death before being baptized devastated Joseph.

When Joseph directed the people to complete the first temple in Kirtland, he had not yet imagined the unbaptized dead could be redeemed or that families could be bound together. Joseph was therefore completely surprised.
when he saw Alvin in a vision of the Celestial Kingdom. The vision showed Joseph there was a way for those who died without baptism to be accepted into heaven, but the revelation did not explain how this was to be done.

Beloved Son: Cyrus Livingston Nyman

Jane Harper [Nyman] and William Nyman appear to have joined the LDS Church in the early 1830s. Before they accepted the gospel, however, two of their sons had died. Their son Frederick had died in infancy. Son Cyrus Livingston was a teenager when he died. As was common for early Latter-day Saints, Jane would have presumed Cyrus was damned, since he was someone of age who had never accepted the gospel in this life.

In April 1840 Joseph Smith spoke to the Saints at the tenth anniversary of the formation of the Church. His text was the story of Nicodemus and the need for baptism. Those who documented Joseph’s comments said Joseph’s observations were “very beautiful and striking… throwing a flood of light on the subjects which were brought up to review.”

For Jane Nyman, however, the sermon rekindled her grief about her son’s damnation. Jesus had told Nicodemus:

“Except a man be born again, he cannot see the kingdom of God.” And “except a man be born of water and of the Spirit, he cannot enter into the kingdom of God.”

History does not capture how Joseph became aware of Jane’s torment. But later events indicate it likely weighed on his mind from April 1840 to August 1840.

Alvin would be saved. Why not Cyrus Nyman? Yet how could they be born again, these mature loved ones who had died without baptism?

Beloved Friend: Seymour Brunson

Seymour Brunson joined the Church in early 1831. He had served several missions and endured the hardships of Missouri. By 1840, Brunson was one of Joseph’s body guards, a member of the High Council, and a Lieutenant Colonel in the Hancock County Militia. When Seymour Brunson died on 10 August, 1840, he was only 42 years old.

Seymour’s funeral was held on a Saturday, August 15, 1840. The line of mourners stretched for a mile. The mourners would have comforted Seymour’s family, honoring his life of sacrifice and reassuring them Seymour would be saved.

Jane Nyman was there as well, and likely comforted those in need of comfort. But she would have been torn. Seymour would be saved in God’s Kingdom. Her son, Cyrus, she believed, would forever be damned.

Then Joseph began to speak. He talked of the resurrection, reading from the first epistle of Paul to the Corinthians, chapter 15, where Paul wrote to convince the Corinthians of the resurrection:

If in this life only we have hope in Christ, we are of all men most miserable.

But now is Christ risen from the dead…

For as in Adam all die, even so in Christ shall all be made alive.

…[Christ] must reign, till he hath put all enemies under his feet.

The last enemy that shall be destroyed is death.

It was a typical Christian funeral. But Joseph saw Jane Nyman in the crowd and knew that he needed to comfort her as well. So he continued:

Else what shall they do which are baptized for the dead, if the dead rise not at all? why are they then baptized for the dead?

Joseph said, “Paul was clearly talking to a people who understood baptism for the dead, for it was practiced among them.”

He spoke of Jane, “This widow [has read] the sayings of Jesus ‘except a man be born of water and of the spirit he cannot enter the kingdom of heaven.’ Not one jot nor tittle of the Savior’s words should pass away, but all shall be fulfilled.”

Joseph would later write:
“If we can, by the authority of the Priesthood of the Son of God, baptize a man in the name of the Father, of the Son, and of the Holy Ghost, for the remission of sins, it is just as much our privilege to act as an agent, and be baptized for the remission of sins for and in behalf of our dead kindred, who have not heard the Gospel, or the fullness of it.” 17

“Shall we not go on in so great a cause? Go forward and not backward. Courage, brethren; and on, on to the victory! Let your hearts rejoice, and be exceedingly glad. Let the earth break forth into singing. Let the dead speak forth anthems of eternal praise to the King Immanuel, who hath ordained, before the world was, that which would enable us to redeem [the dead] out of their prison; for the prisoners shall go free.” 18

Those who heard these teachings were filled with joy, but none more so than Jane Nyman. Less than a month later, Jane Nyman asked Harvey Olmstead to baptize her on behalf of Cyrus. 19 Olmstead did as Jane asked, performing the proxy baptism in the Mississippi River. Vienna Jaques, 20 five years older than Jane, rode her horse down into the river to witness the ordinance. 21 This baptism where Jane Nyman acted on behalf of her son was the first proxy baptism performed within the Mormon faith.

Afterwards Joseph Smith asked what words were used in performing the ordinance. When Joseph heard what had been done, he approved what had taken place. 22

Ordinances on behalf of the dead could be performed by proxy. In less than a week, the power of this principle would explode in terrible urgency.

Beloved Wife & Mother: Marietta Rosetta Carter Holmes

In 1837 Joseph Smith arranged to officiate at the marriage of Jonathan Harriman Holmes and Marietta Carter in a double ceremony with Wilford Woodruff and Phoebe Carter. 23

Marietta was the ward of Jared Carter, who had built a second home in Kirtland in hopes of obtaining a second wife. 24

By August 1840, Marietta and Jonathan had two daughters: a toddler named Sarah and a newborn named Mary. They lived very close to Joseph and Emma Smith, about two blocks east of the homestead, just off Water Street. 25 Emma herself had recently given birth to a son, Don Carlos.

A strong summer storm hit Nauvoo in the days after the Brunson funeral. What happened next would remain a guarded secret. The only record survived in the stories Marietta’s toddler was told to explain where her mother had gone. Sarah’s stories about her mother’s death are shrouded in the trappings of the Missouri persecution narratives, telling of burned cabins and mob attack. 26 But Sarah’s stories describe events that happened in August 1840, a time frame of supposed peace in Mormon history.

That fateful day late in August, 1840, a group of men from Missouri approached Water Street. Their logical target would have been the distinctive two-story homestead where Joseph and Emma lived. But in the storm it seems they became confused and attacked the Holmes cabin. They found Marietta there, almost certainly with her infant daughter. Eventually the men fled, leaving the cabin in flames and the mother fatally wounded.

In Sarah’s subsequent stories her mother took shelter with neighbors who fetched her father. Marietta died on August 20, 1840. 27 She was only twenty years old.

Sarah’s Nauvoo stories talk about living in the Smith home, playing alongside the Smith children, mistaking Porter Rockwell for an enemy while keeping watch for those approaching the Smith homestead, kneeling in prayer alongside Joseph Smith and Joseph’s son, Joseph III, and stealing cookies from the Black cook, Jane Manning. 28

Clearly, Sarah was an intimate of the Smith household following the death of her mother. So it seems reasonable the tragic fate of Sarah’s mother was of particular concern to Joseph Smith.

Joseph knew of the New and Everlasting Covenant that could bind husbands and wives together for eternity. He had received the keys of that power more than four years earlier, but had yet to use that power to bind his own marriage. As they buried Marietta, Joseph may have realized the ordinance of eternal marriage could also be performed for those now dead, just as baptism could be performed by proxy.

Though there is nothing written in 1840, there is a hint that Jonathan Holmes was the first widower offered the possibility of being reunited with his deceased spouse in eternity. 29 Eliza Snow would write a poem in September 1842 that she would dedicate to Jonathan, inspired by a sermon...
Beloved Daughter: Mary Holmes

It is not clear whether Joseph and Emma expected young Mary Holmes to survive her mother. In the weeks between Marietta’s death and the death of her baby, Emma Hale [Smith] likely nursed the motherless child alongside her own son. 31

Mary passed away on September 10th, 32 her death echoing the many infant deaths Joseph and Emma had suffered. When a baby stops nursing suddenly, a nursing woman’s body goes into mourning. 33 Though Mary was not Emma’s child, the loss would have been intense.

The grief of Jonathan Harriman Holmes would have been profound. Holmes had resumed living in the Smith household, having lived in the Smith’s household in Kirtland for roughly two years prior to his marriage.

Joseph, seeing the suffering of his close friend, may finally have seen how the sealing power could bind parents to their children and children to their parents. It could seal infant Mary to Jonathan and Marietta. It could seal his own departed children to himself and Emma. It could seal him to his own father, bedridden since March 1840.

Beloved Father: Joseph Smith Sr.

No sooner was Mary buried than Joseph’s father took a turn for the worse.

Meg Stout – Reluctant Polygamist

Joseph Smith’s father was a weak and flawed man. 34 Yet Joseph loved his father, and sought to honor him whenever possible. Joseph had confided in his father regarding his boyhood visions.

On September 12, 1840, Father Smith began vomiting blood. Until that day the family had hoped Father Smith would recover. 35

Joseph’s mother Lucy documented the scene. The recent attack on the Holmes cabin was clearly a concern to the Smiths. Lucy recounts Joseph promising to stay by his father’s side, saying that “Bennett is here and he will fix things so that we will not be in danger of being disturbed by the Missourians.” 36

Father Smith proceeded to bless his family, first his wife, then his sons, and finally his daughters. But it is the blessing on Joseph that is of particular interest.

“Joseph, my son, you are called to a high and holy calling. You are called to do the work of the Lord. Now, hold out faithful and you will be blessed, and your family shall be blessed, and your children after you. You shall live to finish your work.”

At this Joseph cried out, “Oh, Father, shall I?”

Father Smith continued:

“Yes, you shall. You shall live to lay out all the plan of all the work that God requires at your hand. Be faithful to the end. This is my dying blessing on your head in the name of Jesus. I also confirm your former blessing upon you, for it shall be fulfilled. Even so. Amen.” 37

Lucy’s record suggests Joseph cried out specifically because Father Smith told him he would have to finish “the work” before he could die.

It is possible Joseph had confided in his father about the New and Everlasting Covenant and his reluctance to obey. Alternately, Mormons believe God inspires individuals to say words that may only have meaning for the person receiving the blessing. Either way, the work Father Smith mentioned was not something Joseph could hope to leave to his successors.
After the blessings were done, Father Smith comforted Lucy. The frailties of old age slipped away as he died, for he remarked, “Why, I can see and hear as well as ever I could.” Minutes later Father Smith remarked “I see Alvin.” Shortly thereafter he quietly stopped breathing.  

Joseph’s renewed attempt to obey the 1831 commandment regarding plural marriage and the New and Everlasting Covenant would start in earnest after the 1840 blessing he received from his dying father.

Six Funerals and a Blessing — Notes

Joseph first taught it was possible to perform proxy baptisms on behalf of the dead at the 1840 funeral of Seymour Brunson. The doctrine was inspired by Jane Nyman’s grief for her deceased teenaged son and Joseph’s 1835 vision of his deceased brother, Alvin, in heaven.

The violent murder of Marietta Holmes days after the Brunson funeral caused great concern, likely heightened by the death of her infant daughter less than a month later. Marietta’s death, from an attack likely intended for Emma Hale, may have prompted Joseph to emphasize the importance of joining families together for eternity despite the separation of death.

Finally, the blessing Joseph received from his dying father emphasized that Joseph would live to “lay out all the plan of all the work that God requires.” In response, Joseph cried out, suggesting this was a duty he dreaded. Minutes later, Joseph’s father sealed the blessing with his death.

Dr. John Cook Bennett arrived in Joseph Smith’s Nauvoo in August 1840. The educated newcomer swiftly rose to the pinnacle of both civic and military society of Nauvoo. Dr. Bennett was even briefly asked to be an Assistant President of the Church during the illness of Sidney Rigdon.

Dr. Bennett would be a Mormon less than two years. The initial seed of conflict between Dr. Bennett and Joseph Smith appears to have involved Dr. Bennett’s desire to marry a young woman.

Dr. Bennett’s activities within the Mormon community and his public attacks after his excommunication arguably deformed Mormon history more than the actions of any other individual. If Dr. Bennett’s anger was inspired by thwarted love, it may well be said of his beloved that hers was the face that launched a thousand lies.

John Cook Bennett, MD

John C. Bennett was born August 3, 1804 in Fairhaven, Massachusetts, a natural port near the site of the first naval battle of the Revolutionary War. The Bennetts were shipbuilders. But blockades associated with the War of 1812 destroyed the family’s fortunes. John’s grandfather narrowly escaped debtor’s prison.
When John’s father died, his widowed mother returned to Ohio. In time John became an apprentice to his uncle, Dr. Samuel Hildreth. After passing his medical examination, Bennett wed Mary Barker.

There were few doctors and fewer institutions of higher learning in those days. John became an expert at setting up “universities” on the barest pretext. Bennett’s biographer suggests John might have been the first individual to run what would now be called a diploma mill. It seems John was expert at self-promotion and placing himself in high positions.

Medicine in the early 1800s involved practices that today would be considered sexual abuse, such as genital massage to treat hysteria. As the years passed, Mary Bennett began to suspect her husband of infidelity, seeing marriages end as a result of what she suspected was John’s interference. Eventually Mrs. Bennett felt she had proof of John’s adulterous behavior. She asked her brothers to take her entirely from her husband, “which they immediately done, they being leading members of the country and not wishing to be connected with so base a character…”

When Bennett arrived in Nauvoo, he did not inform his Mormon colleagues that he had a family. The omission is understandable. He had no wife with him. They apparently did not ask. He certainly did not tell.

Then Bennett fell in love with a young woman, a woman he reportedly wished to marry. History has remained unusually quiet on the subject of which woman Dr. Bennett desired to marry. Aside from Joseph Smith’s 1842 assertion that Dr. Bennett had desired to marry a young woman, there is no documentation regarding who that young woman might have been.

It would later be reported that during this early period Dr. Bennett had interacted in a scandalous manner with two women, both married.

The first was Sarah Marinda Bates [Pratt], whose husband was apostle Orson Pratt. Orson Pratt was out of the country, serving a mission in Europe. It was arranged that Sarah would mend Bennett’s clothes and do his laundry. The Goddards, with whom Sarah lived in October 1840, would claim in 1842 that Dr. Bennett came to the house frequently. Concerning the conduct between Dr. Bennett and Sarah Pratt, Zeruiah Goddard wrote, “I would further state that from my own observation, I am satisfied that their conduct was anything but virtuous…”

An 1888 account by Lyman O. Littlefield reports there was a married woman Dr. Bennett would visit in the evenings when her husband attended a Lyceum. The Lyceum began in January 1841, suggesting this woman was not Sarah Pratt, whose husband was abroad until July 1841. The unnamed woman tried to dissuade Dr. Bennett from visiting. When this failed, she persuaded her husband to remain home from the Lyceum one evening. When Dr. Bennett arrived and found the husband at home, he presumably ceased his attempts to visit that married woman.

In early 1841 Joseph Smith wrote a letter to Vilate Kimball regarding a young woman and an event that apparently was deemed “painful to every lover of Truth and Holiness.” Brian Hales suggests this letter might be referring to inappropriate sexual behavior involving Dr. Bennett.

In the letter Joseph wrote:

“Whether they were guilty of crime or not I do not say, but this I must say that their imprudence was carried to an unwarranted extent.

“I do not desire that you should turn the young woman out of doors, far be it from me to advise any such course. I think it would be well for her to remain with you at least until Bro Kimball comes home, because I think that your advise [sic], may be a blessing to her, and your council and advise such as will tend to her future welfare and happiness…”

Unfortunately, the letter does not specify who the young woman was or whether she was married or single. The letter is silent on the identity of the other individual(s) who had exhibited an imprudence bordering on the criminal. Therefore it is not certain the imprudence was sexual, much less whether Dr. Bennett was involved in the matter of the young woman boarding with Vilate.

It is possible that Dr. Bennett’s early interactions were legitimate. He was a trained physician specializing in the treatment of female complaints, particularly hysteria. It should not be surprising that he might attend to women. Given the kind of massage used to treat hysteria in the 1800s, Dr. Bennett’s efforts to treat female patients could well have seemed inappropriate to an observer commenting after the scandal of 1842.
Certainly neither Sarah Pratt nor the woman whose husband frequented the Lyceum could have believed Dr. Bennett intended to marry them. Who, then, was the woman Dr. Bennett had courted?

Housemate

As will be discussed later, Joseph Smith would learn in early 1841 that Bennett was still married. He immediately acted to end Bennett’s relationship with the young woman to whom Bennett had proposed marriage. In the spring of 1841, Bennett moved out of the Smith household. The young woman was therefore likely living in the Smith home. If not, Bennett’s departure would have increased, rather than decreased, the opportunities for him to continue the courtship.

The Face that Launched a Thousand Lies?

It appears Elvira Annie Cowles may have been the woman who was taken from Dr. Bennett in spring 1841. She was 27 years old to Dr. Bennett’s 36 years of age.

Elvira Annie Cowles was the eldest daughter of Austin Cowles by his first wife. In the spring of 1840, Elvira Annie had been hired to be the governess for the Smith children. She would eventually agree to covenant with Joseph Smith. But in 1840, Elvira Annie was simply a single woman in the Smith household, a woman of health, position, skill and learning. Other young ladies living in the Smith household during those months were orphaned or otherwise disadvantaged. When Bennett arrived in Nauvoo and was welcomed into the Smith household, Elvira Annie and Bennett became housemates.

It is suggestive that Elvira’s name was allegedly missing from a petition decrying Dr. Bennett. In addition, Elvira is specifically called out in a letter from a man who would later align himself with known seducers. Most intriguing, it appears Elvira Annie was protected within a pretend marriage solemnized by Joseph Smith in December 1842.

Several unmarried or abandoned women were pregnant in 1842. Married men opened their households to at least three such women: Mary Clift, Sarah Peak [Noon], and Lucina Roberts [Johnston]. Jonathan Holmes, as a widower, would have been in a position to stand as public husband for one of these women so there would be no hint of impropriety. Yet Jonathan wed Elvira Annie rather than any of the several pregnant woman where the cover of a monogamous marriage could have been useful.

Though neither Jonathan nor Elvira Annie ever wrote theirs was initially a pretend marriage, their descendants and neighbors would clearly indicate a belief that the marriage between Jonathan and Elvira Annie was not consummated until after Joseph’s death.

In 1931 John Fish Wright’s son, William, brought a letter to LDS Church Headquarters. The letter read:

“I was well acquainted with two of Joseph’s wives, LaVina and Eliza… Before Joseph was shot, he asked Jonathan Holmes if he would marry and take care of LaVina, but that if LaVina wanted him to take care of her he would take her. He would fill that mission to please his Father in Heaven.”

Jonathan’s descendants, including daughter Phebe, clearly understood that it was only after Joseph’s death that Elvira had become Jonathan’s wife, “probably at his direction.” Daughter Phebe Holmes [Welling] wrote:

“I heard my mother testify that she was indeed the Prophet Joseph Smith’s plural wife in life and lived with him as such during his lifetime. The Prophet Joseph Smith held Jonathan H. Holmes in the highest regard and he acted as one of the ‘bodyguards’ of the prophet.”

Elvira’s granddaughter Roxie Welling [Taylor], wrote:

“After the martyrdom of Joseph and Hyrum Smith, Elvira Annie Cowles (Smith) married young widowed, Jonathan Harriman Holmes, who had served faithfully as a bodyguard of the prophet.”

Elvira’s grandson, Congressman Milton Holmes Welling, wrote:

“When Joseph Smith was martyred, [Jonathan with others] buried his body and later moved it to a more secure resting place. Certain it is that after the Prophet’s death, probably at his direction, my grandfather married one of his (Joseph Smith) plural wives, Elvira Annie Cowles Smith.”
Parted Lovers

Whoever Dr. Bennett had desired to marry, his courtship was abruptly terminated once George Miller confirmed Dr. Bennett’s wife was still living. As Joseph would explain in 1842, a respectable person had written that:

“[Bennett] was a very mean man, and had a wife…”

Joseph would continue:

“[Dr. Bennett] intended to marry [a young lady, one of our citizens]… I, seeing the folly of such an acquaintance, persuaded him to desist; and, on account of his continuing his course, finally threatened to expose him if he did not desist. This, to outward appearance, had the desired effect, and the acquaintance between them was broken off.”

Showing Forth Afterwards an Increase of Love

The initial attempt to end Dr. Bennett’s courtship likely occurred in the latter portion of March 1841. Joseph Smith had been unquestionably harsh with Bennett in order to end the fraudulent courtship.

Two years earlier, Joseph had written a letter from Liberty jail, containing divine guidance on wielding power within the Church:

Power ought only be maintained “by persuasion, by long-suffering, by gentleness and meekness, and by love unfeigned; by kindness and pure knowledge…”

“Reproving betimes with sharpness, when moved upon by the Holy Ghost; and then showing forth afterwards an increase of love toward him whom thou hast reproved, lest he esteem thee to be his enemy…”

Aside from the fraudulent courtship, Dr. Bennett’s past questionable activities had either been washed away by baptism or had a seemingly innocent medical explanation. With the courtship ended, Joseph showed forth an increase of love by publicly appointing Dr. Bennett to stand as Assistant President of the Church, a position which Sidney Rigdon was no longer able to fill due to ill health.

He hath requited me evil for good…

Despite Joseph’s public show of favor, Dr. Bennett would proceed to indulge in extreme depravity. Joseph would apparently remain ignorant of the extent of Dr. Bennett’s “base and wicked conduct” until the following year. When the extent of the damage was known, Joseph would refer to Dr. Bennett as “a being totally destitute of common decency, and without any government over his passions… that others had been led by [Bennett’s] conduct to pursue the same adulterous practice.”

There never would have been a good time to restore the principle that plural marriage was permissible. However Dr. Bennett’s campaign of wanton seduction would cast a pall over the topic that continues today.

A Doctor and His Beloved – Notes

Dr. John C. Bennett arrived in Nauvoo, Illinois, around August 1840. He immediately came to the defense of the Mormons, succeeding in getting a powerful city charter passed. Reports that Dr. Bennett behaved badly during these first months could have arisen from medical procedures to relieve hysteria. Though Dr. Bennett may have initially been innocent of sexual sin in Nauvoo, he did fraudulently pass himself off as a bachelor.

By February 1841 Joseph Smith received a damning report regarding Dr. Bennett’s past sins and poor treatment of his wife, to whom Bennett was still married. When the report was verified, Joseph forced Dr. Bennett to break off his engagement with a young woman, possibly housemate Elvira Annie Cowles.

Joseph resorted to threats to break off the engagement between Dr. Bennett and his beloved. Perhaps as a show of kindness, Joseph elevated Dr. Bennett to the position of Assistant President of the Church. Joseph may have hoped this public honor would retain Dr. Bennett’s friendship despite the harsh rebuke. But Dr. Bennett began a campaign of secret seduction that would eventually corrupt unknown numbers of Joseph’s followers, male and female.
8 – The Angel of the Lord

Joseph Smith would tell women and their families that participation in celestial marriage would bring great blessings, allowing them to be united to deceased loved ones. Joseph would explain an angel had appeared several times and commanded him to covenant with particular women.

Critics presume these were fraudulent statements used to coerce women throughout Joseph’s latter years. But Joseph’s mention of the angel appears to be limited to the timeframe between the fall of 1840 and February 1842. Joseph would initially reach out to two men regarding what he termed Celestial marriage. Each of these men and their extended families had roots in New York and had been staunch supporters of Joseph Smith and the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints.

The Alvah Beaman family had supported Joseph’s work while he was translating the Book of Mormon. The William Huntington family had earnestly sought out the restoration of Christ’s Church before finding the Book of Mormon in 1833.

Fall 1840: The Noble House of Beaman

In 1869 Joseph Bates Noble wrote out an affidavit claiming Joseph Smith had approached him in the fall of 1840. Joseph taught Noble “the principle of celestial or plural marriage, or a plurality of wives,” saying “the angel of the Lord had commanded him (Smith) to move forward in the said order of marriage.” Joseph continued, “In revealing this to you, I have placed my life in your hands, therefore do not in an evil hour betray me to my enemies.”

Joseph Bates Noble had been a member of the Mormon Church since the fall of 1832, when he had been taught the gospel by Brigham Young and Heber C. Kimball. He had been a missionary for the Church before ever meeting Joseph Smith. Noble had marched to Missouri with Zion’s Camp, the pseudo-military group Joseph Smith assembled to relieve the suffering of Mormons in Missouri circa 1834.

Joseph Bates Noble’s prominence, however, was primarily because of the family he had married into. In 1834 Joseph Bates Noble married Mary Adeline Beaman, a daughter of Alvah Beaman and Sarah Burttts. The Beamans had been acquainted with Joseph Smith and “the work” since the time that the Book of Mormon was being translated. After August 1843, Joseph Smith would also confide in another Beaman in-law, Erastus Snow. Erastus would document that Joseph had first received the revelation on “the principle of the Celestial Order of Marriage” while translating the Old Testament. Erastus would also claim Joseph had affirmed to him “the time had come now when the principle should be practiced.”

Sarah Burttts [Beaman] died on September 29, 1840, shortly after the death of Joseph Smith’s father. Louisa Beaman, then twenty-five, moved in with her sister Mary Adeline and Mary’s husband, Joseph Bates Noble. In a separate 1869 affidavit, Noble would affirm to Andrew Jensen that “Elder Joseph B. Noble swears (the affidavit I have on hand) before a notary public, on June 6, 1869, that he did on April 5, 1841, seal to Joseph Smith, the Prophet, Miss Louisa Beaman, according to the revelation on plural marriage.”

Joseph Bates Noble had taught Louisa about the doctrine of Celestial marriage and the possibility of plural marriage. Louisa had prayed and received a testimony that the principle of plural marriage emanated from God.

Joseph Smith reportedly met Joseph Bates Noble and Louisa in a grove near Main Street. Louisa was disguised as a man. Joseph Smith dictated the words of the ordinance to Joseph Bates Noble, who performed the ceremony of sealing the two in marriage.

Joseph Smith would tell women and their families that participation in celestial marriage would bring great blessings, allowing them to be united to deceased loved ones. Joseph would explain an angel had appeared several times and commanded him to covenant with particular women.

Critics presume these were fraudulent statements used to coerce women throughout Joseph’s latter years. But Joseph’s mention of the angel appears to be limited to the timeframe between the fall of 1840 and February 1842. Joseph would initially reach out to two men regarding what he termed Celestial marriage. Each of these men and their extended families had roots in New York and had been staunch supporters of Joseph Smith and the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints.

The Alvah Beaman family had supported Joseph’s work while he was translating the Book of Mormon. The William Huntington family had earnestly sought out the restoration of Christ’s Church before finding the Book of Mormon in 1833.

Fall 1840: The Noble House of Beaman

In 1869 Joseph Bates Noble wrote out an affidavit claiming Joseph Smith had approached him in the fall of 1840. Joseph taught Noble “the principle of celestial or plural marriage, or a plurality of wives,” saying “the angel of the Lord had commanded him (Smith) to move forward in the said order of marriage.” Joseph continued, “In revealing this to you, I have placed my life in your hands, therefore do not in an evil hour betray me to my enemies.”

Joseph Bates Noble had been a member of the Mormon Church since the fall of 1832, when he had been taught the gospel by Brigham Young and Heber C. Kimball. He had been a missionary for the Church before ever meeting Joseph Smith. Noble had marched to Missouri with Zion’s Camp, the pseudo-military group Joseph Smith assembled to relieve the suffering of Mormons in Missouri circa 1834.

Joseph Bates Noble’s prominence, however, was primarily because of the family he had married into. In 1834 Joseph Bates Noble married Mary Adeline Beaman, a daughter of Alvah Beaman and Sarah Burttts. The Beamans had been acquainted with Joseph Smith and “the work” since the time that the Book of Mormon was being translated.

After August 1843, Joseph Smith would also confide in another Beaman in-law, Erastus Snow. Erastus would document that Joseph had first received the revelation on “the principle of the Celestial Order of Marriage” while translating the Old Testament. Erastus would also claim Joseph had affirmed to him “the time had come now when the principle should be practiced.”

Sarah Burttts [Beaman] died on September 29, 1840, shortly after the death of Joseph Smith’s father. Louisa Beaman, then twenty-five, moved in with her sister Mary Adeline and Mary’s husband, Joseph Bates Noble. In a separate 1869 affidavit, Noble would affirm to Andrew Jensen that “Elder Joseph B. Noble swears (the affidavit I have on hand) before a notary public, on June 6, 1869, that he did on April 5, 1841, seal to Joseph Smith, the Prophet, Miss Louisa Beaman, according to the revelation on plural marriage.”

Joseph Bates Noble had taught Louisa about the doctrine of Celestial marriage and the possibility of plural marriage. Louisa had prayed and received a testimony that the principle of plural marriage emanated from God.

Joseph Smith reportedly met Joseph Bates Noble and Louisa in a grove near Main Street. Louisa was disguised as a man. Joseph Smith dictated the words of the ordinance to Joseph Bates Noble, who performed the ceremony
sealing Louisa to Joseph. The three of them then traveled across the river to Montrose, Iowa, the location of the Noble home. 8

During the Temple Lot trial, when it was deemed important to prove that Joseph Smith had been a practicing polygamist, Joseph Bates Noble testified regarding that night, how he said “Blow out the light and get into bed, and you will be safer there.”

The cross-examination between the sharp lawyer and the guileless Mormon is almost humorous. The lawyer destroyed the certainty Joseph Bates Noble had conveyed that Joseph Smith and Louisa Beaman necessarily consummated their Celestial marriage:

Q. Well did you stay there until the lights were blown out?
A. No sir I did not stay until they blowed out the lights then.
Q. Well you did not see him get into bed with her that time?
A. No sir.
Q. And so you don’t know whether he followed your advice from your own knowledge?
A. No sir, I did not see him, but he told me he did. 7
Q. Well, you know from your own knowledge that he did?
A. Well, I am confident that he did.
Q. But you don’t know it of your own knowledge from seeing him do it?
A. No sir, for I was not there. 8

Louisa would not become pregnant during Joseph's lifetime, even though the ceremony between Louisa and Joseph Smith occurred more than three years prior to Joseph's death. By contrast, Louisa would bear five children in five years (including two sets of twins) after her marriage to Brigham Young in 1846. Louisa died of breast cancer on May 15, 1850. 9 Louisa Beaman's reproductive history suggests she did not have sex with Joseph Smith.

- -

Zina Diantha Huntington

The William Huntington family had been actively looking for a return of the Church of Christ in 1832. In 1833 the Huntingtons found the Book of Mormon. In April 1835 the Huntington family formally joined the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints.

Zina was nineteen the summer of 1840 when her mother passed away of a “congestive chill,” likely malaria. Zina herself was so sick she could not attend their mother's funeral. Around the end of July, Joseph Smith told Zina's father to bring the family to the homestead so the Smiths could care for them. Zina and her family lived with the Smiths until the latter part of August. 10 While convalescing at the homestead, Zina met Henry Jacobs, and they began courting.

In the fall of 1840, Joseph taught Zina's brother, Dimick Huntington, the principle of plural marriage and asked to marry Zina. However it is not clear that Dimick found an opportunity to share this doctrine with Zina at the time. Possibly uninformed that she had the option of entering into Celestial marriage with Joseph Smith, Zina married Henry Jacobs on March 7, 1841. The ceremony was performed by Dr. John C. Bennett. 11

Following Zina's marriage to Jacobs, Joseph Smith would report an angel appeared, the same angel who had reportedly commanded Joseph to enter into Celestial marriage two times before. This time the angel bore a sword, swearing that Joseph and his people would be cut off if Joseph Smith did not restore Celestial marriage.

This time Dimick did talk to Zina, though she was now married and pregnant. Zina would later describe her prayers during that time:

“O dear Heaven, grant me wisdom! Help me to know the way. O Lord, my god, let thy will be done…” 12

Zina covenanted with Joseph Smith on October 27, 1841.

Nine and a half months after marrying Henry Jacobs and weeks after covenanted with Joseph, Zina gave birth to a son. DNA analysis confirms Zina’s first son had the same father as Zina’s second son, born in 1846. The common father was likely Zina’s legal husband, Henry Jacobs, 13 as Joseph Smith was dead before Zina’s second son was conceived. Zina named her first son Zebulon, after the son of biblical polygamist Jacob and his less-loved wife, Leah.
Presendia Huntington [Buell]

Dimick Huntington had arranged for Joseph to covenant with his sister, Zina Huntington [Jacobs]. Now Dimick asked Joseph to covenant with his other living sister, Presendia Huntington [Buell] (b. 1810). The reward Dimick desired for uniting Joseph with his two sisters was "that where you and your fathers family are, there I and my father's family may also be." 14

Presendia had married Norman Buell in the 1820s, bearing him their first child in 1828. Norman migrated with the Huntington family, but was never baptized into the Mormon faith. In the fall of 1841, Presendia lived 60 miles from Nauvoo. Four of Presendia’s six children had died in infancy. The promise that Celestial marriage could bind children to parents would have had a strong appeal to Presendia and to Dimick. Presendia covenanted with Joseph on December 11, 1841.

The Huntingtons would remain utterly loyal to Joseph Smith during the turbulent years that would come. Presendia and Zina would travel to Utah and become leaders amongst the Mormon woman. 15 Dimick and William would assist in the secret burial of Joseph’s remains in the summer of 1844, and would be part of the even smaller group entrusted to relocate Joseph’s remains in February 1845. Oliver, the youngest, would inherit and cherish a cane containing Joseph’s death locks. 16

The Angel of the Lord – Notes

Following the death of his father, Joseph Smith broaches the topic of plural marriage with two long-time Mormons, asking each to discuss the matter with a female relative who was unmarried at the time. In each case Joseph claimed that he had been commanded by the angel of the Lord.

After learning of the request and angelic command, both Louisa Beaman and Zina Diantha Huntington [Jacobs] eventually agreed to covenant with Joseph Smith. Zina's brother, wishing to further secure his family's bond to Joseph, asked Joseph to covenant with his thirty-one-year-old sister, Presendia Huntington [Buell], married to a non-Mormon.

Neither Louisa nor Zina would conceive a child during their marriage to Joseph Smith. It is unlikely Presendia’s children born prior to Joseph Smith’s death could have been engendered by Joseph, see Appendix C.

9 – Fall of the Doctor

By early 1841, Dr. John Cook Bennett had risen from Nauvoo’s newest immigrant to Mayor of the city 1 and General of the Nauvoo Legion.

By April 1841, Dr. Bennett had been installed as Assistant President of the Church. Only a select few knew Dr. Bennett was anything but an honorable man. But by the summer of 1842, hundreds if not thousands of Nauvoo’s residents would revile Bennett as “a most consummate scoundrel… a vile wretch.”

Bennett's initial embrace of Mormonism may have been sincere. Bennett had begun by securing freedom for his adopted people. He could have been one of the greatest leaders of the Mormon movement. Yet line by line, Bennett would fall from trusted friend to foul traitor.

Solace in the Arms of the Laundress

In the spring of 1841, Joseph Smith had quietly but forcibly put an end to Dr. Bennett’s fraudulent courtship. Meanwhile, Joseph had elevated Dr. Bennett to the post of Assistant President of the Church. Dr. Bennett was therefore installed at the highest levels of power in military circles, ecclesiastical circles, and political circles. Furthermore, he was a trained physician and the hero who had won passage of the Nauvoo charter.
Some presume that Dr. Bennett’s sexual activities were inspired by Joseph Smith’s doctrines of Celestial marriage and plural marriage. Yet Dr. Bennett was still a newcomer to Mormonism. Only two long-time Mormons had been told about plural marriage by April 1841, by which time Joseph Smith knew Dr. Bennett had a questionable past. Therefore it is extremely unlikely that Joseph Smith taught Dr. Bennett about plural marriage.

Despite Dr. Bennett’s powers and positions, he had been denied love. Bennett had hoped to love, and he wished still to love. Dr. Bennett found a partner in Sarah Marinda Bates [Pratt], the woman who had been caring for his mending and laundry. Sarah was a married woman, but her husband was absent and would be gone for months.

It seems possible the initiation of intercourse between Sarah and Dr. Bennett may have been accidental. It seems Dr. Bennett felt he needed to reassure Sarah she need not fear becoming pregnant when her husband could not have engendered the child. At some point Dr. Bennett showed Sarah the tools that are used to surgically induce abortion. There is no indication the tools were used as often as Sarah would imply in the 1880s.

It is not known how long Sarah and Dr. Bennett continued their affair, or how many times they engaged in intercourse. However the Sheriff of Hancock County would provide an affidavit in 1842 accusing “Doctor J. C. Bennett, with having an illicit intercourse with Mrs. Orson Pratt, and some others, when said Bennett replied that she made a first rate go, and from personal observations I should have taken said Doctor Bennett and Mrs. Pratt as man and wife, had I not known to the contrary, and further this deponent saith not.”

It seems Dr. Bennett and Sarah Pratt were reprimanded for the affair in early July, 1841. In extensive 1844 municipal court testimony regarding Francis Higbee, it is possible to glean information regarding Dr. Bennett’s disgrace in the summer of 1841.

Hyrum Smith would testify “I recall Dr. Bennett asking forgiveness of the Lodge when there was about sixty present.”

Apparently referring to the same episode, Joseph Smith testified “a long time before John C. Bennet left this city... I brought Francis M. Higbee before Brigham Young, Hyrum Smith and others; Bennet was present, when they both acknowledged that they had done these things, and asked us to forgive them... Higbee had been guilty of adulterous communication, perjury, &c.; which I am able to prove by men who heard them confess it.”

Brigham Young provided the 1841 date for the confessions, testifying, “I knew of the whole affair, it was on the 4th of July, or a few days after—it was shortly after I came from England.” Continuing under cross-examination, Brigham would say, “I have heard Dr. Bennet say all these things were facts; he acknowledged that Higbee had the [pox, slang for syphilis] and that he had doctored him, he acknowledged that and a great deal more.”

By 1844, Joseph and his trusted circle would not have wanted to mention Sarah’s name in connection with Dr. Bennett. Nor, indeed, would it have been necessary after the shocking public disclosures of 1842.

These statements against Dr. Bennett and Francis Higbee suggest that significant sexual misbehavior was already occurring by July 1841. However within a few days, Joseph had cause to show mercy to Dr. Bennett, sparing him public exposure.

The Widow Fuller

Those who had traveled from England with Orson Pratt began to arrive in Nauvoo around the beginning of July. Sarah Bates [Pratt] would have been told that her husband Orson would be returning in a matter of weeks. Based on affidavits that would be sworn out in 1842, it appears that Dr. Bennett proceeded to arrange for another woman to continue as Sarah had begun.

The woman Bennett selected was a widow living on the other side of town, in the northeast quadrant of Nauvoo designated the Second Ward. Joseph Smith’s home was located in the southwest quadrant of town. Sometime in June Bennett started to visit the widow, likely saying he wanted to help her. By the end of the first week, Dr. Bennett revealed his true intent.

Catherine’s Widowhood. In the fall of 1838, Catherine Laur [Fuller] and her family had lived near the banks of Shoal Creek in Missouri. Their village boasted a smithy and a mill. The mill was owned by Jacob Haun. There were roughly 30 Mormon families living in the village.

In October 1838 a militia of roughly 250 men threatened to attack the Mormons living near Haun’s Mill. A truce was called, and negotiations appeared to have secured a reprieve. Even so, the Mormon villagers gathered to the relative safety of the village center. Earlier attacks on solitary Mormon households showed that Mormons were very vulnerable when isolated.
Animals were scattered or killed, homes were burned, and other unspeakable horrors were reported.  

On the afternoon of October 30, 1838, the militia attacked. The women and children fled to the woods. The men and boys in the village took shelter in the smithy, a crude log structure with hardly any chinking. At least seventeen Mormon men and boys would be shot and killed that day. In later months men who had participated in the attack on Haun’s Mill would brag of their actions to their Mormon prisoners. Hyrum would testify that the guards boasted of “their great achievements at Haun’s Mill and at other places, telling us how many houses they had burned... how many rapes they had committed.”

Josiah Fuller was one of those killed. Catherine may have been one of the women reportedly raped that day. Those who had not experienced the brutality were slow to believe it was not mere boasting. When the truth was known, delicacy forbade mentioning the names of the victims.

The survivors at Haun’s Mill gathered the dead and placed their bodies in the well. Catherine’s son would later mark the spot with the old mill stone. Catherine gathered her five children and fled Missouri with the rest of the Mormon refugees. She and her children settled in the flat lands northeast of the bend in the Mississippi that would become Nauvoo.

Unlawful Intercourse. During Dr. Bennett’s third visit to Catherine’s home, he explained that he desired to have sex. He wished to have the widow grant his desires.

Catherine attempted to refuse, saying it was contrary to her feelings.

Bennett assured her there were others of higher standing than she who “would conduct in that way.” Bennett was presumably referring at least to Sarah Pratt. In case this was not persuasive, Bennett assured Catherine there was no harm in it. If the behavior was sinful, and he undoubtedly assured her it was not, Bennett claimed any sin would fall upon his head, not hers.

Catherine told Dr. Bennett that she was not a loose woman. He was undeterred, continuing to press her to yield to his desires.

Catherine finally fell back on what she no doubt thought was her sure defense. She explained that were she to become pregnant, the scandal would bring disgrace on the Church. As Bennett was a Church official, she must have supposed he cared.

Bennett had her. He was a medical doctor. He knew how to avoid pregnancy. She would later testify “I understood that he would give me medicine to prevent it.” She allowed Bennett to have his way with her.

Dr. Bennett now had a comfortable mistress, one who had no husband who might inconveniently return, one who was not in position to make demands. Bennett could be mayor and general and Church leader by day, then visit “the needy” at night and have his desires satisfied.

The Suicide Attempt

Dr. Bennett may have originally planned to keep Catherine Fuller as his personal mistress. But as Catherine would testify, George Thatcher came to her home in mid-July 1841 and insisted she have sex with him. We do not know what arguments Thatcher brought to bear. Catherine had already yielded to Dr. Bennett. She never mentions whether she attempted to resist George Thatcher’s advances.

Thatcher had his way with Catherine. At some point he would return and have his way with her again.

In mid-July Dr. Bennett would attempt suicide. It seems Bennett’s despair had arisen from difficulties related to love. A remembered mock epitaph was inscribed “In memory of Major General John C. Bennett; who died at the scoge [sic] of Philter, in the defence of the cause of Venus, July 17, 1841.”

The public story, related by Joseph Smith in the Times and Seasons in July 1842, was that Hyrum Smith and William Law had sent a letter, recounting that Dr. Bennett was rumored to have a living wife. Joseph implied the June 1841 discovery of Bennett’s attempted bigamy was the cause of Dr. Bennett’s despair. Many years later, Ebenezer Robinson would repeat this explanation for Bennett’s suicide attempt.

However Lorenzo Wasson would write a letter in 1842 reporting that he had overheard Joseph shouting at Bennett in the summer of 1841. Joseph dished out “a tremendous flagellation for practicing iniquity under the base pretense of authority from the heads of the Church.” Lorenzo’s letter was one of many published to document Dr. Bennett’s bad behavior. But Wasson’s letter does not match the tale about Hyrum’s letter.
The tremendous flagellation Lorenzo overheard was most likely associated with the July discipline involving Dr. Bennett and Francis M. Higbee. From Joseph’s language during the reported “flagellation,” Bennett had apparently used his authority as a Church leader to coerce someone, presumably Sarah Bates [Pratt], to yield to him.

Another possible cause for Dr. Bennett’s suicide attempt was the mid-July interaction between George Thatcher and the widow Fuller. What might have started as Bennett’s personal wish to have his desires granted had ballooned. If Thatcher’s intimacies prompted Dr. Bennett’s suicidal despair, it is unknown what Dr. Bennett felt his death would accomplish.

Dr. Bennett was discovered before perishing. It is reported Dr. Bennett struggled mightily to thwart the efforts of those attempting to save him.

Joseph had been undeniably furious with Dr. Bennett based on the adultery with Sarah [Pratt]. But Joseph had compassion on the suicidal man. As soon as practicable, Bennett was again involved in the duties of his offices. No mention was made publicly at this time of Bennett’s abandoned wife and children, his shady past, or the adultery with Sarah [Pratt].

Joseph was apparently not aware in July 1841 that Bennett had seduced Widow Fuller. If he knew Bennett and others were taking responsibility for the temporal needs of widows and orphans, Joseph likely thought they were merely doing their Christian duty. Joseph was apparently ignorant of the burgeoning heresy regarding illicit intercourse, which was spreading through his people.

Colleagues, Friends, and Neighbors

Catherine Laur [Fuller] first slept with Dr. Bennett around June 1841. She subsequently slept with George Thatcher in mid-July 1841. It is not clear when Catherine slept with the others she would name as sex partners.

Several of the men were colleagues of Dr. Bennett in the Nauvoo Legion. The testimony of Jacob Backenstos, non-Mormon sheriff of Hancock County and an aide-de-camp in the Nauvoo Legion, had provided an affidavit affirming that he found John C. Bennett:

“having an illicit intercourse with Mrs. Orson Pratt, and some others… and further this deponent saith not.” 12

Catherine Fuller would list Jacob Backenstos as one of the several men with whom she had illicit intercourse. This suggests a scenario where Bennett, discovered in the midst of intercourse by some colleague from the Nauvoo Legion, lied to prevent disgrace. The spread of the promiscuity is explained if Bennett made his lie plausible by promising the colleague that he, too, could participate in free access to female charms. In addition to the obvious temptation of “legitimate” promiscuity, it appears several high profile men came to believe they had a duty to care for widows, a supposed duty that reportedly included sexual liberties.

A key portion of Backenstos’s testimony was “having an illicit intercourse with…some others...” Among the unpublished notes recording Matilda Nyman’s confession, Matilda would say “Widow Fuller is guilty of the same [engaging in illicit intercourse]. Dr. Bennett was with her... Saw Bennet in the act with Sis Fuller.” 13

Unlike the amusing testimony where Joseph Bates Noble was forced to admit he had not actually seen what he presumed must have occurred, it appears there were those who had actually seen sexual acts being performed by their fellows in the home of Widow Fuller.

By the summer of 1841, girls in Nauvoo were reportedly referring to one another as “spirituals” and engaging in “spiritual wifery.” This is reflected in the recollections of several who were young people in 1841, including Bathsheba Bigler [Smith] during the Temple Lot trial, Emily Partridge [Smith Young] in her reminiscences, and Orange Wight in a letter. 14

The Honorable Higbee Family

By July 1841, Dr. Bennett had discovered Francis Higbee was actively seducing women in his own right. Francis was the son of Nauvoo’s judge, Elias Higbee. The other scion of the Higbee family was Chauncey Higbee, a handsome young man who was an aide-de-camp in Dr. Bennett’s Nauvoo Legion unit. Catherine Fuller would later indicate that Chauncey Higbee had bedded her five or six times.

John Higbee, uncle to Francis and Chauncey, was an honored and staunch member of the community and a member of the Nauvoo Legion. Though his involvement in illicit intercourse was not as extensive, there is a record that by the summer of 1841 he was living with two wives.
The Higbees had been part of the Mormon movement since the early 1830s. It is unclear how Francis, Chauncey, and John became involved in Bennett’s brave new world of no-consequence sexuality. However it is certain that the Higbees would play a significant role in persuading others that “spiritual wifery” was acceptable.

Fall of the Doctor – Notes

Dr. John C. Bennett had, in the course of a mere year, gone from simple adulterer to leader of a sexual underground.

Bennett’s seductions may not have started until after Joseph terminated his courtship, likely in the April 1841 timeframe. Bennett’s affair with Sarah Bates [Pratt], wife of Apostle Orson Pratt, likely started in May, possibly by accident. Likely aware that Orson Pratt would soon return to Nauvoo, Bennett cultivated a safer liaison with an obscure widow, Catherine Laur [Fuller]. Bennett’s affair with Catherine Laur [Fuller] likely began in June 1841.

What might have started as a private arrangement for personal sin quickly ballooned. By mid-July at least one other man had slept with Catherine Laur [Fuller]. Soon others around Dr. Bennett began to learn about the supposed acceptability of sex without commitment. Despite Dr. Bennett’s suicide attempt, Dr. Bennett’s network of friends and colleagues began to spread the heresy of illicit intercourse through all levels of Nauvoo society.

10 – A Multitude of Sins

In May 1842, Emma Hale [Smith] would address the Relief Society, calling upon them to come forward if they knew of any involved in sinful behavior. This has often been interpreted as a jealous wife using her position of authority to investigate rumors of polygamy, unaware that Joseph was teaching individuals about the New and Everlasting Covenant and its allowance for plural marriage. However Emma refers to prior events, saying, “the time had been when charity had covered a multitude of sins— but now it is necessary that sin should be expos’d— that heinous sins were among us— that much of this iniquity was practiced by some in authority, pretending to be sanction’d by Prest. Smith…”

If we believe Emma, there was a time of amnesty, when those who had erred were taught correct doctrines and permitted to repent.

There is documentation of confessions made to ecclesiastical or legal authority. However it is likely most confessions of the penitent would be lost to history. Certainly the penitent would have suppressed evidence of the sins they had forsaken. Beyond those sins that were forsaken, garbled second hand reports regarding spiritual wifery would be remembered in later years as pertaining to Joseph Smith’s Celestial marriage doctrine. However Joseph reportedly maintained utter secrecy regarding plural marriage during this period when women confessed to being seduced by Dr. Bennett and his followers. It becomes clear that some past observations refer to illicit intercourse and spiritual wifery rather than Celestial marriage and plural marriage.
John Higbee

While Francis and Chauncey Higbee would be remembered as scoundrels in connection with the sexual liaisons they engaged in during 1841 and 1842, their Uncle John is remembered as an honorable individual. John Higbee had been a protector and mentor to many during the expulsion from Missouri in 1833. After the Bennett scandal had blown over, John Higbee would remain a faithful Saint. John Higbee received the ordinance of the Endowment in the Nauvoo temple on Joseph Smith’s birthday in 1845. Higbee helped ferry the Saints across the Mississippi when they evacuated Nauvoo in 1846. He traveled with the Saints to Winter Quarters, joined the first company of Saints to travel towards Salt Lake City, led the company that settled Provo, served a mission to England, and remained a faithful Mormon until his death at age 73 in Toquerville, Washington. 1

John can be seen as an archetype of the faithful who became persuaded to engage in sinful sexual practices in 1841/42 yet who subsequently repented. If it were not for the 1903 letter of Orange Wight stating that John had two wives in the summer of 1841, there would be no reason to suspect that John Higbee had ever erred.

Evidence that others transgressed during 1841/42 is present, but is often buried in obscure documents. In other cases the evidence for transgression is overwhelming, but has been interpreted as instances of early Celestial marriage.

Delicate Matters

On 23 June 1843 Joseph Smith “conversed considerable concerning some delicate matters” with William Clayton, who by then had covenanted with a plural wife. William Clayton’s journal contains a concise record of the conversation. 2 Clayton discussed three men in his journal: Thompson, Brother Knight, and BY. Of these, Thompson and Brother Knight had apparently died. Clayton recorded that Joseph had pled with the Lord to spare BY, “otherwise he would have died.” It is worth remembering that in 1843 Joseph and Clayton would have believed that sexual sin could directly cause death.

Thompson. The first person mentioned in William Clayton’s record of the “delicate matters” was Robert Blash Thompson, who was married to the former Mercy Fielding, sister of Hyrum Smith’s second wife, Mary Fielding [Smith]. Robert Thompson died on August 27, 1841. At the time he was the official Church Historian, a colonel and aide-de-camp in the Nauvoo Legion, and associate editor of the Times and Seasons newspaper in Nauvoo. Robert Thompson was so close to the Smith family that he is the only non-Smith to be buried in the Smith family plot in Nauvoo.

It may have been Robert Thompson who attempted to persuade Don Carlos Smith to embrace spiritual wifery. Don Carlos Smith was chief editor of the Times and Seasons, and brother to Joseph Smith. The publisher of the Times and Seasons in August 1841 was Ebenezer Robinson. Decades later Ebenezer Robinson would report that Don Carlos said, “Any man who will teach and practice the doctrine of spiritual wifery will go to hell, I don’t care if it is my brother Joseph.” 3 Robinson added, “[Don Carlos] was a bitter opposer of the ‘spiritual wife’ doctrine.” 4

Don Carlos Smith died on August 7, 1841, so this conversation regarding spiritual wifery likely occurred in July 1841. It is not clear if someone was trying to suggest that Joseph Smith taught spiritual wifery or if Don Carlos was using hyperbole to emphasize his opposition. Alternately, it could be that Don Carlos merely referred to a brother and Ebenezer Robinson later presumed the brother must have been Joseph.

Nothing is known of how Thompson is believed to have transgressed, though the context suggests sexual transgression. Joseph Smith would have believed transgression alone could result in death, a belief that was typical of that era at least with regards to cholera. However not every person who died was accused of transgression, suggesting there was additional evidence supporting Joseph’s damning assessment of Thompson.

When Joseph began performing ceremonies (sealings) uniting widows and widowers to their deceased spouses, Mercy Fielding had herself sealed to Robert Thompson, with Hyrum Smith standing proxy.

Brother Knight. The “Brother Knight” William Clayton mentioned was probably Vinson Knight, 5 one of Nauvoo’s bishops, who died on 31 July 1842. Clayton wrote in his diary, “Also Brother Knight he [Joseph Smith] gave him one but he [Knight] went to loose conduct and he [Joseph Smith] could not save him.” The implication was that “Brother Knight” had died, and Joseph “could not save him.” 6
Clayton’s diary indicates Joseph Smith had given Bishop Knight responsibility to care for a woman, but that Bishop Knight’s subsequent behavior went beyond what was authorized.

The woman for whom Vinson Knight was caring was Philinda Eldredge [Merrick], a widow whose husband had been killed at Haun’s Mill.

Vinson’s legal wife, Martha McBride, reportedly “knew something was wrong” involving her husband and he could not seem to tell her about it. One evening as she was sitting in the grape arbor behind the house Vinson returned home carrying a basket. He explained to her that he had taken some fruit and vegetables to the widow, 7 Mrs. Levi Merrick, whose husband had been killed at Haun’s Mill… He also explained to her that he had been told to [take responsibility for a woman in need]. 8 That if he had to, this Sister Merrick would be the one he could help best. He must have been greatly relieved when Martha replied, ‘Is that all.’ 9

The conversation between Vinson and his wife must have occurred during the late summer or early fall of 1841, based on the mention of the grape arbor and fruits and vegetables. By the spring of 1842 Philinda was receiving help from the Relief Society, suggesting Vinson had been relieved of responsibility to care for her.

The statement that Vinson Knight “had gone to loose conduct” and Joseph Smith “could not save him” strongly suggests that Knight had become involved in sexual misconduct that Joseph Smith believed had invited the deadly wrath of God.

Neither Vinson Knight’s wife nor the widow he cared for, Philinda Eldredge [Merrick], would choose to have themselves sealed to Vinson in the Nauvoo temple.

B Y. The final person William Clayton mentioned in the journal entry regarding “delicate matters” was Brigham Young. There was no other BY mentioned in all of Hancock County for the 1840 census, 10 and the only individuals with the initials BY of any age or gender during the 1842 Nauvoo census were Brigham Young and Brigham Young Jr., age 5. 11

In 1839 Brigham Young had led the apostles to England to preach the gospel as the senior member of the Quorum of the Twelve Apostles in the LDS Church. In early July 1841 Brigham Young returned to Nauvoo, where he soon became involved in Church discipline regarding the sexual sins of Dr. Bennett and Francis Higbee. By 6 January 1842, Joseph Smith had
United States and returning to England. This incident is discussed more fully in the chapter Sangamo and Pratt.

The combination of the Clayton journal with the Brotherton story suggests Brigham Young could have been temporarily misled regarding spiritual wifery. Brigham’s conversation with Brotherton caused Martha and her parents to leave the Church. However Brigham Young does not appear to have actually committed sexual transgression.

The Brotherton incident appears to have occurred in December 1841. Martha had arrived in the vicinity of Nauvoo in November 1841, met with Brigham three weeks after arrival, and was still in Nauvoo for the 1842 census (early February 1842), even though leaving with her parents nine weeks after arrival. In December 1841, Brigham Young could have been receiving his guidance about acquiring extra “wives” from someone influenced by Dr. Bennett.

It might be argued that the story Martha Brotherton told was entirely fabricated. However several details of the story can be verified. And historians must account for the fact that Brigham Young would have Martha Brotherton sealed to him by proxy decades later, after she passed away. It seems unlikely Brigham would seal a woman to himself if the sole basis of their relationship was a fabricated slander.

Presuming Brigham’s interaction with Martha Brotherton was attempted spiritual wifery rather than authorized Celestial marriage, it appears Brigham repented.

**Kimball, Smith, and Law**

From the Martha Brotherton story, we see that other high profile individuals may have been misled by the heresy of spiritual wifery in 1841.

**Heber C. Kimball.** The first man mentioned in association with the Martha Brotherton incident in both the April 1842 General Conference rebuttal and Martha’s July 1842 affidavit is Heber Kimball.

Helen Mar Kimball wrote: “Before my father ever heard that such a principle had been revealed to Joseph Smith he said to some friends in my hearing that if “all things were to be restored again as they were in the beginning,” as the scriptures declare them, the principle of a plurality of wives must also be restored…” 16

A tradition passed down in the Kimball family relates that Heber understood he was supposed to take responsibility for additional women/wives. Heber formed a plan to approach the Pitkin sisters, elderly spinster who had cared for him when he was on his way to England in 1840.

Heber presented his plan to Joseph Smith, who promptly proceeded to forbid such a course. According to Stanley Kimball, “Joseph had to warn him [Heber Kimball] that he could lose his apostleship and to command him three times to obey.” 17

Stanley B. Kimball clearly believed the command to obey related to entering into the practice of plural marriage. However, the extreme nature of the threat makes more sense if Heber was on the verge of committing a sin, rather than merely not embracing Celestial marriage.

It appears Heber Kimball’s conversation with Joseph Smith must have occurred after his reported involvement in the Martha Brotherton incident. At some point in 1842, Heber Kimball was asked to take in Sarah Peak [Noon], an abandoned woman who had several children. 18 Sarah Noon would give birth in 1842. Sarah’s child died in infancy, frustrating any attempt to positively identify the child’s biological father. Thus it is not possible to be certain whether Sarah became pregnant as a plural wife to Heber Kimball or if she was pregnant before Heber Kimball took responsibility for her in 1842.

**Hyrum Smith.** Hyrum was the one who delivered the April 1842 rebuttal to the rumor regarding Martha Brotherton. The 15 April 1842 edition of the *Times and Seasons* reported Hyrum’s remarks as follows:

“Pres’t H. Smith spoke concerning the elders who went forth to preach from Kirtland…”

“He then spoke in contradiction of a report in circulation about Elder Kimball, B. Young, himself, and others of the Twelve, alleging that a sister had been shut in a room for several days, and that they had endeavored to induce her to believe in having two wives. Also cautioned the sisters against going to the steam boats.

Hyrum’s rebuttal of the rumor was followed by comments from Joseph Smith.

“Pres’t J. Smith spoke upon the subject of the stories respecting Elder Kimball and others, showing the folly and
inconsistency of spending any time in conversing about such stories or hearkening to them, for there is no person that is acquainted with our principles would believe such lies, except Sharp the editor of the “Warsaw Signal.”

The reported rumor involved locking a woman in a room for several days. When Martha herself described the incident, she claimed she had been alone in the room for only ten minutes while Brigham went to fetch Smith. The door had been locked during the incident to exclude those who were not directly involved in the discussion at hand. Therefore neither Hyrum nor Joseph was technically lying to deny the “report in circulation,” which claimed several days of coercive confinement.

Martha Brotherton’s July affidavit claimed the three men who tried to convince her to be Brigham’s second wife were Joseph Smith, Brigham Young, and Heber Kimball. Dr. John Bennett had requested Martha produce the affidavit for use in his attack on Joseph Smith. In Dr. Bennett’s articles and book, he would claim Joseph Smith was the seducer in situations where other ecclesiastical or legal testimony clarifies that Dr. Bennett had been the seducer. Given the established pattern where Dr. Bennett created or solicited fraudulent testimony expressly to damage Joseph Smith, Martha’s account cannot be taken at face value.

It should be considered that when Martha’s original story was gossip, the rumor featured Heber Kimball, Brigham Young, and Hyrum Smith. Surely if Joseph had been featured in the original rumor, the General Conference rebuttal would have addressed that slander. Given the extreme nature of the rumor, it makes no sense to avoid naming Joseph Smith, had he been party to the actual incident. Moreover, the words Martha would put in Joseph’s mouth as part of her affidavit do not make any sense coming from Joseph, but do make sense coming from an unwitting Hyrum.

It may be argued that Martha’s entire story was a fabrication. But her story resonates with other accounts. The words Martha puts in the mouth of Smith echo the words Dr. Bennett had used to coerce Catherine Fuller. Martha also claims Smith cited powers that had specifically been conferred on Hyrum Smith in his role as Church Patriarch. Meanwhile, Martha’s claim that Smith was worried about being able to get exclusive access to the Red Brick Store in the future does not make any sense if spoken by Joseph Smith, the proprietor of the store.

There is indication that Joseph reprimanded both Brigham Young and Heber Kimball in the winter of 1841/42, but subsequently involved them in activities related to Celestial marriage. On the other hand, Joseph would withhold information regarding Celestial marriage from his brother, Hyrum, until April 1843.

After December 1841, we see Hyrum Smith advocating that the priesthood go to every home, including his own, to teach the people their Christian duty. Had an unwitting Hyrum previously been involved in spreading heresy, this outreach to every household could have been used to refute the incorrect teachings.

William Law. In June 1844, Hyrum Smith would testify before the Nauvoo City Council, accusing William Law of committing adultery. Hyrum related William Law’s confession, delivered while prostrated by illness:

“Wm Law when sick said "he had been guilty of adultery &c" he was not fit to live or die, had sinned against his own soul &c.”

But in 1844 William Law was not penitent. Though the account was delivered in 1844, the vignette Hyrum described fits an earlier time when transgression was corrected with compassion and forgiveness. This earlier time corresponds with the “time when charity had covered a multitude of sins,” during the winter of 1841/1842.

Widows, Orphans, and Foreigners

Dr. Bennett’s descent into depravity has a clear trajectory. By the time he coerced Catherine Fuller to satisfy his desires, Dr. Bennett was positioned at the highest level of the Church, the government, and the military. This alone suggests how so many good individuals were exposed to the idea that it was right to advocate or engage in illicit intercourse.

Additionally, several high profile men had been aware of some aspect of Bennett’s disgraceful past. George Miller was the bishop Joseph had sent to investigate the assertion that Bennett had abandoned his legal wife. William Law and Hyrum Smith had tumbled across the tale of Bennett’s wife in June 1841 while they were serving a mission in the east. Brigham Young, Hyrum Smith, and others had been privy to the July events where Francis Highbee and Dr. John Bennett had confessed to sexual sin. Each of these high profile men would have had reason to talk with Bennett to understand what he had to say for himself. Bennett had motive to come up with a plausible
explanation for his activities, particularly as his continued sexual liberties were discovered.

It appears Dr. Bennett persuaded those questioning him that there was benefit for the women. The affair with Sarah Pratt could have been explained as beginning with medical treatment for her hysteria. Dr. Bennett’s interaction with Catherine Fuller provided her with access to food. Several men clearly became convinced they had a moral duty to provide for women in addition to their legal wives, with sexuality presumed to be part of the duty. This belief that men had a responsibility to care for widows or spinsters is specifically reported in the case of Bishop Vinson Knight and Apostle Heber C. Kimball at a time when it is not credible that the assignment was endorsed by Joseph Smith. In fact, Joseph Smith specifically forbade Heber Kimball from making the Pitkin spinsters his wives. 20

It is possible that Joseph Smith had encouraged men to care for widows, orphans, spinsters, and the impoverished foreigners that began to arrive in Nauvoo in 1841. It is unlikely that Joseph intended that this care should include conjugal relations in 1841.

Even so, Joseph clearly did believe he was under commandment to teach the possibility of plural marriage in the context of Celestial marriage.

Dr. Bennett “Specified Joseph’s Name”

In October 1841, Dr. Bennett specifically began to claim Joseph endorsed illicit intercourse. We see this in the extended testimony of Catherine Laur [Fuller Warren]:

“Sometime last winter I became alarmed at my conduct and told him [Dr. Bennett] I did not wish his company any longer. He told me that the heads of the Church were conducting in that manner “and specified Joseph’s name” I think this happened last October. He said that Joseph taught and conducted in the above manner.

“He also was with Mrs. Shindle now living beyond Ramus and also with the two Miss Nymans…”

“L. O. Littlefield had been at my house and made propositions to have unlawful intercourse – he urged hard. This was about the last of January or first of February, had been 3 or 4 times in course of 2 or 3 weeks. He urged doctrines such as the following, namely that there was no harm in having unlawful intercourse, that others conducted in the same way, there should be no sin come upon her— if there was any it should come upon himself, that the heads of the church now practicing the same things – named Joseph Smith – he urged this doctrine – was there about the first of February about 8 in the evening.” 21

From the affidavits sworn out during 1842, it seems at least a dozen men had either seduced women or were attempting to pressure women to yield to them. The common thread in the testimonies was the men’s assurance that it was right to engage in intercourse as long as it was kept secret. More details of these affidavits are covered in the chapter Arraigning the Band of Brothers.

There have been several interpretations of these accounts.

First, it has been presumed those mentioned in the accounts were operating with the full authorization of Joseph Smith, but had the misfortune to be discovered. Thus they sacrificed themselves and their good names to protect the secret of polygamy.

Second, it has been presumed that the men had learned something of Joseph’s doctrine permitting plural marriage, but over-reached Joseph’s intent.

Third, it has been presumed that the affidavits accurately portrayed exactly what happened. This paints the men as vile seducers operating without authorization.

Unfortunately, few scholars have taken the affidavits at face value. Most scholars have supposed stories regarding any form of unusual sexuality in Nauvoo were related to Joseph Smith’s teachings regarding Celestial marriage and plural marriage. Joseph Smith and his faithful followers have borne the blame for the coercion and objectification experienced by the women seduced by Dr. Bennett and his followers.

Multiple Wives in Turkey or India

One possible source of the October certainty that Joseph condoned illicit intercourse was a Thursday morning sermon where Joseph mentioned plural
marriage. Though no one recorded the exact date of the sermon, several individuals documented Joseph mentioning how the Saints might need to embrace the possibility of a man having multiple wives when the gospel was taught in Turkey or India. It is reported that during the lunch break Emma Smith and other women demanded that Joseph take back his words about multiple wives. After lunch the meeting reconvened, and Joseph recanted his words. This sermon may have caused the change Catherine Fuller reported.

Unwitting Betrayal

Another possible source for Bennett’s use of Joseph’s name is suggested by Bennett’s later exposé of Mormonism. As Bennett began naming names, he included Joseph Bates Noble as having performed the marriage between Joseph Smith and Louisa Beaman. Bennett’s likely informant in this was Joseph Bates Noble himself.

When Joseph Smith had told Noble about plural marriage, he had cautioned, “In revealing this to you, I have placed my life in your hands, therefore do not in an evil hour betray me to my enemies.” Yet when a variation of the spiritual wifery heresy reached Noble, he may have presumed it was a description of the kind of plural marriage he had encouraged Louisa Beaman to accept. It is not impossible that Noble thought high leaders, such as Dr. Bennett, might already know about plural marriage. It is reasonable to suggest that Noble thought it was safe to share information regarding Joseph’s covenant with Louisa Beaman.

Other Casualties of Heresy

Most have seen the 1842 Nauvoo High Council testimonies as careful lies to hide Joseph’s polygamy. But if there was a widespread heresy advocating illicit intercourse, it may call into question tales previously presumed to reflect Joseph’s teachings. It is possible some of these instances of “legitimate” plural marriage might be describing instances of spiritual wifery.

Here are some proposed tells that a story might be discussing spiritual wifery:

1. The women are not named or numbered.
2. Crude terminology is used.
3. Women are shared between multiple men.

4. Joseph calls the person to repentance or otherwise suggests that they risked damnation.
5. They themselves claim that it is acceptable for a man to engage in sex with a woman without benefit of marriage.
6. The man in question is sent away from Nauvoo.

William Smith. Joseph Smith’s younger brother had been a problem for years, certainly since initially refusing to ally himself with Mormonism in order to continue sowing his wild oats. Joseph Smith had insisted on selecting his problematic brother as one of the initial twelve apostles over the objections of others, indicating it would save William’s soul. Yet when William felt he was being disrespected, he could become violent. He once beat Joseph so severely that Joseph appeared to have suffered broken ribs.

When others betrayed Joseph in 1838, William called for Joseph’s death. William would stay away from Nauvoo until November 1841. When he arrived in Nauvoo, the heresy of illicit intercourse was at its height.

The women’s affidavits in 1842 claimed that William had been involved in persuading women to participate in illicit intercourse. Catherine Fuller claimed that on the morning of her marriage to Brother Warren, William Smith came to her to get her to abandon marriage and remain available to himself for unlawful intercourse.

Joseph attempted to reform his young brother. Despite Joseph’s best efforts, it became clear after Joseph’s death that William continued to teach and practice unlawful sexual intercourse. In 1845 William would openly proclaim to believers in Nauvoo that he believed in spiritual wifery.

William would be excommunicated by the LDS Church and by all the LDS sects with which he subsequently affiliated in the decade after Joseph’s death. Late in life he would join the RLDS Church. William would never be granted the leadership position he clearly believed was his blood right.

John Snider and Joseph Kelly. In 1850 John Snider’s son-in-law, Joseph Ellis Johnson, submitted to Church discipline related to his seduction of a plural wife of Lorenzo Snow. In the course of the testimony, the seduction of Johnson’s mother-in-law was entered into evidence. Johnson would state “He was familiar with the first frigging that was done in his house with his mother-in-law—by Joseph.” Frigging is a pejorative term for illicit sex. It appears the individual frigging Johnson’s mother-in-law had believed Dr. Bennett’s tales that there was no harm in sex if no one found out.
Joseph Kelly, like Johnson, had been specifically brought to Salt Lake City for this trial by Orson Hyde. Orson Hyde would say “[Joseph] Kelly told him John [Snider?] knew what he was about—it was done in [Snider’s] house by bro Joseph [Kelly]...” 20

Given the crudeness of the term used (frigging), it seems unlikely that there is an honorable interpretation of this sordid tale involving Joseph Kelly, John Snider, and Mary Heron [Snider]. In addition, the implication that John Snider knew what Joseph Kelly “was about” is troubling.

If Joseph Ellis Johnson was talking about John Snider and Joseph Kelly, it appears they subsequently repented and remained faithful Mormons.

Joseph W. Coolidge. In August 1870, Joseph F. Smith was attempting to collect any information regarding plural marriage that might refute the claim that Joseph had never taught plural marriage. Coolidge claimed “Joseph Smith had sealed more than one wife to Jos. W. Coolidge, and he ‘knew’ as he said, what he spoke.”

Though the testimony seemed to support Joseph F. Smith’s agenda of proving Joseph Smith advocated plural marriage, Joseph F. Smith was wary of the story. He wrote, “I record this as the testimony of a man who has not been with the Church for more than 20 years.”

One might argue that Coolidge’s participation in temple sealings in 1846 showed him to be an upstanding participant in plural marriage. Yet proven debaucher, William Smith, had also been readmitted to the society of the Saints for a time. Unlike Coolidge, the men known to have learned of plural marriage directly from Joseph Smith would all follow Brigham Young to Utah.

John E. Page. John E. Page was baptized by Emer Harris in 1833. The deaths and defections associated with the 1837-38 troubles in Kirtland and Missouri had drastically depleted the Quorum of the Twelve Apostles. Page and John Taylor were ordained apostles on December 19, 1838, to fill vacancies in this leading body of the Church.

Despite being designated an apostle, Page repeatedly rebelled against Joseph Smith and the rest of the apostles. Page refused to embark on the mission to England under the leadership of Brigham Young. After returning to Nauvoo in the 1841/1842 timeframe, John E. Page tended to disagree with Joseph and his fellows in the Quorum of the Twelve Apostles.

Following Joseph’s death, Page is one of the myriad leaders who attempted to claim leadership of the LDS Church. He was finally dropped from the Quorum of the Twelve on January 9, 1846, for failing to sustain the exodus from Nauvoo led by Brigham Young. Less than three weeks later he was excommunicated for urging the saints to abandon Brigham Young’s leadership and align themselves with James Strang.

Page’s association with Emer Harris and James Strang puts him squarely in the circle of those willing to conspire against Joseph Smith circa 1844, many of whom had been involved in spiritual wifery circa 1841/1842.

In 1904 Joseph Fielding Smith, then 28 years old, visited Mary Judd [Page]. 30 a resident of St. Louis and third civil wife of John E. Page. Following up on his father’s efforts to document any instance that resembled polygamy in Nauvoo during Joseph Smith’s lifetime, Joseph Fielding Smith questioned the aged woman:

Q. Did John E. Page have wives other than you?

A. Yes.

Q. How did he get them?

A. I gave them to him.

Q. How come you did that?

A. Well, he wanted them and I gave them to him.

Q. Well, that was in the days of the Prophet Joseph Smith[?]

A. Yes, it was. 31

It is possible that John E. Page’s “plural wives” were granted to him within the context of Joseph Smith’s teachings. Yet there is no documentation confirming when Page would have been united with the women historians list as his plural wives. Mary Judd [Page] did not name the wives she “gave” to John E. Page, which included her two sisters, Rachel and Lois. Nothing about the Joseph Fielding Smith interview distinguishes John E. Page’s acquisition of “wives” from Bennett’s heresy.

Orange Lysander Wight. Orange Wight was the teenage son of Apostle Lyman Wight, member of a family which had been part of the Mormon
movement since the earliest days of the Church. In 1903 Orange Wight wrote a letter to Joseph I. Earl describing his “recollections of early times of The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints.” In the letter Orange Wight discusses his introduction to polygamy.

In 1841 Orange learned that John Higbee had two wives. John Higbee, brother to Nauvoo judge, Elias Higbee, had protected young Orange and his family in Missouri.

Orange mentions girls calling themselves “spirituals” in summer 1841, indicating that he was well behind his teenage peers when he himself was fully initiated in the winter of 1841/42.

Sometime in the spring of 1842, Orange was sent on a mission to the Eastern States. He was on this mission for 13 months before returning to Nauvoo in 1843.

Lyman Wight. Lyman Wight was an apostle, like William Smith, John E. Page, Brigham Young, and Heber Kimball. Lyman’s documented “polygamy” occurred after 1841-42. However Lyman’s wives were women he took to himself in Wisconsin, with no indication that Brigham Young had granted Wight permission to administer to himself in this manner.

It is possible Lyman’s beliefs in this area arose from the example of his son. However it seems odd that a parent who was an apostle would adopt a heretical marriage practice from their teenaged son. A more likely probability is that Lyman Wight had been aware of or involved in the spiritual wifery heresy in 1841-42, then reverted to a practice of accumulating additional wives when it suited him, rather than submit to the orderly system managed by Brigham’s authority.

Horace Whitney. It is not clear that Horace actually embraced illicit intercourse. However on May 12, 1842, Horace was sent away from Nauvoo. The trip was ostensibly to visit his mother’s parents in North Canaan County, Connecticut and other relatives in Ohio. Horace would be kept from Nauvoo for over two years. Horace would not return to Nauvoo until after the death of Joseph Smith.

Helen Mar Kimball [Smith Whitney] wrote in 1881 about Horace’s departure from Nauvoo as a young teenager. Helen’s future sister-in-law, Sarah Whitney, had covenanted with Joseph Smith in 1842:

Sin Remembered as Sacrifice

A common theme in these stories is that they have been interpreted as instances where individuals were acting in accordance with Joseph Smith’s doctrine of the New and Everlasting Covenant, which permitted plural marriage.

Yet those who have interpreted these stories as legitimate instances of plural marriage were unaware that any other than Dr. Bennett and a few known reprobates were involved in illicit intercourse. Even Dr. Bennett’s activities have been interpreted as merely incorrect application of the principle of plural marriage, rather than as actions associated with vile heresy.

This has affected the interpretation of other historical events. Most notably, this interpretation has cast Emma Hale [Smith] as ignorant of her husband’s teachings and actions. Emma is seen as fighting against the plural
marriage Joseph was working to establish and practice. Further, she is seen as rejecting the women who would covenant with Joseph.

While Emma undoubtedly was conflicted about select aspects of plural marriage, there is every reason to believe that when she decried “heinous sins,” she was reacting to a sexual heresy that she and Joseph were both working to counteract.

A Multitude of Sins – Notes

Dr. John C. Bennett’s various positions of authority gave him scope to spread the sexual heresy throughout all aspects of Nauvoo society. In October 1841 the seducers began to specifically attribute their teachings to Joseph Smith.

Contemporary documents suggest several Church leaders were persuaded to embrace the heresy. By the time of Joseph’s thirty-sixth birthday in December, 1841, he likely knew terrible things were being taught in Nauvoo. According to the journal of William Clayton, Joseph pled with the Lord on behalf of B Y, who had started down a path of transgression. Brigham Young is the only known “B Y” to fit the context of the Clayton journal. The window when Joseph’s pleading occurred likely fell between Brigham’s attempt to acquire Martha Brotherton as a partner in December 1841 and the date we see Brigham officiating at Joseph’s sealing to Agnes Coolbrith [Smith] in January 1842.

Joseph’s brother, Apostle William Smith, was definitely ensnared. Teenage boys, respectable women, and leading men in the Mormon community had been affected.

10 – A Multitude of Sins

11 – They Could Have Told Many Things

Joseph is known to have covenanted with two women in the weeks following his likely December 1841 discovery of the illicit intercourse heresy. Joseph had initially felt impressed to covenant with Mary Rollins in 1834. Agnes Coolbrith was the widow of Joseph’s brother.

Each of these women would document decades later that they knew secrets about the past of which Joseph F. Smith, Hyrum’s son, was ignorant. One woman would indicate the secrets had been told her by those who were dead and gone, implying Joseph and Hyrum Smith. The other would specify the secrets were related to Hyrum Smith.

Agnes Coolbrith [Smith]

Agnes Coolbrith was the widow of Joseph’s brother, Don Carlos Smith. Don Carlos had died in August 1841.

Don Carlos and Agnes had three daughters. The youngest, Josephine Anna, ¹ was born only a few months before Don Carlos’s untimely death.

Agnes did not record whether the tale of the angel and the sword played a role in either Joseph’s decision to ask her to become his celestial wife, or her decision to agree. However the date of the sealing falls in the midst of other sealings that were expressly in response to the angelic threat. On
January 6th, the presumed day of the sealing ceremony between Joseph and Agnes, Joseph wrote:

“Truly this is a day long to be remembered by the saints of the Last Days; a day in which the God of heaven has begun to restore the ancient order of his Kingdom…all things are concurring together to bring about the completion of the fullness of the gospel.”

Notably, Brigham Young reportedly performed the ceremony where Joseph and Agnes covenanted with one another. Brigham’s participation in the ceremony between Joseph and Agnes signaled the first known involvement of someone other than Joseph Smith and a family member of the wife in a Celestial marriage ceremony. It also likely signals that Joseph Smith felt BY was no longer in mortal peril for his errors.

With Agnes, we arrive at a seeming contradiction. Agnes told Mary Ann West, who lived with her after Don Carlos’s death, that Don Carlos wished for Joseph to marry Agnes after Don Carlos’s death. However in 1890 Ebenezer Robinson would recount a time when Don Carlos said, “Any man who will teach and practice the doctrine of spiritual wifery will go to hell, I don’t care if it is my brother Joseph.” Robinson added, “[Don Carlos] was a bitter opposer of the ‘spiritual wife’ doctrine.”

However the contradiction evaporates when we consider Don Carlos’s place in Joseph’s stead. Agnes married a convert, William Pickett, who stood proxy when Agnes was sealed to Don Carlos for eternity. In time Pickett fell away from the Church. By the time Agnes separated from Pickett, she and her children were stranded in California, near San Francisco. Agnes’s youngest daughter grew to be asha

Shortly after Joseph’s death, the only Smith brother remaining was William Smith. There is no indication Agnes wished William to step into Don Carlos’s place in Joseph’s stead. Agnes married a convert, William Pickett, who stood proxy when Agnes was sealed to Don Carlos for eternity. In time Pickett fell away from the Church. By the time Agnes separated from Pickett, she and her children were stranded in California, near San Francisco. Agnes’s youngest daughter grew to be asha

On May 30, 1864, Agnes wrote to Joseph F. Smith, son of Hyrum Smith.

“Joseph, my Dear Nephew I acknowledge none greater than yourself none greater than those that belong to the household of Joseph our Dear Dear Dear departed one Joseph there is none greater there is none better none more honest and upright…

“I could say many things to you Joseph that I know and that has been told me by those that are dead and gone but perhaps you would not believe me no I know that you would not so it is best for me to keep silent.”

It is clear Agnes still honored Joseph Smith, her one-time levirate husband. It is unclear whether Joseph F. Smith ever took the time to learn the secrets Agnes hinted she could share.

Mary Elizabeth Rollins [Lightner]

Joseph had been impressed with Mary Rollins from the time she was a pre-teen, in 1831. While in Zion (Missouri), there was a time folks were speaking in tongues, but without interpretation. Mary provided the interpretation: mobs would drive the Saints from Jackson County. The leaders were upset and wrote Joseph, as interpretation: mobs would drive the Saints from Jackson County. The leaders were upset and wrote Joseph, as

A few years later Mary was on hand when the mobs did attack. Their target was the Mormon printing press. Mary and her sister, Caroline, saw the mob throw the unbound pages out the window as they set the press ablaze. Mary ran to the precious sheets, containing the initial pages of the Book of Commandments. The mob saw the girls and gave chase. Mary and Caroline dove into the cornfields, clutching the pages to their bodies. Somehow the mob failed to locate the girls. Mary surrendered the pages to Sister Phelps, who had the surviving pages bound into books. One of these books was presented to Mary, who prized it very highly.

The destruction of the printing press in Zion occurred by 1834. Joseph would relate he was strongly prompted to ask Mary to be his plural wife in 1834, the first year he indicates the plural practice. It is also likely signals that Joseph Smith performed the ceremony where Mary be reprimanded. But Joseph would fail to act at that time.

The following year in August, 1835, Mary became the bride of Adam Lightner, who was not Mormon. Despite her marriage to Lightner, for several years Mary would have dreams in which she was the wife of Joseph Smith.
In the fall of 1841, when the angel’s threat prompted Joseph to act, Mary Rollins Lightner was one of those he spoke with. She would refuse him until February 1842, when she agreed to allow Brigham Young to perform the ceremony linking her to Joseph Smith. As in the case of Zina Huntington [Jacobs], Mary Rollins [Lightner] was in the third trimester of pregnancy when she covenanted with Joseph Smith. Algernon Lightner was born and died in 1842. Florentine, a daughter conceived after Algernon’s death, died in 1847. Mary never suggested her children were fathered by Joseph Smith, though speculating about the possibility that Joseph had fathered the children of others.

In 1905 Mary Elizabeth Lightner stood before a group at Brigham Young University and spoke of her interactions with Joseph Smith.

“An angel came to him [Joseph Smith] and the last time he came with a drawn sword in his hand and told Joseph if he did not go into that principle, he would slay him. Joseph said he talked to him soberly about it, and told him it was an abomination and quoted scripture to him. He said in the Book of Mormon it was an abomination in the eyes of the Lord, and they were to adhere to these things except the Lord speak… [The Prophet said ‘the] angel came to me three times between the years of 1834 and 1842 and said I was to obey that principle or he would slay me.’” 13

But there was more.

In the summer of 1905 Mary wrote to Emmeline Wells, saying:

“I could tell [Joseph F. Smith] a great many Some things about his Father that Joseph said he does not know about the early days of the Church…” 14

Elsewhere Mary would also write:

“I could tell you why I stayed with Mr. Lightner. Things the [current] leaders of the Church does not know anything about. I did just as Joseph told me to do…” 15

By February 1842, as Mary Elizabeth Lightner hints, Joseph seems to have learned that terrible liberties were being taken with women in Nauvoo. Joseph's purpose in covenating with Mary Elizabeth appeared to be related to reacting to the heresy of illicit intercourse, details of which the Church leadership in 1905 was largely ignorant.
In the spring of 1842 Joseph is reported to have rapidly increased his attempts to teach the New and Everlasting Covenant of Marriage, also referred to as Celestial Marriage or plural marriage. At the same time, Emma Hale [Smith] was preaching against sexual sin. Many researchers have been certain these were signs of Joseph’s sexual cupidity and Emma’s hostility. Yet a fuller understanding of the history shows Joseph and Emma knit together in the same purpose. They were each on a hunt to uncover the secret seducers that remained in their midst and save the women and men of Nauvoo.

Debauchers and Strikers. In 1844 conspirators would claim Joseph was leader of the seducers. In the Nauvoo Expositor, the conspirators decried the activities of these predators. They wrote, speaking of the new converts that would arrive in Nauvoo, “But what is taught them on their arrival at this place? They are visited by some of the Strikers, for we know not else what to call them...” Striker was a term the translators of the King James Bible had used in the New Testament, a 16th century synonym for debaucher or “virile whoremonger.” The men involved in illicit intercourse may not have considered themselves to be whoremongers or debauchers. But Emma and Joseph most certainly would have considered these seducers to be without any redeeming merit. Joseph and Emma would likely have used words like debaucher or striker to describe those who continued to seduce despite having been corrected.

As Emma and Joseph became aware there were strikers continuing to mislead and seduce, it seems Joseph went from sealing women to himself for the purpose of obeying commandment to covenanting with women as part of either securing their loyalty or offering them protection. As some of these women were also working closely with Emma, Joseph was likely keeping Emma informed of the situation. She had an absolute and clear need to know, particularly after March 17, 1842.

Who could Joseph and Emma trust in this continued investigation? By the beginning of January 1842, Joseph had complete trust in those he had taught about the New and Everlasting Covenant. These women included his wife, Emma, and the women with whom he had covenanted: Louisa Beaman, Zina Huntington Jacobs, Presendia Huntington Buell, and Agnes Coolbrith. The men Joseph believed he could trust included those who had participated in the covenant ceremonies linking him to the women: Joseph Bates Noble, Dimick Huntington, Brigham Young and Heber C. Kimball.

Emma similarly had complete trust in those women who had stood by her in times of past need: Elizabeth Whitney had taken Emma in when she first arrived in Kirtland, Sarah Cleveland had sheltered Emma when she arrived in Nauvoo after fleeing Missouri. Elizabeth Davis [Goldsmith Brackenbury Durfee] was obviously part of the circle of Emma’s trusted friends. The Smith governess, Elvira Annie Cowles, may also have been one of Emma’s confidantes.

Joseph did not share the doctrine of the New and Everlasting Covenant with his brother, Hyrum, in 1842. However it appears Joseph did ask Hyrum to help preach against the heretical sexual practices.

The 1842 Nauvoo City Census

On January 18 Nauvoo Stake President, William Marks, suggested the bishops should have “the Priests visit from house to house...” A member of the High Council wondered what response should be given if the bishops were to refuse this request. Hyrum Smith replied that the High Council had authority to deal with them for such a refusal, “that the Council should call on the Presidents of the Lesser Priest-hood to attend the Council & receive instruction... That it was necessary for them to go from house to house, to his house, and to every house and see that every family done their duty...”

Neither Hyrum Smith nor William Marks knew about the New and Everlasting Covenant, nor did the vast majority of the members of the
“Lesser Priest-hood” who would be conducting the house to house visits. On the other hand, it does not appear that Joseph had allowed any man other than himself to enter into the New and Everlasting Covenant by January 1842. Joseph likely felt confident that he could account for his own activities. Any other activities needed to be uncovered, as none of them would have been authorized.

Nauvoo was constantly changing as new converts streamed in. A federal census had been conducted in 1840, but that information would not have been available to Joseph and Emma Smith. In any case, the 1840 federal census merely identified the head of household and the number of individuals associated with the household, rendering it nearly useless for investigative purposes. 5

The city census served two purposes. It documented which persons lived in each of the four city wards. It also provided a pretext for gaining access to each home.

Men holding the priesthood had visited members in their homes since the earliest days of the church. 6 Sending the priesthood into each home with the express charge to teach families their Christian duty would allow a warning against unvirtuous behavior to simultaneously reach all households.

Dr. Lyman DePlatt’s evaluation suggests the census was taken during the first two weeks in February, 1842. The census was formally presented to the City of Nauvoo on March 1, 1842. 7 The census itself contains no information about who might have been abused.

While the regular members of the lesser priesthood went to each home to write down names and preach righteousness, Joseph began to reach out to various women.

Nancy Mariah Winchester

No one now alive knows the date when Joseph covenanted with Nancy Winchester, but she was only 15 years old when Joseph was killed.

In January 1841, Benjamin Winchester, Nancy’s brother, was abruptly excommunicated. Benjamin Winchester had been a frequent contributor to the Times and Seasons when Don Carlos Smith, Robert B Thompson, and Ebenezer Robinson were in charge.

Benjamin would not be restored to the Church until July 1842. The July 1842 announcement restoring Benjamin Winchester to fellowship in the Church also warned him to leave Nauvoo immediately. 8

Around the time Nancy’s brother was excommunicated, it appears Emma and Joseph decided to add medical expertise to their team. On January 13th Joseph pulled Willard Richards into his inner circle, having Willard move into the Smith home. 9 Willard was a Thomsonian 10 physician who had saved Joseph’s life in Kirtland. In the following months Joseph would involve the wife of the town druggist, Sylvia Lyon, and a respected midwife, Sylvia’s mother, Patty Sessions.

The only known impetus for Joseph’s covenant with Nancy is Benjamin’s excommunication for undocumented sin. It is troubling that Nancy was barely 13 years old when her brother was excommunicated in January 1841. Nothing is known of Nancy’s covenant with Joseph other than the fact that it happened. However similar covenants with others held the promise of peace and salvation despite wrenching mortal grief.

Nancy’s later life hints at trauma, possibly sustained in early 1842. On December 8, 1845 Heber rushed to Steven Winchester’s home to bless Nancy, who was having fits. 11 While the fits may have been merely associated with a commonplace illness, it is possible Nancy had developed a susceptibility to fits from some trauma. 12 Perhaps more telling, Nancy would continue to reside with her parents for the remainder of her life, independent of her married status.

After Joseph’s death, Heber Kimball accepted responsibility for Nancy as her levirate husband. It is reported that Heber Kimball never consummated the marriage between himself and Nancy Winchester. Kimball would eventually arrange for Nancy to marry another man, Amos Arnold.

Nancy would bear one child by her final husband when she was nearly 40 years old. 13 Nancy continued to live with her parents even after marrying Amos Arnold and finally allowing the level of sexual contact that is required to conceive a child.

Of note, Clarissa Marvel worked for the Winchester family around the time Benjamin was excommunicated. Clarissa subsequently moved on to live with Agnes Coolbrith [Smith]. Soon thereafter it was alleged Clarissa was spreading rumors about Joseph Smith and his widowed sister-in-law. 14 Clarissa was the first person questioned by the Relief Society that would be formed in March 1842 with a founding purpose to “warn the unwary.”
Patty Sessions and Sylvia Lyon

As Joseph and Emma learned the seductions were continuing, they may have suspected the predators were using medicine to make women vulnerable. Laudanum was a common medicine used at the time. Based on opium, laudanum could “initiate, sustain, or lengthen sleep.” A second possibility is that Joseph and Emma may have learned medicine could be used to prevent conception. Willard Richards was a Thompsonian and therefore would not have first-hand knowledge of medical contraception. But Richards may have heard of Charles Knowlton’s scandalous birth control manual. Richards was likely aware it was medically possible to prevent pregnancy.

Patty Bartlett [Sessions]. After Willard Richards, the next medical individual Joseph pulled into the investigation was Patty [Sessions]. Patty was in her late forties at this time. An experienced mid-wife, Patty had been delivering children regularly since 1812, when she had been a teenager newlywed. In approximately 1867 Patty would write in her journal that she had been sealed to Joseph on March 9, 1842. Willard Richards officiated. Patty’s daughter, Sylvia, reportedly stood as witness to the covenant ceremony.

Informing Patty [Sessions] of the difference between Celestial marriage and illicit intercourse could indicate Joseph and Emma now feared the seductions had resulted in pregnancies.

Sylvia Sessions [Lyon]. Sylvia was the wife of Windsor Lyon, who was the town store clerk and druggist. In March 1842 Sylvia had reportedly stood as witness when Joseph Smith covenanted with her mother. It is often presumed that women who witness plural marriage covenants had themselves entered into covenant with Joseph before standing as a witness.

Sylvia was likely sworn to secrecy as one of those informed of Celestial marriage, as had occurred with Dimick Huntington. Based on Sylvia’s deathbed discussion with her daughters, Joseph did not covenant with Sylvia until many months later, during the period of time her husband was excommunicated from the Church.

Windsor Lyon was excommunicated from the Church in November 1842 and would not be rebaptized until 1846. The most widely accepted reason for the excommunication was Lyon’s attempt to sue William Marks over a financial agreement. Allegedly the discipline had been intended to punish Lyon for bringing legal charges against a fellow member of the Church. However many of the other men excommunicated in 1842 had continued teaching and practicing illicit intercourse after the winter of 1841/42. Alternately, Windsor may have been providing Bennett medicine to prevent conception, assistance that could have been considered an offense worthy of excommunication. Gustavus Hills had been using medicine in his illicit intercourse activities confessed in fall 1842. Late discovery of possible medical complicity on the part of Windsor may account for the November 1842 date of Windsor’s excommunication.

Sylvia would tell her daughter, Josephine, that Joseph Smith was her father. Sylvia explained she had been sealed to Joseph Smith at the time Windsor was cut off from the Church. This deathbed confession has been interpreted as confirming biological fatherhood, ignoring the fact that Josephine’s sister, Phebe Jane Clark [Ellis], was also in the room, and reportedly considered herself included in the confidence that Joseph Smith was their father. As Josephine’s younger sister was conceived after Joseph’s death, Sylvia was likely merely telling her daughters of a covenant relationship they had with Joseph Smith.

Brian Hales proposed that Windsor Lyon and Sylvia Sessions [Lyon] became separated during Lyon’s excommunication, preventing any possible sexual interaction between Sylvia and Joseph Smith from technically qualifying as polyandry. However Brian Hales’s logic cannot extend to the conception of Asa Windsor Lyon, who was conceived weeks after Sylvia reportedly witnessed her mother’s sealing to Joseph Smith and before Windsor was excommunicated.

Analysis of Josephine Lyon’s DNA proves Windsor Lyon was the biological father of Josephine Lyon. The unit of genetic similarity between cousins is the centiMorgan (cM). Two grandchildren of the same individual would share roughly 1700 cM, with the amount of shared cM declining by roughly a factor of two with each generation.

The amount of shared genetic material between Joseph Smith’s closest descendants and Josephine’s closest descendants should be about 106.25 cM. Though up to 7 cM of similarity can naturally occur between unrelated persons, the amount of autosomal material each of Josephine’s five closest descendants shared with known descendants of Joseph Smith was 0 cM. Joseph could not have been an ancestor to Josephine’s descendants.
Johnson and Johnson

Two women with the maiden name Johnson next enter the story. As will be true of most women who covenanted with Joseph Smith in 1842, little is known of the circumstances under which they entered into covenant with Joseph.

Marinda Johnson [Hyde]. Marinda Johnson [Hyde] was the wife of Orson Hyde, an apostle who was serving as a missionary in Palestine. In December 1841 Joseph Smith became concerned about Marinda, who was living in life-threateningly poor conditions. In an uncanonized revelation, Joseph was advised to “say unto my servant Ebenezer Robinson, & To my handmaid his wife, Let them open their doors and take [Marinda Johnson Hyde] and her children into their house…” Ebenezer Robinson managed the Nauvoo paper, the Times and Seasons, prior to January 27, 1842. His family lived on the ground floor of that establishment. 22

On Christmas Eve Willard Richards had escorted Marinda to a holiday party with several of the twelve apostles, including Orson Pratt and his wife Sarah. It appears Marinda may have been invited to represent her absent husband. Their host, Hiram Kimball, proceeded to give “each of the 12, a Lot of Land & supper of Turkeys.” 23

On January 13th Willard Richards moved from the home of Brigham Young to live with Joseph. Willard was the Thompsonian doctor who had saved Joseph’s life in Kirtland. Willard’s shift in living arrangements suggests Joseph may have become aware of abuses that would require the attention of a doctor in the January 1842 timeframe.

Two weeks later, Joseph received another revelation, directing the Twelve Apostles to take charge of the Times and Seasons, where the excommunicated Benjamin Winchester had been a frequent contributor and assistant. Robinson and his family were evicted, but Marinda remained. Willard Richards moved into the lower floor of the Times and Seasons, barring the windows, and shooting off his gun. These actions are usually described by those who thought Willard and Marinda were having an affair.

Ebenezer Robinson’s later activities and writings indicate he was unusually knowledgeable about Dr. Bennett’s activities and perversions. If the Times and Seasons office had become a location frequented by Bennett’s ring, the shooting could have an alternate interpretation. Willard may have been warning everyone that there was new management in the building, and that they could take their unholy business elsewhere.

By April, Marinda was assisting Joseph’s investigation. 24 On April 9th, Marinda invited Nancy Rigdon to her home at the printing office to meet with Joseph Smith. Nancy believed she was being propositioned. However, the alleged correspondence between Joseph and Nancy, if an actual letter written by Joseph, could be read as showing Joseph hunting out guilty men. Joseph expressed concern for Nancy. The letter seems desperate to win Nancy’s soul back from a corrupted path. 25

Despite the assistance Marinda offered Joseph during 1842, she would later report that she did not enter into covenant with Joseph until 1843.

Delcena Johnson [Sherman]. Lyman Royal Sherman had been called to be an apostle during the flight from Missouri, but died before ever learning of the call. Delcena Johnson was left a widow with seven surviving children in 1839, as the Mormons were being driven from Missouri. Her youngest was only three months old when her husband died.

Joseph’s concern for women living without protection (as in Marinda’s case) might be the reason he asked Delcena to move in with Louisa Beaman, who had covenanted with Joseph in April 1841.

It is known the Strikers would approach other widows, insinuating that there was no harm in such women participating in illicit intercourse and even demanding that the women yield. It is possible the Strikers approached the widow Delcena about yielding herself to illicit intercourse. Having Delcena move in with Louisa Beaman would give the widow protection from either potential or realized attempts on her virtue.

Other Women Who May Have Covenanted with Joseph in 1842

There are other women who may have covenanted with Joseph Smith during this time, though so little is known of their situations that they are not included in most lists of the women with whom Joseph covenanted.

The first is Sarah Scott [Mulholland], a convert from Canada who had been born in Ireland. Sarah Scott was the older sister of Robert Scott, the young man William Law would approach in 1844 about participating in the conspiracy to kill Joseph Smith. In 1841/42 Sarah was a widow. Lyndon W. Cook’s compilation of Celestial Marriage ceremonies includes the proxy ceremony uniting Sarah Scott to the deceased James Mulholland, with Joseph Smith standing proxy. Lyndon Cook lists the ceremony uniting Joseph Smith
and Sarah Scott “for time” immediately after the ceremony uniting Joseph Smith and Delcena Johnson [Sherman] for time. 26

Sarah Scott [Mulholland] resembles Catherine Laur [ Fuller] in being a recent widow. She was an associate of William Law, who Hyram Smith accused of adultery. In 1844 William Law would reach out to Sarah’s brother, Robert Scott. This suggests Robert Scott was one of the young people “initiated” into spiritual wifery in the summer of 1841, as Orange Wight would later relate.

As with Delcena Johnson [Sherman], Joseph’s covenant with Sarah Scott [Mulholland] would have given the widow a sort of protection from either potential or realized attempts on her virtue.

Others who may have covenanted with Joseph because of the events of spring 1842 include:

- Mary Houston 27
- Lydia Kenyon [Carter] 28
- Lucinda Pendleton [Morgan Harris] 29
- Sarah Rapson [Poulterer] 30
- Hannah Ann Dubois [Smith Dibble] 31
- Phebe Watrous [Woodworth] 32
- Sarah Granger [Kimball], 33 and
- Esther Dutcher [Smith]. 34

Esther Dutcher [Smith], like Sarah Scott [Mulholland], was associated with a known Striker. She is the only Esther Smith in Nauvoo who matches the details of testimony given in the fall of 1842 before the Nauvoo High Council. Esther Smith attested that Gustavus Hills had attempted to seduce her in the early months of 1842 in the Nauvoo. Hills had this conversation with Esther on the bluff around 9 pm, the Thursday before the Choir was dismissed. Gustavus Hills had also pressured Mary Clift to yield, and Clift conceived as a result despite the medicine Hills had provided. 35

The Female Relief Society of Nauvoo

The Nauvoo census was presented to the city on 1 March, 1842. On March 4, less than a week later, Sarah Granger [Kimball] came to Joseph Smith with a plan. Sarah was the wife of the non-Mormon businessman who had gifted land and turkeys to the Twelve. Sarah, together with Eliza Snow and others, had determined there was a need for a benevolent female society. The women presented Joseph the constitution they had drafted for such an organization.

The men working on the temple were sometimes ill-clothed. Sarah [Kimball] wished to relieve their suffering by making shirts for them. Sarah may have been inspired by the way women during the Revolutionary War had assisted the Continental Army by sewing shirts. 36

Joseph counter-proposed a woman’s organization that was a formal arm or auxiliary of the Church. Around this time Joseph attempted to talk with Sarah [Kimball] about the New and Everlasting Covenant, possibly as a prerequisite for formally involving her in the investigation. Sarah [Kimball] refused to hear such talk from Joseph at that time but would request to be sealed by proxy to Joseph in 1877, over a decade after her legal husband, Hiram Kimball, had died. 37

At the founding meeting of the organization, Joseph said the “restored Church of Jesus Christ could not be perfect or complete without [an organization for the women].” In addition to the power of organizing women for charitable purposes, the organization had as a founding purpose to “warn the unway.” 38

The priesthood census and teaching of correct doctrine had not ended the abuse of women. Preaching specifically to women about the evils of sexual sin would clearly be much more effective. Joseph and Emma would instruct them directly, and the members of the Relief Society would in turn minister to the women of Nauvoo.

The first meeting of the women’s organization was held March 17, 1842. Joseph Smith and John Taylor were present, but the reins were soon turned over to the women. Sarah [Cleveland] suggested that Emma lead the new organization. Emma selected for her counselors two particular confidantes, Elizabeth [Whitney] and Sarah [Cleveland]. She nominated Elvira Cowles to be treasurer. Eliza Snow was nominated to be secretary 39 a nod to the group of women who had originally proposed the organization.

At the second meeting of Relief Society, the matter of scurrilous rumors attributed to Clarissa Marvel was brought before the group. Clarissa had allegedly claimed Joseph was spending an inappropriate amount of time in the company of Agnes Coolbrith [Smith]. Mrs. Markam and Mrs. Billings were ultimately assigned to investigate the matter. They reported back that Clarissa was innocent. Then Mrs. Durfee and Mrs. Allred were sent to
investigate the two young women who had claimed Clarissa Marvel had talked with them. Interestingly, it is Sarah Cleveland, Emma’s counselor, who reports back, saying the investigation into the rumors allegedly spread by Clarissa Marvel had been completed.

The proceedings of the Relief Society were documented in detail by the Relief Society secretaries, primarily Eliza R. Snow. By April 28th, women seeking membership in the Relief Society were only admitted upon consensus vote, individual by individual. Some were denied entry. However by mid-May, large groupings of sisters were being admitted without any objection.

On May 19th, Emma (Mrs. Prest.) stated:

“this day was an evil day— that said she would that this Society were pure before God— that she was afraid that under existing circumstances, the sisters were not careful enough to expose iniquity— the time had been when charity had covered a multitude of sins— but now it is necessary that sin should be expos’d— that heinous sins were among us— that much of this iniquity was practiced by some in authority, pretending to be sanction’d by Prest. Smith… [Emma] continued by exhorting all who had err’d to repent and forsake their sins— said that satan’s forces were against this church— that every saint should be at the post.”

Lucy Ann Munjar was then “suspended for a time.”

In the week following this stern sermon from Emma Hale Smith, women who had been seduced by Dr. Bennett or his Strikers confessed to the Nauvoo High Council. On May 26th, Joseph and Emma both attended the Relief Society. Joseph was almost certainly aware of the confessions that had been made to the Nauvoo High Council. It is clear that when Joseph mentions “fellows,” he is referring to women. Joseph said:

“females… are also subject to an overmuch zeal which… cause them to be rigid in a religious capacity – [they instead] should be arm’d with mercy notwithstanding the iniquity among us… melancholy and awful that so many are under the condemnation of the devil…

“They are our fellows — we lov’d them once. Shall we not encourage them to reformation?”

“we have not forgiven them seventy times— perhaps we have not forgiven them once. There is now a day of salvation to such as repent and reform— they should be cast out from this Society, yet we should woo them to return to God lest they escape not the damnation of hell!”

“At this time the truth on the guilty should not be told openly — Strange as this may seem, yet this is policy. We must use precaution in bringing sinners to justice lest in exposing these heinous sins, we draw the indignation of a gentle world upon us…”

Emma took a different tack. If Joseph and Emma were playing good cop, bad cop that day, Emma clearly had the role of bad cop:

“…all idle rumor and idle talk must be laid aside yet sin must not be covered, especially those sins which are against the law of God and the laws of the country — all who walk disorderly must reform, and any knowing of heinous sins against the law of God, and refuse to expose them, becomes the offender — said she wanted none in this Society who had violated the laws of virtue.”

The next day over a hundred women sought membership in Relief Society. It seems membership in Relief Society was becoming seen as a declaration of virtue. Lucy Ann Munjar was re-admitted to the membership, indicating the speed with which forgiveness could be dispensed to the penitent.

By the beginning of June the bar had been raised. New members would not be admitted “but by presenting regular petitions signed by two or three members in good standing in the Society— whoever comes in must be of good report.” Even so, more than a hundred women qualified to become members of the Relief Society in a single day in early June 1842.

Joseph and Emma had together urged the ladies to forsake and expose sin. One of the women who would confess before the High Council would explicitly credit one of Joseph’s sermons as causing her change of heart. The words and actions of the others strongly imply that Joseph’s public sermons had brought them to repentance.

The women who would testify before the High Council in May 1842 would not be exposed at that time, despite the terrible storm that would erupt
in 1842. It would only be when Joseph’s life was on the line that some of the testimonies would be published. Even then, the testimonies that were published were redacted to protect all the men other than Chauncey Higbee and Dr. Bennett.

It appears one of the most effective initiatives in the investigation into the continued seductions was the Relief Society, headed by President Emma Hale Smith in full cooperation with her prophet-husband, Joseph.

Swearing Righteous Men to Secrecy

With the women mobilized to preach virtue, Joseph put in place two mechanisms to perform a similar function for men in the community.

The Nauvoo Masonic Lodge. First, Joseph moved forward to establish a Masonic Lodge in Nauvoo. In this, Joseph took advantage of the ground work Dr. Bennett had laid, though Bennett’s attempt to establish a Nauvoo Lodge had foundered due to reports of his past. Even so, formation of the Nauvoo Lodge was irregular as there were few, if any, current Masons already in Nauvoo. Masons had an established structure that encouraged mutual support and rectitude. This would allow Joseph to involve not only Mormons but all prominent men of the surrounding area in mutual pledges of virtue and charity.

An Endowment of Power. Second, Joseph instituted the endowment, an ordinance during which the “endowed” individual is instructed in sacred history and asked to pledge obedience. Given existence of widespread illicit intercourse in the community, the most striking pledge is one related to remaining sexually faithful to one’s spouse. The endowment ceremony was restricted to high profile Mormons, including Bishop George Miller and President William Law, an Assistant President of the Church.

Interviewing Suspected Victims

Some small trace remains in the extant record of the means the investigators used to determine what damage had been done. We know Joseph tried to talk to teenagers Emily and Eliza Partridge during this timeframe. Emily reports Joseph talked to her, saying:

“Emily, if you will not betray me, I will tell you something for your benefit.”

When Joseph was unable to persuade Emily to talk with him, he offered to give her a letter. Elizabeth [Durfee] was sent in next. She invited Eliza and Emily Partridge to her home where she asked what the girls might think of “spiritual wives, as they were called in those days.” The girls said nothing. However the very attempt to determine if the girls had been associated with spiritual wifery raised additional suspicions in the girls’ minds. There is no indication the Partridge girls ever realized the questioning was in service of apprehending evil-doers.

Joseph also allegedly questioned Nancy Rigdon, daughter of his long-time associate and Assistant President of the Church, Sidney Rigdon. 45

It appears there was another category of interviewees: the fallen women who were now penitent. The record suggests some of the women who became plural wives in 1842 were vulnerable women who had been propositioned or seduced.

For the most part, those who had been innocently seduced were protected from exposure. But not all the women could hide their involvement. Some of the women, like Catherine Laur [Fuller Warren], had to testify, to ensure the ring of seducers was brought to justice.

Hunt in the City Beautiful – Notes

Joseph and Emma Smith worked together against the debauchery spreading through Nauvoo society. The covenants Joseph entered into with women during the first half of 1842 established relationships of faltyness in support of the investigation or protected women who were potential victims.

A census was taken of Nauvoo residents in February 1842, with those visiting homes charged to preach righteousness. A Masonic Lodge was established in Nauvoo to support moral conduct among men. The Endowment of Power was instituted with the notable requirement that recipients swear to restrict sexuality to their spouse.

A Female Relief Society was formed with a founding purpose to warn the unwary. Membership in Relief Society became desired as a public declaration of virtue, despite increasingly strict requirements for membership. As the fallen were discovered, Joseph asked the Relief Society to forgive the sinners and “woo them to return to God.” Lest the women think permissiveness was acceptable, Emma insisted “all who walk disorderly must reform.”
13 – Arraigning the Band of Brothers

Joseph likely became aware that actual seductions were taking place no later than January 13, 1842, when he abruptly summoned Willard Richards to his home. This marks the beginning of when we should expect to see evidence of Joseph’s concern in the official actions of either the religious Nauvoo High Council or the secular Nauvoo City Council.

As in other U.S. cities, Nauvoo reflected the political organization the founding fathers had designed for the United States. The chief executive in Nauvoo was the Mayor, who was Dr. Bennett. The legislative branch was the city council. The judicial function was filled by Nauvoo’s judges, including Elias Higbee. In the matter of Bennett and his Strikers, however, these three secular branches were inadequate to rectify a gross wrong. With Dr. Bennett as mayor, it is not surprising that the secular council had little impact on uncovering the corruption that should have been its business.

The defeat of Dr. Bennett’s corruption would require the combined forces of the religious executives, Joseph and Emma, and their respective councils, the Nauvoo High Council and the Relief Society organization.

The Church’s High Council initiated the Nauvoo census. When the combined efforts of Emma and Joseph had flushed out information regarding the leaders of the corruption, the Nauvoo High Council formally investigated the matter and questioned informants and witnesses.

A Little Tale Will Set the World on Fire

Joseph Smith had warned that the truth on the guilty should not be told openly. The faithful heeded this counsel so thoroughly that the sexual heresy remains almost entirely unknown to even seasoned historians. Yet the mild and vague notice indicating the Church had withdrawn fellowship from Dr. Bennett would provoke a media storm that continues to “draw the indignation of a gentile world” upon the Mormon faith and its founding prophet.

A contemporary living in Nauvoo at the time would have seen this simple notice in the Times and Seasons issue of June 15, 1842:

NOTICE

The Subscribers, members of the First Presidency of the church of Jesus Christ of Latter Day Saints, withdraw the hand of fellowship from General John C. Bennett, as a christian, he having been labored with from time to time, to persuade him to amend his conduct, apparently to no good effect.

JOSEPH SMITH,
HYRUM SMITH,
WM. LAW.

The following members of the Quorum of the Twelve concur in the above sentiments.

BRIGHAM YOUNG
HEBER C. KIMBALL
LYMAN WIGHT,
WILLIAM SMITH,
JOHN E. PAGE,
JOHN TAYLOR,
WILFORD WOODRUFF,
GEORGE A. SMITH
WILLARD RICHARDS.

We concur in the above sentiment.

N. K. WHITNEY,
V. KNIGHT,
GEORGE MILLER,
Bishops of the above mentioned Church. 1
The recorded testimony that damned John C. Bennett implicated several women and many more men. Joseph would rail against Bennett in the months following June 1842. However Joseph would withhold the evidence presented to the High Council, reportedly in hopes of reclaiming those who had fallen. Towards the end of May, 1844, Joseph would finally release a small portion of the testimonies documenting the evil that had possessed the City of Nauvoo under Bennett’s corrupted leadership. 2 The testimony that was released was carefully chosen to implicate only one additional man in the seductions of 1842, Chauncey Higbee.

Preaching to the Choir

The 1842 break in the public case occurred because of Joseph’s teachings against spiritual wifery. Joseph had addressed the Nauvoo Choir of Singers, speaking against sexual immorality, apparently using the kind of language we see Emma Smith using in her remarks to the Female Relief Society of Nauvoo. One member of the choir was Sarah Searcy [Miller], born in 1815. On May 24, 1842, Sarah gave testimony that:

“Some two or three weeks since, in consequence of brother Joseph Smith’s teachings to the singers, I began to be alarmed concerning myself, and certain teachings which I had received from Chauncey L. Higbee…”

“When he first came to my house soon after the special conference this spring, Chauncey commenced joking me about my getting married, and wanted to know how long it had been since my husband died, and soon removed his seat near me; and began his seducing insinuations by saying it was no harm to have sexual intercourse with women if they would keep it to themselves, and continued to urge me to yield to his desires, and urged me vehemently…”

“[Chauncey] continued to press his instructions and arguments until after dark, and until I was inclined to believe, for

he called God to witness of the truth, and was so solemn and confident, I yielded to his temptations…”

“Chauncey Higbee, said it would never be known, I told him it might be told in bringing forth [a child]; Chauncey said there was no danger, and that Dr. Bennett understood it, and would come and take it away, if there was any thing.” 3

The husband of Sarah Searcy [Miller] had died the previous year. 4 In September 1842 both Mary Clift and Esther Smith, also members of the choir, would also come forward. Mary and Esther told of how they had similarly been taught there was no harm in having sexual intercourse if the women would keep it to themselves. 5

The Widow’s Daughters

In 1840 the widow Jane Nyman had been the first person to have herself baptized on behalf of a departed loved one. In March of 1842, Chauncey Higbee stopped by Widow Nyman’s home, proposing to walk Jane’s daughters to the spelling school. The daughters in question were Margaret, almost 30, and her sister, Matilda. 6

Margaret’s affidavit of May 20, 1842 would read:

“My sister Matilda, and myself accompanied him; but, changing our design on the way, we stopped at Mrs. Fullers: During the evening’s interview, he, (as I have since learned,) with wicked lies proposed that I should yield to his desires, and indulge in sexual intercourse with him, stating that such intercourse might be freely indulged in, and was no sin: That any respectable female might indulge in sexual intercourse, and there was no sin in it, providing the person so indulging, keep the same to herself; for there could be no sin, where there was no accuser;—and most clandestinely, with wicked lies, persuaded me to yield by using the name of Joseph Smith: and, as I have since learned, totally false and unauthorized; and in consequence of those arguments, I was influenced to yield to my Seducer, Chauncey L. Higbee.” 7

Matilda’s May affidavit would read:

“During this spring Chauncey L. Higbee, kept company with me from time to time, and, as I have since learned, wickedly
deceitfully, and with lies in his mouth, urged me vehemently to yield to his desires; that there could be no wrong in having sexual intercourse with any female that could keep the same to herself;—most villainously and lyingly stating that he had been so instructed by Joseph Smith, and that there was no sin where there was no accuser. Also vowing he would marry me.

"Not succeeding, he, on one occasion, brought one, who affirmed that such intercourse was tolerated by the heads of the Church. I have since found him also to be a lying conspirator against female virtue and chastity, having never received such teachings from the heads of the church; but I was at the time partially influenced to believe in consequence of the source from whom I received it.

"I yielded and became subject to the will of my seducer, Chauncey L. Higbee: and having since found out to my satisfaction, that a number of wicked men have conspired to use the name of Joseph Smith, or the heads of the Church, falsely and wickedly to enable them to gratify their lusts, thereby destroying female innocence and virtue, I repent before God and my brethren and ask forgiveness.

"I further testify that I never had any personal acquaintance with Joseph Smith and never heard him teach such doctrines as Higbee, stated either directly or indirectly." 10

The affidavits seem formulaic and many researchers have ignored the affidavits or presumed the women were lying. However the additional testimony written down that day is realistically chaotic, stating that Dr. Bennett had engaged in intercourse with the Widow Fuller, as Matilda had seen them in the act. The formulaic nature of the signed affidavits, then, may not have been due to the women being coached on how to accuse, but may reflect the women being coached on how to tell a sufficiently damning story without revealing too much.

The idea that the women had been in a position to watch as Bennett and the Widow Fuller engaged in illicit intercourse would have been far more shocking that we can imagine. Dr. Bennett learned this the hard way, having accused Joseph Smith of engaging in sexual relations with Catherine Fuller while Dr. Bennett watched. The feedback to that early voyeuristic claim in Dr. Bennett’s campaign against Joseph was intense, suggesting if Dr. Bennett was so intimately involved, it was reasonable to suppose Bennett "also came in for a pretty fair slice of the good things." Bennett would never repeat in print the claim that he himself was witness to Joseph’s alleged sexual activities.

The Widow Fuller

The testimony of Margaret Nyman implicated Widow Fuller. Widow Fuller’s home had been the place where Chauncey Higbee was able to interview Margaret and Matilda at length and perform his conquests.

Catherine Laur [Fuller] had been integral to the establishment and spread of spiritual wifery. But in October she unsuccessfully attempted to disentangle herself. By April she became convinced that spiritual wifery was not, in fact, a teaching approved by Joseph Smith, possibly influenced by what Hyrum and Joseph Smith said during General Conference, refusing the idea that spiritual wifery was a valid teaching of the Church. In late April 1842 Catherine married William Warren, ending her involvement in illicit intercourse. In May 1842 Catherine would provide extensive testimony about how Bennett and his Strikers had approached her saying illicit sex was permissible as long as no one knew about it. The published excerpt of Catherine’s statement reads:

"I have had unlawful connexion with Chauncey L. Higbee. Chauncey Higbee, taught the same doctrine as was taught by J. C. Bennett, and that Joseph Smith, taught and practiced those things, but he [Chauncey] stated that he did not have it from Joseph, but he had his information from Dr. John C. Bennet. He, Chauncey L. Higbee, has gained his object about five or six times, Chauncey L. Higbee, also made propositions to keep me with food if I would submit to his desires." 12

A More Complete Story

Based on the testimonies eventually published in the papers, one could glean that John C. Bennett had been cut off and Chauncey Higbee had engaged in illicit sexual intercourse with four women. An examination of the High Council Minutes and the handwritten statements collected during the investigation expand the field of visible damage. 13

Many men reportedly taught about illicit sex, several of whom engaged in illicit intercourse with one or more of the women who testified to having
‘yealded’ to the men’s teachings. It is clear from the full record that Dr. John C. Bennett was the ring-leader. The ten men specifically named by Catherine Laur [Fuller Warren] and the other women are:

*Dr. John C. Bennett, Mayor and General of the Nauvoo Legion
*Chauncey Higbee, Bennett’s aide-de-camp and son of Nauvoo’s judge
*Joel S. Miles
*George M. Thatcher
*Jacob B. Backenstos, non-member, another Bennett aide-de-camp and sheriff of Hancock County
*Gustavus Hills, Nauvoo alderman

Darwin Chase, member of the Seventy since the flight from Missouri
William Smith, Apostle, Joseph’s brother
Lyman O. Littlefield
Justus Morse

The records of the High Council document the following men were also among those cut off:

F. G. Bishop
Ardus Geere
Orlando D. Hovey
William Niswanger
John Hodson
Windsor Lyon

The women who confessed to having engaged in illicit intercourse with these men were:

Catherine Laur [Fuller Warren] (10-12 times with Bennett, 5-6 times with Higbee, 2 times with Joel S. Miles, 2 times with George M. Thatcher, and 1 time with Jacob B. Backenstos)
Sarah Scarey [Miller] (engaged in relations with Higbee multiple times, the first time possibly in the presence of Darwin Chase)
Margaret Nyman (engaged in relations with Higbee multiple times and possibly also with John C. Bennett, this occurred at the same time as Higbee was having sex with her sister, Matilda)
Matilda Nyman (engaged in relations with Higbee multiple times)
Mary Clift (became pregnant with Gustavus Hills’s child from a single interaction)

Other women mentioned as having been seen with members of the Bennett ring in a manner strongly suggestive of illicit intercourse include:

Ms. Shindle
Miss Lucy Munjar (also mentioned in the Relief Society minutes)
Ms. Brown (also denied admittance to Relief Society on this basis)
Ms. Barris
Ms. Gee
Rachel Kingsley (seen with Higbee and Littlefield)
Elenor Kingsley (seen with Higbee and Littlefield)
Esther (Dutcher?) Smith (Testified Gustavus Hills had taught her it was acceptable to have illicit intercourse)

Other Victims?

Brian Hales writes that he is unaware of any evidence that the women who covenanted with Joseph were among those seduced by Bennett and his cronies. He and all the rest of us have overlooked the possibility that the Esther Smith mentioned in the High Council record was almost certainly Esther Dutcher [Smith], who is reported to have been sealed to Joseph Smith during his lifetime. Also, simple procedural steps we take for granted in modern investigations are missing, suggesting the testimony before the High Council could not have been complete:

- There was no attempt to identify the “one, who affirmed that such intercourse was tolerated by the heads of the Church,” as Matilda Nyman had indicated in her testimony, though the Dinger edition of the High Council Minutes indicates this individual was William Smith.
- Catherine Fuller Warren indicated John C. Bennett was the first to seduce her. This had occurred almost a year earlier, before mid-July 1841. Yet Sarah Miller, Mary Clift, Esther Smith and the Nymans had only been approached by the seducers that spring.
- Mary Heron is not mentioned in these findings, despite the 1850 testimony indicating she was “frigged” by a man named Joseph, likely Joseph Kelly.
- Sarah Pratt is not mentioned in these findings, despite Bennett confiding in Jacob Backenstos that “Sarah made a first rate go.”
There was no attempt to get the men to yield up a complete list of the women they had corrupted. Catherine [Fuller Warren] testified that multiple men (Darwin Chase, William Smith, Lyman O. Littlefield, and Justus Morse) to whom she did not yield tried to get her to have sex with them. Are we to believe that these men never tried that line on anyone else?

When Dr. Bennett was expelled from the Nauvoo Masonic Lodge in August 8, 1842, the final reason given was “6th. For illicit intercourse with a Master Mason’s wife.” While this may refer to Sarah Bates [Pratt], it is possible this refers to another woman.

Bennett would identify the population of women who were excluded from the Relief Society as one of his major groupings of supposed spiritual wives, the Cyprian Saints. Both Joseph Smith and Emma Hale [Smith] were focused on rooting out sexual sin. This led to increasingly stringent membership screening and concerted efforts on the part of Emma’s counselors and confidantes to find the truth behind rumors. Therefore the women excluded from Relief Society are very likely women who were caught up in the illicit intercourse scandal.

Meanwhile the Times and Seasons reported on August 1, 1842, that Bennett had introduced “misery and infamy into families… and led the youth that he had influence over to tread in his unhallowed steps… the seduction of the virtuous, and the defiling of his neighbor’s bed.” 23 These descriptions evoke a larger population of sinners than those known to be implicated.

Orange Wight wrote that John Higbee, uncle to Chauncey and Francis Higbee, had two wives in 1841. Yet John Higbee is not mentioned in the High Councill Minutes.

Neither Joseph Kelly nor John Snider are mentioned in the High Council testimony, though 1850 testimony indicates they were both involved in illicit intercourse circa 1841/42.

In Nicholas Taleb’s book, The Black Swan, he talks about concept of a historical “ice cube,” a postulated explanation for extant historical artifacts that constitute the metaphorical puddle of water from which we infer the truth.

A possible “ice cube” for the extant facts includes Dr. Bennett having an affair with Sarah [Pratt] before coming up with an elaborate scheme for justifying illicit intercourse. By summer 1841, Bennett had pressured Catherine [Fuller] to yield, claiming better persons that she was involved— theoretically a true statement as Sarah [Pratt], wife of an apostle, had been willing to engage in illicit intercourse.

Rather than keep Catherine [Fuller] as his own, personal, mistress, Bennett began teaching the “doctrine” that illicit intercourse was permissible as long as no one became aware of the interactions. The pool of men and women to whom this “doctrine” spread became vast.

There is a theme in the testimonies of the seduced women that the seducers provided food to the women who yielded to their demands. Those without support would be more vulnerable to men promising food. Those who hesitated were told Joseph Smith taught such doctrines, with Joseph’s brother, William, attesting that it was a correct doctrine. By October 1841 Dr. Bennett began to assert that Joseph Smith practiced illicit intercourse. We see these patterns of promised food and claims of Joseph’s blessing for the illicit sex in the case of known victims, widows Catherine [Fuller] and Sarah [Miller] and fatherless sisters Margaret and Matilda Nyman.

It is likely during final month(s) of 1841 that Brigham Young and Heber Kimball became persuaded that they had an obligation to reach out to Martha Brotherton and the Pitkin sisters, respectively.

As Louisa Beaman and Joseph Bates Noble would be featured in Bennett’s later exposé of Joseph Smith, it appears Joseph Bates Noble may have confided in Dr. Bennett or one of Bennett’s Strikers regarding the plural marriage ceremony Noble had performed in April 1841.

Alternately, it could be that Bennett, having asserted his teachings came from Joseph, began to notice patterns that supported his claims. This could have been as simple as noting a pattern in certain rejections. Many would have simply declined to yield, the way Catherine Fuller did not have sex with every man who came at her with the story about illicit sex being fine. But those who had been taught about the New and Everlasting Covenant would reject Bennett’s heresy, possibly citing Joseph’s teachings. 24

From January 13th until May 20th, it seems Dr. Bennett and William Smith were engaged in a terrible game of chess with Joseph and Emma. Joseph and Emma were conducting a sting to ensure they identified the true source of the continued heresy while simultaneously warning as many
innocents as possible to reject the arguments of the seducers, all the while attempting to minimize open discussion of the sinners.

Though Joseph and Emma had uncovered a vast network of corruption, only Dr. Bennett would initially be exposed to public censure. When later asked why others, such as Chauncey and Francis Higbee, had not been exposed in 1842, it would be explained that there had been hope that they would reform. 25 Several of those named in the 1842 testimonies did reform, at least temporarily. In 1842 we see the Higbees sign affidavits that Bennett’s “secret wife system” was a disclosure of his own make.

Francis Higbee would write a letter claiming he had been asked if he “would assist in… bringing into existence, a newly modeled concern against the church… But God forbid, as long as he gives me health, and strength, and vigor or mind, I scorn the idea.” 26 Joseph’s trust had been rewarded in the short term.

In the long term, we see some of the men named in the 1842 testimonies as Strikers would travel west with the Saints after Joseph’s death. This includes Lyman O. Littlefield, Justus Morse, and Gustavus Hills. When we expand the scope to those, like Brigham Young and Heber C. Kimball, who appear to have initially been fooled regarding the acceptability of spiritual wifery, Joseph’s willingness to love and forgive arguably won an impressive harvest of souls.

The Face that Launched a Thousand Lies

Bennett’s energetic spread of the gospel of illicit intercourse seems to have been motivated by more than a desire for sexual gratification. A quiet affair with the pliant widow Fuller could have satisfied a simple desire for sex with relatively little risk.

Bennett had loved a young woman. That woman knew he was still married. She was probably ensconced in the bosom of the Smith family and undoubtedly aware of the teachings Joseph had been sharing with the Female Relief Society of Nauvoo. Bennett may have hoped he could find a way to persuade his original beloved to accept him as her lover. If so, it is impossible to know whether he succeeded, as such a seduction would be covered in the secrecy that has cloaked this entire troubling episode of Mormon history.

With the public withdrawal of fellowship from Bennett, Joseph and Emma were left with the responsibility to care for the the women who had been involved with Bennett and his men.

**Arraigning the Band of Brothers – Notes**

The Nauvoo High Council requested the visits that became the 1842 Nauvoo census expressly to “see that every family done their duty…”

When the activities of the Relief Society had flushed out evidence of continued wrong-doing, the Nauvoo High Council documented the testimonies given to them. Redacted testimonies were not made public until 1844, when it was hoped a partial record of wrong-doing would derail the conspiracy to kill Joseph.

The full High Council record demonstrates the activities of Bennett and his Strikers were far more extensive than conveyed in the documents published in the newspaper. A list of those cut off or excommunicated at this time includes many names implicated by testimonies provided to the High Council, suggesting the possibility that all those cut off may have been involved in the illicit intercourse heresy. If so, the High Council was acting on additional testimony not included in the women’s testimonies.

A review of other situations documented both at the time and well after 1842 suggests that even the extensive lists derived from the High Council papers fail to capture the breadth of the spiritual wifery heresy of 1842.
14 – Plural Wives of 1842

The most comprehensive treatment to date of plural marriages during Joseph Smith’s lifetime is Gary Bergera’s article “Identifying the Earliest Mormon Polygamists, 1841–44,” published in Dialogue during 2005. Unfortunately, Bergera’s article was written before publication of the DNA results that have consistently contradicted the rumors that Joseph engendered the children of the women with whom he covenanted. Bergera and prior scholars therefore had no incentive to considering the possibility that early plural marriages could have been primarily ceremonial with little or no sexual element. Specifically, no one has seriously examined the possibility that some of these covenants and marriages were inspired by a need to care for the victims of Bennett’s sex ring.

Previous chapters examined some of these covenants and marriages from the standpoint of the men involved. This chapter focuses on the women, to explore common themes. The first plural marriage that did not include Joseph Smith was the marriage of Theodore Turley and Mary Clift, supposedly occurring in early 1842.

Mary Clift and Theodore Turley

Mary Clift was an English convert, born 1815 in Gloucester. Theodore Turley, Sr. was born in England in 1801, then emigrated to Canada with his wife, Frances. The Turleys converted to Mormonism and eventually gathered to Nauvoo. Turley had a gun shop and filled the post of Armorer General for the Nauvoo Legion. On October 20, 1842, Mary Clift gave birth to a baby boy, Jason. In the family histories, Jason is noted as being the child of Theodore Turley, as are the three additional children Mary would go on to bear prior to her death in Salt Lake City. Mary died within a week of giving birth to her last child, the only one to survive to adulthood. Because of Jason’s birth, it is presumed that Theodore Turley took Mary Clift to be his plural wife in January 1842.

However we know that Mary Clift gave testimony in August and September 1842 attesting that she had been seduced by Gustavus Hills around the time she was involved in the Nauvoo Choir. Gustavus Hills had also spoken to Esther Smith about engaging in illicit intercourse, a fact to which Esther similarly attested in September 1842.

Thus the very first supposed plural marriage that did not involve Joseph Smith is known to be a reaction to a seduction by a Striker.

Philinda C. Eldredge [Myrick] and Vinson Knight

Philinda was born in 1809 and married Levi N. Myrick or Merrick on November 18, 1827. In 1838 Philinda and Levi were at Haun’s Mill when a militia of roughly 250 men from neighboring Missouri counties attacked. Levi was killed behind Mr. Haun’s home in the initial volley. Philinda’s oldest son, Charles, took shelter in the smithy with most the men. All would be shot, most dying immediately. Charles survived to see the militia enter the smithy. Charles took off running, likely before a militia member put his musket against another boy’s skull and blew off the top of his head. The militia shot Charles down but did not follow up to finish him off. Charles lingered in pain for a few weeks before dying of his wounds. A third boy survived, though his hip had been blown clear away.

It seems likely Philinda would have remained close to Catherine [Fuller], another woman widowed at Haun’s Mill. It is therefore possible that Philinda became involved in the illicit intercourse practiced by Bennett’s men, given that Catherine’s home was a particular gathering place for these men, and site of many sexual conquests.

Vinson Knight was one of the three bishops in Nauvoo in 1841/42 and lived very near the Times and Seasons offices. Suggesting how Vinson Knight might have become involved in spiritual wifery. As bishop, Vinson was
responsible for widows and orphans in his congregation. These are the population of women most vulnerable to Bennett and his Strikers.

It is possible Joseph Smith explained the doctrine of the New and Everlasting Covenant to Vinson, with its provision for taking more than one wife. However William Clayton recorded a conversation he had had with Joseph in 1843 regarding delicate matters, recording that Knight “went to loose conduct and [Joseph] could not save him.” Clayton’s journal entry raises the possibility that Vinson Knight himself had adopted the practices of Bennett’s group, possibly being brought to believe that allowing the women to participate in illicit intercourse in exchange for food was an approved plan by Joseph for taking care of the needs of indigent women.

Vinson was providing Philinda food. It is unclear whether he regarded Philinda as a plural wife or as a spiritual wife. Vinson’s legal wife, Martha McBride, reportedly “knew some thing was wortyfing her husband and he could not seem to tell her about it. One evening as she was sitting in the grape arbor behind the house Vinson returned home carrying a basket. He explained to her that he had taken some fruit and vegetables to the widow, Mrs. Levi Merrick, whose husband had been killed at Haunt’s Mill. He also explained to her that he had been told to [take responsibility for a woman in need]. That if he had to, this Sister Merrick would be the one he could help best. He must have been greatly relieved when Martha replied, ‘Is that all.’”

Vinson would not care for Philinda long. By March 1842 Philinda was receiving assistance from the Relief Society. In July 1842 Vinson became suddenly ill and passed away on July 31, 1842. Joseph Smith delivered the eulogy, saying Vinson was the “best friend he ever had on earth.”

Martha McBride Knight would covenant with Joseph the month after Vinson’s death. This echoes Joseph’s covenant with Sylvia Sessions [Lyon], whose husband had been killed at Haunt’s Mill. Philinda Eldredge would remarry in 1843. Neither Martha nor Philinda would have themselves sealed to Vinson in the Nauvoo temple, an eternal rejection consistent with Clayton’s journal entry regarding Knight’s loose conduct.

Sarah Peak [Noon] and Heber C. Kimball

Sarah Peak was born in 1811 in England. Sarah married William Noon in 1829. William accompanied Sarah and their two daughters to Nauvoo when Sarah converted to Mormonism. The Noon’s arrived in Nauvoo on July 1, 1841, just as Dr. Bennett’s illicit intercourse activities began. Sarah would subsequently part from William because of his “drunken and dissolute habits,” according to Sarah’s step-daughter, Helen Mar Kimball.

We know Bennett’s Strikers were targeting widows. They had also shown a taste for new or prospective converts fresh off the boat. This was attested to with regards to Eleanor and Rachel Kingsley and alleged in the case of Martha Brotherton. These new converts would have less experience with the gospel and would more easily accept illicit intercourse as a possible secret teaching.

Heber C. Kimball had told Joseph Smith of his plan to approach spinsters Laura Pitkin (52) and Abigail Pitkin (45) to be his wives. When Heber told Joseph his plan, Joseph commanded Heber not to marry the Pitkin sisters. At some point thereafter, Heber was asked to marry Sarah Peak [Noon], who was 31.

Sarah gave birth to a child, Adelbert (or Adelmon), in October or November of 1842. Heber Kimball is usually presumed to be Adelbert’s father. But Adelbert was conceived when Bennett and his men were actively attempting to seduce women. Alternately, Adelbert could have been fathered by William Noon if Sarah Peak [Noon] felt it necessary to part with him only after conception.

Unfortunately we can never positively determine who fathered Adelbert, as he passed away in April 1843. Sarah Peak [Noon] would have another child in 1844, making it possible that Heber and Sarah refrained from sexual relations until after Emma Smith embraced the New and Everlasting Covenant in May 1843.

Lucina Roberts [Johnston] and Reynolds Cahoon

Lucina Roberts was born in 1806 in Lincoln, Vermont. She married fellow Vermont native Peter Henry Johnston in 1824. By the time Lucina reached Nauvoo, her husband had died and she had lost three of her six children to death.

The date when Lucina married Reynolds Cahoon, born 1790, is vague, reportedly during late 1841 or early 1842. The birth of Lucina’s daughter, Lucina Johnston Cahoon, is given as “abt 1843.” However Gary Bergera lists Lucina Cahoon as one of the children born to plural wives prior to Joseph Smith’s death.
With Lucina, we have a widow in Nauvoo as a plural wife with an unusual lack of detail regarding either the marriage itself or the date when the child supposedly produced by that marriage was engendered. Again the window of possible conception overlaps the peak of illicit intercourse activity in Nauvoo.

Lucy Ann Decker [Seeley] and Brigham Young

Lucy Ann Decker [Seeley], born in 1822, was abandoned by her first husband, William Seeley. William was a non-Mormon who was allegedly an abusive alcoholic. William left Lucy with the couple’s three tiny children, leaving her a widow for all practical purposes.

With Lucy we have an echo of Sarah Peak [Noon], a young mother constructively abandoned by a husband who had accompanied her to Nauvoo. Brigham Young took responsibility for Lucy Ann in 1842.

Brigham and Lucy would not produce children for at least two years after their reported marriage in the summer of 1842. This suggests the possibility that Brigham and Lucy did not engage in sexual relations until after Joseph’s death.

Joseph’s 1842 Wives

The prior chapter, Arraigning the Band of Brothers, reviewed the women who either testified they had submitted to pressure to engage in illicit intercourse or women who were seen in compromising situations with men known to teach Bennett’s theories regarding the acceptability of illicit intercourse. The review above of women who covenanted with men other than Joseph Smith shows a pattern of women who had either been seduced or women who were vulnerable due to having been widowed or abandoned. This suggests these women were unusually vulnerable to Bennett and other Strikers.

Having seen this pattern, it is revealing to consider the women Joseph Smith may have married in 1842.

Agnes Coolbrith [Smith] (m. Jan 6, 1842): Agnes entered into a levirate marriage with Joseph Smith after her husband’s death. Joseph Smith’s journal entry for the presumed wedding day indicates this marriage was “a day in which all things are concurring together to bring about the completion of the fullness of the gospel.” There is no positive indication on January 6th that Joseph’s marriage to Agnes was a reaction to Bennett, other than the participation of Brigham Young. However, it appears the Strikers had approached Agnes’s late husband in an attempt to get him to participate in spiritual wifery. Clarissa Marvel would be questioned for spreading rumors about Agnes’s character. Agnes would later write Joseph F. Smith hinting that she could tell him things he knew nothing about.

Mary Elizabeth Rollins [Lightner] (m. Feb 1842): Mary Elizabeth was someone Joseph had attempted to persuade of plural marriage late in 1841, before he appears to have learned about Bennett’s activities. However, Mary’s sealing to Joseph was performed by Brigham Young with Heber C. Kimball in attendance. Later in life Mary would specifically indicate she could tell Joseph F. Smith things about his father, Hyrum, of which Joseph F. Smith was unaware. Mary’s sealing appears to have provided her information about all three men directly implicated in the initial rumors related to Martha Brotherton.

Sylvia Sessions [Lyon]: Sylvia was the wife of Windsor Lyon, an apothecary. Sylvia would never clarify when she covenanted with Joseph, apparently refusing to sign either of the affidavits Joseph F. Smith prepared in 1869. Sylvia did tell her daughter, Josephine, that Sylvia was sealed to Joseph Smith after Windsor was cut off from the Church. Sylvia was reportedly present when her mother, a midwife, entered into covenant with Joseph in March 1842. As wife of the druggist, Sylvia was in a position to assist the investigation into the activities of Bennett’s men, either as they sought drugs to assist in seductions or drugs and herbs to inhibit pregnancy.

DNA analysis confirms Sylvia’s daughter, Josephine, could not have been Joseph Smith’s biological child and was instead the child of Windsor Lyon. Some scholars persist in believing Sylvia had sex with Joseph Smith, but was sufficiently unaware of her reproductive state that she became confused regarding whether Windsor or Joseph had fathered Josephine. Meanwhile, review of Sylvia’s deathbed testimony indicates that she told both Josephine and another daughter, Phebe Jane Clark [Ellis], about Joseph being their father. As Phebe was conceived long after Joseph’s death, it appears Sylvia was talking of a covenant relationship rather than a biological relationship.

Patty Bartlett [Sessions] (m. March 6, 1842): Patty, as a midwife and mature woman, was in a prime position to identify women who had been seduced. If they became pregnant, she would be able to help them.
Nancy Winchester: The date when Nancy married Joseph is unknown. She never consummated her marriage with Heber C. Kimball. She remained in her parents’ home for the rest of her life, even after bearing a child with a third husband when she was nearly 40. The trajectory of her life hints that something traumatic may have happened to her, possibly in January 1842, around the time her brother, Benjamin Winchester, was excommunicated.

Marinda Nancy Johnson [Hyde]: Marinda helped Joseph’s investigation by inviting Nancy Rigdon to be interviewed in April 1842. Marinda herself would attest that she was not sealed to Joseph Smith until May 1843. This suggests Marinda Nancy Johnson was a trusted agent in 1842 rather than a covenant wife.

Elizabeth Davis [Goldsmith Brackenbury Durfee]: Bennett would assert in 1842 that Elizabeth had been one of Joseph’s wives. Elizabeth would have herself sealed to Joseph in the Nauvoo temple after his death. However there is no primary document indicating Elizabeth covenanted with Joseph prior to his death. Elizabeth’s main role appeared to be questioning young ladies regarding what they thought about spiritual wifery. Elizabeth also helped Emma, determining the worthiness of women applying to join Relief Society or questioning those spreading rumors. Later, when Elizabeth saw how Brigham Young was conducting Church affairs, she returned to Quincy to be near Emma Smith.

Sarah Maryetta Kingsley [Howe Cleveland]: Researchers have inferred Sarah was married to Joseph Smith prior to July 1842 because she stands as witness for other women who marry him. Sarah, like Elizabeth Davis [Durfee], would have herself sealed to Joseph Smith posthumously. However there is only supposition to support a possible covenant between Sarah Cleveland and Joseph Smith during Joseph’s life. Either way, Sarah’s role appears to be that of an investigator rather than wife or lover.

Delcena Diadamia Johnson [Sherman]: Delcena was the widow of almost- apostle Lyman Sherman. She had seven children to care for. Delcena was also sister of Mary Heron’s son-in-law, putting her within the circle of a woman possibly seduced by one of Bennett’s Strikers. As a widow, Delcena may have been pressured to yield to a Striker in exchange for food.

Delcena’s brother, Benjamin, returned to Nauvoo in July 1842. He would later describe the marriage between Delcena and Joseph as “tacitly admitted.” Delcena was living in the home of Louisa Beaman, who had covenanted with Joseph in April 1841. Joseph Smith may have been trying to protect Delcena from the Strikers.

Eliza Roxy Snow (m. 29 June 1842): We do not know when Eliza learned about “plurality,” other than that it occurred in Nauvoo. Eliza would attest that she covenanted with Joseph on June 29, shortly after Bennett’s departure from Nauvoo, with Sarah [Cleveland] as witness and Brigham Young officiating. Eliza is considered to have been entirely virtuous.

Yet sometime in 1842 Eliza had penned a sensuous and secretive poem titled “The Bride’s Avowal” that concludes:

“nought but thy approving look is happiness to me. I would not sell they confidence, for all the pearls that strew the ocean’s bed or all the gems that sparkle in Peru.”

This poem would be published in August 1842, a time when the only possible public interpretation was that Snow was proclaiming herself Joseph’s mistress. This publication coincided with Eliza being asked to leave the home of Sarah Cleveland, where she had been staying after her father left the fellowship of the Saints one week after Dr. Bennett’s departure.

The poem was probably inserted in the paper by someone wishing to harm Joseph. This implies Eliza had originally presented the poem to Bennett or one of his Strikers.

In November 1842 Eliza would write of a “vile wretch that feeds his sordid selfishness upon the peace and blood of innocence…” Due to Eliza’s prominence, her experiences and writings will be covered in more detail in a later chapter. It is sufficient to note that Eliza Snow exhibits traits suggesting she may have been vulnerable to the Strikers.

Sarah Ann Whitney (m. 27 July 1842): The marriage of Sarah and Joseph Smith is the only 1842 plural marriage covenant that initially seems untouched by the Bennett scandal. Sarah was daughter of Elizabeth Ann Smith [Whitney], Emma’s Relief Society Counselor, and Newel K. Whitney, senior Bishop in the Mormon Church. Sarah’s father performed the ceremony linking his daughter to Joseph Smith. A month later, Sarah’s parents were sealed to one another.

The Whitneys were the first married couple known to have their vows solemnized for eternity. Much is made of a letter Joseph writes the Whitneys from hiding, telling them that if Emma is not there they can come to him in perfect safety. It is usually presumed Emma was the danger, as if she were not aware of Joseph’s marriages. However the obvious reason Emma would
represent danger to people visiting Joseph in hiding would be the possibility that enemies would attempt to tail Emma.

Though Sarah herself seems untouched by the Bennett scandal, it was feared her brother, Horace, would turn against Joseph Smith. On May 12, 1842, Horace was sent away to live with relations in Connecticut and Ohio. His wife, Helen Mar Kimball, would write:

"Joseph feared... the Higbee boys would embitter Horace against him, as they had already caused serious trouble, and for this reason he favored his going east, which Horace was not slow to accept." 26

Martha McBridence [Knight] (m. August 1842): It appears Martha's husband had gone "to loose conduct" and was possibly influenced by Bennett's group to engage in illicit intercourse. Joseph's marriage to Martha would have been similar to the marriage of Theodore Turley to Mary Clift, a man protecting a woman who was believed to have been with a scoundrel.

Esther Dutcher [Smith]: In 1888 Daniel Wells wrote to Joseph F. Smith, asserting Esther Dutcher [Smith] had been a plural wife to Joseph Smith. Esther Dutcher [Smith] was almost certainly the same Esther Smith mentioned in the High Council minutes of fall 1842. It is not entirely clear if Esther rejected the teaching regarding illicit intercourse or if she was a victim. Esther's covenant with Joseph may have occurred in spring 1842 soon after Gustavus Hills attempted to seduce her.

Lesser-Known Wives: There are other women who are reported to have covenanted with Joseph Smith, where there is little information. But we see important patterns.

- Mary Houston and Sarah Scott [Mulholland] were respectively single and widowed. Like so many of the women known to have covenanted with Joseph Smith, they accepted Heber Kimball's protection after Joseph's death.
- Lucinda Pendleton [Morgan Harris] and Phebe Watrous [Woodworth] would each have themselves sealed to Joseph Smith in January 1846 with their husbands standing proxy. This is markedly similar to how Esther Dutcher [Smith] asked her loving husband to stand proxy as she was sealed to Joseph Smith for eternity. Given the several men known to have sinned who repented and went on to live honorable lives, it must be considered that these three women felt their husbands had in some manner lost the mandate to retain them in eternity. This is similar to the behavior of Martha McBride [Knight], who would decline to be sealed to her husband Vinson, though to all public appearance Vinson had died an honorable man.
- Sarah Rapson [Poulterer] may have been the “Miss B*****” or Bapson Dr. Bennett indicated was a wife to Joseph Smith. Like Sarah Whitney and Sarah Scott [Mulholland], Sarah Rapson [Poulterer] appears to have been related to a male teenager among “the youth that [Dr. Bennett and his Strikers] had influence over.”

All plural marriage activity in 1842 appears associated with cleaning up the secret mess Bennett and his strikers had caused by persuading men and women to engage in illicit intercourse. For those who believe in a God who might send the sword-wielding angel, every plural marriage after April 1841 was potentially precipitated by Bennett’s secret activities. Unfortunately the spate of 1842 covenants would not be enough to cover over the wounds Bennett had caused in Nauvoo. When Bennett was cut off in June 1842, without any other man or woman being subjected to public scorn, Bennett was enraged. He immediately set in motion a plan to destroy Joseph Smith, Emma Smith, and the Mormon Church.

Plural Wives of 1842 – Notes

The plural wives of 1842 either manifest characteristics reflecting vulnerability to Bennett or his Strikers, or were known to have been assisting Emma and Joseph to combat the influence of the Strikers.

Three women bore children engendered during 1842: Mary Clift, Sarah Peak [Noon], and Lucina Roberts [Johnston]. Mary Clift confessed to being seduced by Gustavus Hills. It is not clear which men engendered the children of Sarah Peak [Noon] and Lucina Roberts [Johnston].

Joseph appears to have covenanted with a woman (Martha [Knight]) whose husband was reportedly involved in illicit intercourse and possibly a woman (Esther [Smith]) who had been pressed to participate in illicit intercourse.
15 – Sangamo and Pratt

After the Church publicly withdrew fellowship from Dr. Bennett, Bennett approached the editor of the Sangamo Journal, a Whig newspaper in the Illinois state capital, Springfield, Sangamon County, Illinois. The editor of the Sangamo Journal, one Simeon Francis, had ruthlessly assailed Bennett in the press only weeks before. But Bennett convinced Francis that an exposé of the Mormons could shift the balance of power between the Whigs and Democrats in the upcoming election.

The initial letter contained allegations of treason, political tyranny, attempted murder, sexual misconduct, and about every other un-American deed Bennett could think of. Bennett suggested that Joseph planned to use the Nauvoo Legion to overthrow the Northwest, similar to Aaron Burr’s reported treason in 1807. But Bennett’s stories of sexual intrigue captured the imagination of the public. The most damning of these was Bennett’s tale alleging Joseph had attempted to woo the wife of one of his own apostles, Orson Pratt.

Orson Pratt

Orson Pratt was one of the original members of the Quorum of the Twelve Apostles in Joseph Smith’s Church, ordained to his position in 1835. Half the members of the original Quorum apostatized due to the turmoil of the financial collapse in Kirtland and Oliver Cowdery’s allegations regarding Joseph and Fanny Alger.¹ One was killed in the mobbings in Missouri. Those who survived and remained faithful had been asked to serve missions abroad.

While John C. Bennett was putting in place the Nauvoo City Charter, Orson was overseas, preaching and publishing in Liverpool, Edinburgh, and Manchester. Bennett was having Sarah Pratt wash his clothing, sew his shirts, and make his outer clothing while Orson Pratt was preaching without purse or scrip.

It is likely during this period of time (May-July 1841) that Bennett formed the opinion that Sarah Pratt “made a first rate go.”²

Orson returned from England in the summer of 1841, stopping in New York on July 1, 1841, to publish a second edition of his Edinburgh tract, History of the Coming Forth of the Book of Mormon. By July 19th, Orson was back in Nauvoo, meeting in council with other members of the Twelve Apostles. Orson returned to the arms and bed of his young wife. He apparently had no idea Sarah had betrayed him during his absence.

Bennett, Denied

Shortly before Orson returned to Nauvoo, Bennett was reprimanded strongly by Joseph Smith, apparently ending his liaison with Sarah Pratt. In 1890 Ebenezer Robinson, formerly Justice of the Peace in Nauvoo, would publish an account, relating:

In the spring of 1841 Dr. Bennett had a small neat house built for Orson Pratt’s family, ³ and commenced boarding with them. Elder Pratt was absent on a mission to England.

Sometime after this, Presidents Hyrum Smith and William Law went on a mission to the eastern states. (William Law was one of the three first Presidents of the church.) When passing through Ohio, a gentleman told them Dr. Bennett had a wife and children living, but she left him because of his adulterous practices. They wrote a letter to Joseph Smith giving him this statement, which letter, Joseph says in his history, was shown to Dr. Bennett, when he confessed he had a wife and children living.

Soon after this Dr. Bennett made an attempt to commit suicide by taking poison. It required quite an effort on the part of the physicians to save his life, as he strenuously resisted their efforts to save him.⁴
Hyrum Smith wrote from Pittsburgh on June 15, 1841 that Bennett had abandoned his wife and conducted himself in a scandalous manner. This echoed the information George Miller had conveyed to Joseph Smith in March 1841, likely arriving in Joseph’s hands before April 1841.

Joseph Smith himself had originally voiced the cover story regarding the June letter prompting the suicide. However Joseph’s rebuke of Bennett covered more than just anger at Bennett’s attempt to hide the fact of his marriage. Lorenzo Wasson, a Smith relative who claimed to have overheard the interchange, recalled that Joseph gave Bennett “a tremendous flagellation for practicing iniquity under the base pretense of authority from the heads of the church.” This “iniquity under...pretense of authority from the heads of the church” seems to describe the manner in which Bennett would persuade others to accept illicit intercourse.

Bennett and Francis Higbee had been found guilty of adultery around July 4, 1841. Dr. Bennett had been called in to treat Francis Higbee, [Nancy Rigdon's suitor and brother of Chauncey Higbee] and found him suffering from the ——. This was most likely a reference to “the pox,” or syphilis. Bennett and Higbee had confessed before a group of 60-70 individuals on the third floor of the Nauvoo Cultural Hall. Joseph may have only learned of Dr. Bennett’s adultery with Sarah Pratt as a result of the July 1841 accusations and confessions.

Orson, the Dutiful

Given Orson Pratt’s involvement in the activities of the Quorum of the Twelve Apostles, it seems likely that Orson was aware Church leaders had withdrawn Sarah’s food allotment prior to his arrival home. However it is not clear Orson Pratt realized Sarah had been unfaithful. It is possible Sarah implied she had merely had a falling out with Joseph, to explain the lack of support. Orson’s later actions convey complete shock, as though he was completely blindsided by the disclosures Bennett and Joseph would make in July 1842.

Public documents allow us a window into Orson Pratt’s life after returning to Nauvoo. Less than a month after Orson’s return, it was announced that “The department of English literature and mathematics, of the University of the City of Nauvoo, is in operation under the tuition of Professor Orson Pratt.” Bennett was the one who had created the University charter and gotten it approved by the Illinois State legislature.

When Orson learned of Bennett’s care for Sarah while Orson was absent, he insisted Bennett come live with them. Bennett had been a “getter up” of colleges several times previously. The formation of the University of the City of Nauvoo shows signs of his involvement, often promising more than was actual. For example, it was fully two weeks after the announcement that Orson Pratt was heading the department of English literature and mathematics that “Orson Pratt was elected professor of mathematics in the University of the City of Nauvoo, and the degree of master of arts conferred on him by the chancellor and board of regents.”

Orson was working hard on the University project during the fall of 1841, almost certainly working closely with Dr. Bennett, who was living near Orson in Nauvoo’s First Ward. In the Nauvoo census, the names of Orson and Sarah Pratt are adjacent to the name John C. Bennett, indicating that they were at the very least close neighbors.

When Joseph Smith identified Dr. Bennett as a key participant in the illicit intercourse being conducted in Nauvoo in May 1842, Joseph drew up the notice withdrawing fellowship from Dr. Bennett. Over the next several days, Joseph had the leaders of the Church who were in town sign the notice. Everyone did so with the exception of Orson Pratt. Based on sealed testimony and journal entries, at least two of the men who did sign the notice had engaged in illicit intercourse themselves. But Orson Pratt’s refusal to sign the notice withdrawing fellowship seems to have been inspired by Orson’s honest regard for Bennett. Bennett had been Orson’s friend and labored with him on the University project.

When Joseph printed the notice withdrawing fellowship from Bennett, there is no doubt Dr. Bennett noted that Orson’s signature was missing. The missing signature was a sign Orson was not hardened against Bennett, the way the other men had become.

Bennett Prepares His Attack

Dr. Bennett’s initial letters to the Sangamo Journal spewed numerous accusations at Joseph and his people. But one item hit home. It was the allegation that Joseph had seduced hundreds of single and married females, more than the great Solomon. Bennett specifically named Pamela [Michael], Nancy Rigdon, Martha Brotherton, and Sarah [Pratt].
Pamela [Michael]. Pamela (Pamelia) Mitchell [Michael] was about 28 years old and appears to have been a widow. In August 1842 Pamela provided an affidavit denying Bennett’s charges and condemning his use of her name. Pamela would pass away in 1844 of a bilious fever. Bennett’s accusation, Pamela’s rebuttal, and Pamela’s Nauvoo obituary are apparently all that is known of Widow Michael.

Nancy Rigdon. Nancy was the daughter of Joseph’s long-time colleague, Sidney Rigdon. Nancy was also being courted by Francis Higbee around this time. A letter Joseph allegedly wrote to Nancy, published by Bennett, makes it appear Joseph may have been attempting to explain to Nancy the difference between adultery and acceptable sex outside of monogamous marriage:

“That which is wrong under one circumstance, may be, and often is, right under another…”

Yet this letter may have been a fabrication. Later that summer Sidney Rigdon would hesitate to share correspondence from Bennett, causing Joseph to fear Sidney was implicated in the illicit sex ring. It does not appear that Nancy Rigdon wanted the letter published. Her lack of support for Bennett reduced the utility of her tale for Bennett’s purposes.

Martha Brotherton. Martha was an English convert who arrived in the Nauvoo area around November 1841. Brigham Young, Wilford Woodruff, and Parley P. Pratt were the missionaries that brought the gospel to Martha’s family. 17 The Nauvoo census lists Martha Brotherton and parents Sarah and Thomas as living in the Nauvoo 3rd Ward in February 1842.

The Brothertons had left England on September 21, 1841 aboard the Tyrian. 18 The presiding Elder on board was Joseph Fielding. Years later Fielding wrote of the immigrants’ arrival in St. Louis in November 1841:

“Here we saw some poor faithless Saints, something like spider webs set to catch flies. They came to us with fair words as our best friends, but their council was that of enemies, but did not prevail to stay any of our company, except two. Most of them had been to Nauvoo but had not faith enough to live there.” 19

It seems the “council” may well have been at least infused with rumors of the sexual heresy that was infesting Nauvoo at the time. Those who stayed were likely converts like the Longstroths, 20 who are known to have stopped in St. Louis rather than gathering to Nauvoo.

Meg Stout – Reluctant Polygamist

According to Martha’s tales, Brigham approached Martha and asked if she would be his wife. Martha would indicate her interaction with Brigham Young occurred three weeks after her arrival in the Nauvoo area. It is not clear if Martha meant her November 25th arrival at Warsaw in the vicinity of Nauvoo or her actual arrival in the city of Nauvoo. 21 It seems likely Martha traveled to Nauvoo with her sister Mary and brother-in-law John McLugrick on December 6th, as she specifically mentions visiting with them in her affidavit. The conversation between Brigham and Martha must have occurred in the latter half of December, 1841.

Martha’s father wrote home at the beginning of December, concluding as follows: “Give our love to all friends, and tell them that after all we have suffered in losses, sea sickness, and toils, by land and sea, if I had it to do again, I should be more willing to do it than when I left Manchester.” 22

Yet by January, Joseph Fielding wrote to England, warning “some… will send home an evil report, such as a brother B. from Macclesfield,” a clear reference to Martha’s father. 23 Elizabeth Brotherton wrote that her father only stayed with the Church nine weeks after arriving in Nauvoo, moving south to Warsaw and eventually St. Louis before returning to England. 24 The extended Brotherton families arrived at St. Louis via New Orleans in May 1842 with a company of 200 English Saints aboard the Hanover. 25 Fifty persons were dissuaded from continuing on to Nauvoo, likely in large part due to the tale Martha Brotherton was telling.

Martha’s tale of being coerced to be a secret wife was sufficiently noised about in Nauvoo that the matter was explicitly refuted during the April 1842 General Conference. The rebuttal was published in the newspaper record of the proceedings of Conference. The original rumor claimed Martha had been locked in a room for days by Hyrum Smith, Brigham Young, Heber Kimball, and other apostles. Months later, at the request of Dr. Bennett, Martha wrote an affidavit describing her ordeal in more detail. In the affidavit, Martha claimed the door had been locked only ten minutes rather than multiple days. Martha wrote her original affidavit while Dr. John C. Bennett was visiting St. Louis, and clearly indicates that she is writing it at his request. Bennett may have influenced Martha to craft her statement for maximum damage, inserting Joseph Smith in the tale. Bennett had cast Joseph Smith as the villainous character in other stories where more contemporary testimony indicates Bennett had been the seducer. Martha Brotherton’s affidavit was published July 16th 26 and was quickly reprinted in newspapers around the country. Martha’s story was powerful, a tale of coercion told from the woman’s point of view.
Martha’s sisters, Mary and Elizabeth, and her brother-in-law, John McIlwrick, 27 would testify Martha had lied and that she herself had behaved in a wanton manner. But the damage was done.

Sarah [Pratt], Bennett’s most explosive claim was his charge that Joseph Smith had attempted to seduce Sarah [Pratt], wife of his own, trusting apostle. Bennett would fail to mention his own dalliances with Sarah. Instead Bennett would allege that Sarah had been pressured to become Joseph’s lover during pastoral visits, visits Joseph may have made as a result of the adultery allegations of July 1841. Supposedly when Sarah refused, Smith cut off Church support.

It appears Bennett sent Orson an advance copy of the Sangamo Journal exposé in mid-July. Based on the timing of subsequent events, it seems Orson shared the text with Joseph. Orson knew the fact about withdrawal of church support was true. How much else of Bennett’s tale was therefore also true?

**Orson Reacts**

Orson had the tale from Bennett and Sarah, alleging Joseph was the one who had attempted to seduce Sarah. Orson also had the tale from Joseph, supported by an horrific number of witnesses, alleging Bennett had been intimate with Sarah. He had to choose between believing himself cuckolded in fact, or follower of a man who had tried to seduce his wife.

Brigham Young would write:

“Br. Orson Pratt is in trouble in consequence of his wife [Sarah]. His feelings are so wrought up that he does not know whether his wife is wrong, or whether Joseph’s testimony and others are wrong, and do lie, and he [Orson] deceived for 12 years or not; he is all but crazy about the matter. You may ask what the matter is concerning Sister [Pratt]. It is enough, and Doct. J.C. Bennett, could tell all about himself and his ***** enough of that. We will not let Br. Orson go away from us. He is too good a man to have a woman destroy him.” 28

Joseph called a meeting in the grove on 14 July 1842, the day before the Sangamo Journal article was scheduled to run. Joseph laid out the story of Bennett’s seduction of an honorable woman, but did not name Sarah. The next day the Journal article appeared. Joseph called another meeting and

confirmed that the woman he had spoken of, who had been seduced by Bennett, was Sarah [Pratt]. 29

Orson went missing the day the article appeared. Joseph “caused the Temple hands and the principal men of the city to make search for him.” 30 Orson was found five miles south of Nauvoo, next to the Mississippi River. Considering Brigham Young’s comments, it seems possible Orson was contemplating suicide as he stood at the edge of the river. 31

Orson remained in Nauvoo. A week later he voted against Joseph, presumably when officers of the church were being sustained. When Orson was questioned about his opposition, he admitted he had no personal knowledge of any immoral act on Joseph’s part. 32 From that time Orson did not oppose Joseph. But neither did he openly support Joseph. To do so was to proclaim Orson believed his wife, Sarah, had committed adultery.

By August Joseph Smith went into hiding, concerned that deputies from Missouri would attempt to extradite him. 33 In Joseph’s absence, Brigham and other members of the Quorum of Twelve Apostles attempted to get Orson to come out in open support of Joseph Smith. When Orson refused, the Apostles excommunicated Orson and Sarah on August 20, 1842. Cut off from the work that had been his life for the past decade, Orson wrote that he spent “Much of my leisure time in study, and made myself thoroughly acquainted with algebra, geometry, trigonometry, conic sections, differential and integral calculus, astronomy, and most of the physical sciences. These studies I pursued without the assistance of a teacher.” 34

In September 1842, leading men and women in Nauvoo drew up certificates attesting that “Bennett’s ‘secret wife system’ is a disclosure of his own make.” 35 Orson Pratt’s name was conspicuously missing. 36

Joseph had tried to protect those who were guilty. Now he watched from hiding as an innocent man was excommunicated for the crime of standing by his wife. Joseph was determined to save Orson.

**Sangamo and Pratt – Notes**

Dr. Bennett attacked Joseph Smith by publishing a series of letters accusing him of all manner of evil. The most explosive charge was that Joseph had attempted to seduce Sarah Bates [Pratt].
Martha Brotherton provided an affidavit claiming Brigham Young had attempted to coerce her to be his bigamous wife, an interview that must have occurred in December 1841 based on the November 1841 arrival of the Brothertons in the Joseph Fielding party and the inclusion of the Brothertons in the February 1842 Nauvoo census.

Joseph only shared the minimum information required to counter Dr. Bennett’s claims, including his reticence to explain the actual reason Dr. Bennett was believed to have attempted suicide in July 1841. But when Dr. Bennett accused Joseph of attempting to seduce Sarah [Pratt], Joseph was forced to set the record straight. Orson Pratt was torn, not sure whether to believe himself cuckolded in fact, as Joseph claimed, or follower of a would-be seducer, as Bennett claimed. Orson chose silence. In Joseph’s absence, the apostles excommunicated Orson for failing to defend Joseph.

There are various stories recounting that Joseph told men to give their wives to him. Alternately, there are instances where a woman who was married to another man then entered into a covenant relationship with Joseph Smith.

Prior to March 1842, Joseph covenanted with three women who were married to other men. These ladies were Zina Diantha Huntington [Jacobs], Presendia Huntington [Buell], and Mary Elizabeth Rollins [Lightner]. DNA analysis of descendants suggests none of the descendants of these women are actually related to Joseph Smith. Therefore it is reasonable to speculate that these covenants were ceremonial in nature. Joseph’s covenants with the Huntington sisters appear to have been partially based on the command from the angel with the sword and partially inspired by Dimick Huntington’s desire to link the Huntington family to Joseph Smith in eternity. Joseph’s covenant with Mary Elizabeth Rollins [Lightner] was based on the command from the angel with the sword and possibly the urgency caused by Joseph’s early fears about the seductions taking place in Nauvoo.

In 1842, Joseph appears to have covenanted with five additional women who were already married:

- Sylvia Sessions [Lyon],
- Patty Bartlett [Sessions],
- Elizabeth Davis [Goldsmith Brackenbury Durfee].
As discussed in Plural Wives of 1842, it appears these women were acting as detectives during the hunt for the men seducing women in Nauvoo. These covenants then were possibly a combination of Joseph teaching the correct doctrine and swearing these women to secrecy in pursuit of the men and women teaching or believing false doctrine about the nature of marriage and sexuality. Esther and Sylvia were associated with men who were either excommunicated or known to have committed adultery (Windsor Lyon and Gustavus Hills).

By summer 1842 Bennett had been exposed as ring-leader of the sexual predators. Bennett counter-attacked Joseph in the press, claiming Joseph was the one who had been propositioning women. As with most effective lies, there was a kernel of truth. Joseph had been talking with women about the New and Everlasting Covenant. But Joseph's aim does not appear to have been the easy sex Bennett and his Strikers had elicited from the hapless women of Nauvoo. Yet Bennett spun a web of accusations anchored in the allegation that Joseph had made improper advances to Sarah Pratt, wife of his apostle, Orson Pratt.

Joseph's response to the accusation regarding Sarah Pratt was outrage. Sarah was an acknowledged adulteress in the eyes of the dozens who heard John C. Bennett's confession in 1841. Joseph initially counter-accused Bennett of committing adultery, only specifying to the general public that the woman was Sarah when Bennett persisted in publishing his allegations.

Judges in Israel

Unfortunately Sarah's husband appears not to have been aware of his wife's infidelity prior to Bennett's accusations and Joseph's defense. After Sarah's infidelity was declared to the public, Orson chose to remain silent rather than publicly confirm he believed himself cuckolded.

The other apostles felt that Orson's silence was tantamount to an attack on Joseph Smith. They believed Joseph's very life was at stake. Certainly Joseph had been forced into hiding as a result of Bennett's accusations. The Apostles did not have Joseph to guide them as they deliberated with Orson. After a short few weeks, they decided the only way to deal with Orson was to punish him. Orson was excommunicated on August 20, 1842.

Trying the Judges

There is reason to think Joseph was very distressed by the Apostles' decision to excommunicate Orson. Not only had Orson been harmed, those who acted had demonstrated a stunning lack of compassion. Joseph could have simply ordered them to re-admit Orson to their number. But Joseph's goal was not mere restitution. Joseph wished to create a quorum that was truly united, one by choice rather than by edict.

There are several reports that Joseph asked apostles to give him their wives. The timing of these requests is not known exactly. Prior researchers have not suggested that Orson's excommunication was the cause. Most have merely seen this episode as a trial of the apostles' faith, without providing a cause that might precipitate such a trial. An example of this lore is the 1854 sermon of Jedediah M. Grant asserting "Did the Prophet Joseph want every man's wife he asked for? He did not... the grand object in view was to try the people of God, to see what was in them." Jedediah was second counselor to Brigham Young and had been sealed to Young as an adoptive son. It is likely Jedediah's information on the matter came from Brigham Young.

The members of the Quorum of the Twelve Apostles that remained in the summer of 1842 had already withstood the tempest of apostasy in Kirtland and Missouri. A list of the men who had been called as apostles in the short history of the Church leading up to 1842 shows how many had fallen by the wayside. The reason for leaving the Quorum of the Twelve Apostles is given. Remaining apostles from the original quorum are bolded.

1. Thomas B. Marsh, (1835-1838) apostasy
2. David W. Patten, (1835-1838) death, shot in Missouri
3. Brigham Young, (1835-1847) became Church President
4. Heber C. Kimball, (1835-1869) death
5. Orson Hyde, (1835-1839, 1839-1878) death
6. William E. McLemore, (1835-1838) apostasy
7. Parley P. Pratt, (1835-1857) death, shot in Arkansas
9. William B. Smith, (1835-1845) excommunication
10. Orson Pratt, (1835-1842, 1843-1881) death
11. John E. Page, (1838-1846) excommunication
12. Lyman E. Johnson, (1835-1838) apostasy
13. John Taylor, (1838-1880) became Church President
14. Lyman Royal Sherman, (1838-1839) died before ordination
15. John C. Bennett, (1838-1839, 1839-1878) death
16. Joseph Smith, (1835-1844) apostasy
It is unclear how many of the 1842 apostles were challenged to give Joseph their wives. We only have information on this challenge for a few of these men.

**Brigham Young, President of the Quorum**

There is no colorful story regarding Joseph’s challenge to Brigham Young. However, the view Jedediah Grant expressed, that Joseph’s request for some men’s wives was simply a test, almost certainly came from Brigham Young.

Brigham’s first wife had died in 1832. Earlier in 1842 Brigham had officiated as Joseph covenanted with Agnes Coolbrith [Smith] and Mary Elizabeth Rollins [Lightner]. Brigham Young had sheltered the abandoned Lucy Decker. Brigham had shown time and again that he was willing to do whatever Joseph asked of him.

Assuming Joseph asked Brigham for his wife, Brigham may have agreed all too quickly. He may not have had a chance to internalize the pain Orson was feeling. Brigham would require tremendous sacrifices on the part of Church members after Joseph’s death. The polygamy-related sacrifices Brigham demanded of Orson Pratt would seemingly be the final straw souring Sarah [Pratt] against her husband.

Brigham Young’s trust in Orson might never have been fully restored. Late in life Brigham would announce that seniority in the Quorum of the Twelve Apostles should be based on the most recent ordination to the quorum. Seniority was previously based on the apostle’s original ordination date. With seniority redefined, John Taylor, rather than Orson Pratt, was named President of the Church after the death of Brigham Young.

**Orson Hyde**

At the time Orson Pratt was excommunicated, Orson Hyde was still on his mission to Palestine. Orson’s bride was Nancy Marinda Johnson [Hyde], a woman who had been a teenager in the home where Joseph lived in 1832. Nancy’s marriage to Hyrum had performed, which almost certainly came from Brigham Young.

It is possible Joseph had been prompted to ask Nancy to covenant with him in those early days. However a mob attack intervened.

During the investigation, Joseph asked Nancy Marinda Johnson for her help. Nancy Marinda was the one who reached out to Nancy Rigdon during the investigation.

Orson Hyde returned to Nauvoo in December 1842. Joseph broached the subject of plural marriage with him. Orson Hyde would covenant with two women in March of 1843. But in May 1843, Nancy Marinda Johnson [Hyde] chose to covenant with Joseph Smith, rather than Orson Hyde. It is possible Orson Hyde’s apostasy in 1838 influenced her decision.

Perhaps Nancy Marinda Johnson, like Zina Huntington and Mary Elizabeth Rollins, came to believe that she was one who had been foreordained for Joseph in eternity. However Nancy Marinda Johnson spent the rest of her reproductive existence with the man she had chosen to marry when Joseph hesitated. Orson Hyde was the father of all of Marinda’s ten children.

**Parley P. Pratt**

The configuration of Parley’s family was uniquely complicated in 1842. Parley’s first wife, Thankful Halsey, had died due to complications of childbirth in March 1837. Parley then proceeded to marry Mary Ann Frost [Sterns], a young widow who had been devoted to her first husband.

We do not have a record suggesting Joseph demanded Parley’s wife in 1842. However when Parley learned of plural marriage, he was overjoyed by the possibility of binding his loved ones to him for eternity.

The challenge Parley and Mary Ann suffered regarding plural marriage occurred later in 1843. Parley wished to have himself sealed to Mary Ann, ignoring the fact that she had a previous husband to whom she had been quite devoted. Hyrum Smith, himself newly introduced to the concept of Celestial marriage, agreed to perform the ceremony. Joseph cancelled this one sealing Hyrum had performed, which had been done without specific authorization. It appears Joseph then proceeded to seal Mary Ann to himself. Joseph may have sealed himself to Mary Ann to make sure Parley and Mary Ann did not again attempt to usurp the eventual place of the deceased Nathan Stearns at Mary Ann’s side in eternity.
John Taylor

In the case of John Taylor, we have snippets and a rich oral history that was then published by John’s grandson, noted fiction-writer Samuel W. Taylor.

John Taylor adored his wife, Leonora Agnes Cannon [Taylor]. Leonora was fully twelve years John’s senior. So John had married Leonora despite the traditional folkways that would have had him seek a girl closer to his own age.

When Joseph asked John to yield up Leonora, John was tormented. He did not eat or sleep. But at last he determined to discuss the matter with Leonora.

Sam Taylor recounts that Leonora wanted nothing to do with the matter. She proceeded to lob kitchen items at John in her anger. At one point in the altercation, she reared back her arm and accidentally broke a glass window. The glass cut her badly, and Leonora would later claim she lost a finger as a result of the wound. More painfully, Leonora came to believe that her youngest child, Leonora Agnes, had died in her anger. We do not know enough of the dating of events and the details of Agnes’s death to understand why Leonora felt that way.

Wilford Woodruff related:

“the Prophet went to the home of President Taylor, and said to him, ‘Brother John, I want Leonora’ … it is said John Taylor never answered the prophet, turned away and walked the floor all night, but the next morning, went to the home of the Prophet’s and said to him, ‘Brother Joseph, if God wants Leonora He can have her.’ That was all the prophet was after … and said to him, ‘Brother Taylor, I don’t want your wife, I just wanted to know where you stood.’”

When John Taylor effectively told Joseph, “If you want Leonora, you can have her,” John Taylor may have been sporting evidence of the pots and pans Leonora had thrown at him or blood from Leonora’s self-inflicted accidental wound. Joseph did not require Leonora at John’s hand. However the circumstances hardly made Joseph’s refusal to accept Leonora seem like anything but self-preservation.

Heber C. Kimball

In the case of Heber C. Kimball, the tale as we have it comes from his grandson, Apostle Orson F. Whitney. The story is not the titillating human tale Sam Taylor told about John and Leonora. It is a faithful tale from a devoted descendant.

As John adored Leonora, so Heber adored his wife, Vilate Murray [Kimball]. When Joseph demanded Heber yield up Vilate, Heber went three days without eating or sleeping. Vilate became quite concerned.

Heber C. Kimball did not confide in Vilate. But finally Heber decided he must do as Joseph had asked. He took Vilate with him to visit Joseph. Then to Vilate’s amazement, Heber put Vilate’s hand in Joseph’s, and gave her up.

With Brigham, Joseph had likely faced a follower who obeyed without delay, an obedience too quick to change the heart. With Orson Hyde, the wife in question wished to claim an eternal blessing Joseph’s hesitation had denied her. With Parley Pratt, Joseph was likely trying to prevent folks from usurping a dead husband’s place. With John Taylor, the wife in question had a mind of her own and clearly demanded the right to remain with the husband of her choice.

Only with Heber and Vilate were husband and wife so devoted to one another and to the Lord that Joseph’s challenge was truly heart-wrenching and the decision to obey an unquestionable sacrifice.

In the face of the faith of Heber and Vilate, Joseph broke down and cried. Placing Vilate’s hand back into the hand of Heber, Joseph then performed the ordinance sealing Heber to Vilate for all eternity. Heber and Vilate would therefore join Newell and Elizabeth Whitney as couples whose civil marriages were solemnized for eternity before Joseph himself had obtained this privilege.

No one would record the date of Heber and Vilate’s sealing. At the time the ordinance was so sacred that few recorded such things.

A complicating factor, perhaps, was the arrangement Heber made sometime in 1842 to take Sarah Peak [Noon] under his protection. If Heber and Vilate were to record a sealing date after Sarah Peak [Noon] entered their family, it would beg the question of why Heber and Vilate’s sacrifice in giving Sarah Peak [Noon] a home had not been sufficient to warrant the sealing ordinance.
When Heber's grandson, Orson F. Whitney, wrote his 1888 biography of Heber C. Kimball, he was faced with determining how to assemble the facts of his famous relative's life. To Orson Whitney, Joseph's request for Vilate was clearly the largest challenge. It seemed to Whitney that this challenge must have been the first of his grandfather's sacrifices on behalf of restoring the principle of plural marriage. However Whitney's reconstruction of events does not fit the larger context. Whitney's chronology would place Joseph's demand for Vilate's hand sometime in early 1842, a time when there was no apparent reason for Joseph to make such a demand of both Heber Kimball and John Taylor, as suggested by the similarity of their respective stories.

**Orson Pratt, Reborn**

By January 1843, Joseph appears to have won over his apostles. Orson Pratt and Sarah Pratt were re-baptized on January 20, 1843. Orson was immediately re-admitted to the Quorum of the Twelve Apostles.

In 1852, when Brigham Young decided to announce Mormons were practicing polygamy, Brigham selected Orson Pratt to deliver the message. Orson, the man who had endured so much and so publicly, was by far the best man for the job.

Had Orson been allowed to ascend to the position of Church President, he could have ended the practice of polygamy with authority. However Brigham’s re-definition of apostolic seniority prevented Orson from becoming Church President. Orson would die in 1881, four years after Brigham Young's death.

**The End of Polyandry**

With this saga regarding the wives of the apostles, we effectively come to an end of the cases where Joseph Smith covenants with the wife of another living man. There are two minor exceptions that bear mention.

**Ruth Vose [Sayers]** would eventually learn of the doctrine that allowed marriage to endure into eternity. Ruth craved the blessing of eternal marriage, but her husband did not believe. Mr. Sayers suggested Ruth covenant with Joseph for eternity. Thus we see Joseph extend an eternal sealing to a believing woman with the consent of her unbelieving husband. Mr. Sayers was both aware of the eternal arrangement and happy to allow his wife to participate in a ceremony he thought was nonsense.

Elvira Annie Cowles [Holmes] would be sealed to Joseph Smith on June 1, 1843. Joseph Smith himself had performed the civil ceremony linking Elvira to Jonathan Harriman Holmes on December 1, 1842. Elvira and Jonathan would tell their children that Elvira had been Joseph’s wife, and that Joseph had requested that Jonathan take care of Elvira in the event of Joseph’s death. Elvira appears to be participating in polyandry based on the available marriage documents. However it is likely Elvira intended to be sealed to Joseph before entering into the public marriage with Jonathan Holmes. It appears Elvira refrained from having sex either with Joseph or with Jonathan during Joseph’s lifetime. However Elvira did tell her daughters she had been Joseph’s wife during his lifetime to encourage them to accept the New and Everlasting Covenant.

In February 1843 Elvira and Jonathan would welcome Eliza Snow into their home. The lives of these three (Jonathan Harriman Holmes, Elvira Annie Cowles, and Eliza Roxcy Snow) were uniquely entwined during 1842-1843.

**The Apostles and Their Wives – Notes**

It was claimed that Joseph asked his apostles to give him their wives. There is reason to suspect this happened with Brigham Young, Heber C. Kimball, and John Taylor. Joseph would reveal that the request had been a test, possibly because they excommunicated Orson Pratt.

Joseph would covenant with the wives of Parley P. Pratt and Orson Hyde. This allowed the women to spend eternity with the man of their choice. Examination of the remaining instances of “polyandry” indicate these covenants were likely only ceremonial.
Eliza Snow is arguably the most prominent woman in early Mormon history. She is like Mother Teresa, Susan B. Anthony, and Abigail Adams rolled into one. Eliza was adviser and consort to Brigham Young and frontier president of the Relief Society. Eliza was influential in the formation of both the children’s ministry (Primary) and the youth ministry (now called Young Men and Young Women). Eliza presided over Utah women when they obtained female suffrage in Utah in 1870, fully fifty years before female suffrage became law in the United States.

In addition to this impressive legacy, Eliza Snow was regarded as a prophetess. Her hundreds of poems were treasured, from the simple poems that might comfort those who had lost an infant to the sweeping poems that conveyed the doctrines of Zion.

Eliza as Deceitful Seducer

In 1984 Doubleday published Mormon Enigma, a biography of Emma Hale Smith written by Linda King Newell and Dr. Valeen Tippetts Avery. In 1984 there was great excitement about a number of previously unknown documents from early Mormon history, including documents painting Joseph Smith as being committed to a magical worldview, telling of a vision of a white salamander, and documenting Joseph’s use of magic to dig for money.

As Linda Newell and Valeen Avery put together their view of Joseph’s wife, Emma, they used these new documents to inform their understanding of the man Emma loved. They found Joseph to be a flawed man who wedded and bedded women behind Emma’s back. The betrayal Val Avery felt Joseph had practiced caused her great distress. Avery could only write about Joseph and these women for a few minutes before she would literally feel the gorge rise within her. Avery would vomit, then lie down to regain her composure enough to write for a few more minutes.

The women Newell and Avery believed Joseph had bedded were anathema. Of all Emma’s friends Newell and Avery said bedded Emma’s husband, Eliza Snow was the worst. She had been Emma’s confidante in the Relief Society. Emma had taken Eliza into her own home. In return, the authors believed, Eliza had betrayed Emma by sleeping with Emma’s husband under Emma’s own roof.

Newell and Avery’s book won the Evans Biography Award, the Mormon History Association Best Book Award, and the John Whitmer Historical Association Best Book Award. But the mainstream Mormon community was shocked by the harsh portrayal of their founding prophet. Newell and Avery were not excommunicated, but they were prohibited from discussing their research or book in Church meetings.

Ten years after publication of Mormon Enigma, Newell and Avery issued an updated edition. The 1994 edition removed the information that had come directly from the Hofmann forgeries. However the underlying structure of the book remained, portraying a good woman betrayed by a craven husband and deceitful female friends. More than a few women influenced by Mormon Enigma consider Eliza Snow to be a traitor and foul liar, the archetype of Emma’s female friends and associates who are presumed to have lain with Emma’s husband.
A Rape in Missouri

At the March 2016 Church History Symposium in Provo, Utah, Professor Andrea Radke-Moss of BYU-I presented during the Women in Danger session. Professor Radke-Moss’s presentation was titled “Beyond Petticoats and Poultries: Finding a Women’s History of the Mormon-Missouri War of 1838.” The audience grew silent as Professor Radke-Moss recounted her conclusions from a recent find. Eliza Snow, she asserted, had been raped during the 1838 persecutions by a gang of eight Missouri men. The rape was said to have rendered Eliza infertile.

The news spread like wildfire, fanned by summary articles appearing in the Salt Lake Tribune. A few decried the violation of privacy constituted by the publication of this information. Ex-Mormons predictably considered the story a hoax. However the overwhelming response was sorrow for Eliza’s suffering and fierce gratitude on the part of modern abuse survivors to discover Eliza had been a fellow sufferer.

Professor Radke-Moss discussed the reliability of her source, Alice Merrill Horne. Alice Merrill Horne had been an activist for women’s rights and an accomplished artist. She was elected to the Utah State Legislature, had served on the LDS Church Relief Society general board, and became second president of the Daughters of Utah Pioneers. Alice had learned of the rape in the home of her grandmother, Bathsheba Smith, wife of Joseph Smith’s cousin, George A. Smith. Eliza Snow was a close friend of Bathsheba Smith and a frequent visitor to the Smith home. So it was entirely possible Alice had heard of the reported rape. However Alice was only a child at the time she overheard the confidences that informed her mature recollection.

The pertinent excerpt from the autobiography of Alice Merrill Horne is a mere 200 words:

“The most important Mormon women of the nineteenth century often gathered at the Smith home abutting the Church Historian’s Office.”

Alice would sit on her “grandmother’s lap and listen, catching . . . the whispered word unraveling, spelling, and signs made by those ladies.”

Regarding the rape, Alice wrote: “There was a saint—a Prophetess, a Poet, an intellectual, seized by brutal mobbers—used by those eight demons and left not dead, but worse. The horror, the anguish, despair, hopelessness of the innocent victim was dwelt upon. [W]hat future was there for such a one? All the aspirations of a saintly virgin—that maiden of purity—had met martyrdom!”

“The prophet heard and had compassion. This Saint, whose lofty ideals, whose person had been crucified, was yet to become the corner of female work. To her, no child could be born and yet she would be a Mother in Israel. One to whom all eyes should turn, to whom all ears would listen to hear her sing (in tongues) the praises of Zion. She was promised honor above all women, save only Emma, but her marriage to the prophet would be only for heaven.”

The reported gang-rape by eight mobbers initially seems extreme. But contemporary accounts from the Missouri persecutions contain graphic details that put the reported rape of Eliza into context.

Hyrum wrote the guards in Liberty jail had attempted in vain to feed Joseph Smith on human flesh for five days. Then they:

“boasted of their great achievements at Haun’s Mill and at other places, telling us how many houses they had burned . . . how many rapes they had committed . . . saying they had lashed one woman upon one of the damned ‘Mormon’ meeting benches, tying her hands and feet fast, and sixteen of them abused her as much as they had a mind to, and then left her bound and exposed . . .

“We had heard of these acts of cruelty previous to this time, but we were slow to believe that such acts had been perpetrated. The lady who was the subject of this brutality did not recover her health to be able to help herself for more than three months afterwards.”

During the same series of affidavits, Parley P. Pratt explained:

“They have also named one or two individual females of our society, whom they have forcibly bound, and twenty or thirty of them, one after another, committed rape upon them. One of these females was a daughter of a respectable family with whom I have been long acquainted, and with whom I have since conversed and learned that it was truly the case. Delicacy at present forbids my mentioning the names.”
In addition to rapes, murder, and burnings, the soldiers acknowledged looting and laying waste to the money, crops, and animals of the Mormons. Parley continued:

"Of these crimes, of which the soldiers boasted, the general officers freely conversed and corroborated the same. And even General Doniphan, who professed to be opposed to such proceedings, acknowledged the truth of them, and gave us several particulars in detail." 10

In 1865 Mosiah Hancock wrote an autobiography in which he described Missouri atrocities he had personally witnessed as a child:

"I saw a thing in the shape of a man grab an infant from its mother's arms and bash it's brains out against a tree…

"I saw the fiends tie a young person to a bench—she was scarcely sixteen years of age—and fourteen things in human form performed "that" upon their victim which would cause a hyena to revolt at their fiendish orgies! It continued long after their fainting victim had become unconscious…" 11

The murders, rapes, looting, and wastage were intended to force the surviving Mormons from the state of Missouri. In the case of rape, women were just the vehicle by which a message was being conveyed. In the 1830s there was no point in naming the victims. Being identified as the object of such violence could do nothing to heal the hurt.

Alice Merrill did likely hear that someone had been raped. However Alice’s tender age when hearing the tale combine with public silence regarding the victims’ identities to permit doubt. Alice’s certainty that the rape rendered Eliza infertile is an unwarranted projection. Had Eliza been the one brutally raped, it is doubtful even Eliza could have been certain the rape had rendered her infertile.

It is possible Eliza and Bathsheba had been describing the rape of another woman in terms that Alice Merrill misunderstood. For example, Eliza had been the housemate of Jonathan Harriman Holmes both in Kirtland and Nauvoo. Eliza would have been unusually aware Marietta Carter [Holmes] had been killed by a gang of men from Missouri. Though the account we have from Marietta’s daughter does not specify that rape occurred, it seems the deadly attack would have involved rape. Alternately, the victims of respectable family who had been known to Parley P. Pratt would have similarly been known to Eliza and Bathsheba.

The possible rape of Eliza must not be discarded lightly. However Alice was young and may have misunderstood. It cannot be certain Eliza herself was the victim or that the described violence necessarily rendered Eliza infertile. There are other stories to consider, including hints in poems written by Eliza’s own pen.

### Eliza and the Stairs

One reason so many are convinced of Emma’s supposed rejection of plural marriage is a story involving Eliza. A third-hand account describes Eliza as pregnant and losing the child.

"A door opposite opened and dainty, little, dark-haired Eliza R. Snow (she was "heavy with child") came out… Joseph then walked on to the stairway, where he tenderly kissed Eliza, and then came on down stairs toward Brother Rich. Just as he reached the bottom step, there was a commotion on the stairway, and both Joseph and Brother Rich turned quickly to see Eliza come tumbling down the stairs. Emma had pushed her, in a fit of rage and jealousy; she stood at the top of the stairs, glowing, her countenance a picture of hell. Joseph quickly picked up the little lady, and with her in his arms, he turned and looked up at Emma, who then burst into tears and ran to her room. Joseph carried the hurt and bruised Eliza up the stairs and to her room. ‘Her hip was injured and that is why she always afterward favored that leg,’ said Charles C. Rich. ‘She lost the unborn babe.’ " 12

Charles C. Rich was a member of the Nauvoo High Council and a General in the Nauvoo Legion at the time of the reported observation. 13 He would have been aware numerous women had been seduced in 1842. The sight of a single woman who was pregnant and suffering a miscarriage would have been shocking but understandable under the circumstances. Shortly before Joseph’s death in 1844, Charles C. Rich learned of the New and Everlasting Covenant. This would be the first time Charles C. Rich might have suspected Eliza’s reported pregnancy was not necessarily caused by a seducer.
Charles C. Rich would become an apostle in the LDS Church in 1849. Apostle Rich told the tale to his son, Ben E. Rich, born 1855. Wallace Aird MacDonald learned the story when Ben Rich was President of the Southern States Mission. The story was likely told during the time Aird was assigned to the Mission Office starting in December 1905, when Aird was almost 19 years old. The extant written version is contained in the notes of Eliza's nephew, Leroi Snow, who had received a letter from Aird MacDonald.

As the oral history passed from Apostle Rich to MacDonald, the men projected motives, actions, and feelings onto Emma. But no observer could have confidently inferred Emma's actions and emotions at the time of Eliza's reported fall. A version cleansed of interpolation is below:

A door opposite opened and Eliza R. Snow (she was pregnant) came out... Joseph then walked on to the stairway, where he embraced Eliza, and then came on down stairs toward Brother Rich. There was a commotion. Joseph and Brother Rich turned quickly and saw Eliza tumbling down the stairs. Emma stood at the top of the stairs.

Joseph quickly picked up Eliza and looked up at Emma, who burst into tears. Joseph carried the hurt and bruised Eliza home.

"Her hip was injured and that is why she always afterward favored that leg," said Charles C. Rich. "She lost the unborn babe."

Anti-Mormon, Wilhelm Ritter von Wymetal, under the pseudonym Wilhelm Wyl, recounted a version of the tale in 1886, where Emma feels "outraged as a wife and betrayed as a friend" and so takes a broom to Eliza in revenge. 14 Eliza's nephew, LeRoi Snow, said Emma knocked Eliza down the stairs, causing a miscarriage, though this assertion may have been written after LeRoi received MacDonald's letter. 15 Mary Barzee Boyce recounted a rumor from her son-in-law's mother, Aidah Clement, saying Emma pulled Eliza R. Snow downstairs by the hair of her head. 16

In Utah, where Eliza was respected and Emma had failed to gather to the west with the Saints, these stories painted Emma Smith as crazed and violent. But today a humanized Emma is seen as the victim. Modern critics of Eliza say Emma's reaction was natural after learning her friend's unborn child had been engendered by Emma's husband, Joseph.

Eliza as Possible Victim of Seduction

The women who became plural wives in 1842 were widows or foreigners, abandoned wives or orphans. These women had much in common with women who had been pressured to have sex with Bennett and his Strikers, suggesting they were being rescued from the seducers.

Eliza provided an affidavit in 1869 stating she had been sealed to Joseph Smith on June 29, 1842, placing her "marriage" to Joseph in the middle of the fallout related to John C. Bennett’s departure from Nauvoo. Eliza had been deserted by her father, Oliver Snow, a few days before the sealing. The timing suggests Joseph married Eliza because she needed rescuing as well.

Few are willing to consider that Eliza could have yielded to persuasion to engage in illicit intercourse. But there is no reason to think Eliza was taken...
in by the crude tale of acceptable illicit sex that persuaded Catherine Laur [Fuller] to allow Bennett into her bed. By 1842 Bennett had developed an elaborate taxonomy or categorization for the female participants in illicit intercourse. In Bennett’s attacks on Joseph Smith and Mormonism, Bennett would describe a Mormon Seraglio with three categories of females. 20 Bennett's writings may suggest much about Bennett's activities, as there is no indication they accurately reflected Joseph Smith’s teachings.

The initial “order” Bennett described was allegedly the Cyprian Saints, made up of women whose sin had been discovered and corrected by the Relief Society. The women Bennett styled as Cyprian Saints resemble those women who were not allowed into Relief Society, like Lucy Ann Munjar. 21 Eliza was not evicted from Relief Society, so was not a Cyprian Saint.

The second “order” in Bennett’s female sexual hierarchy was allegedly the Chambered Sisters of Charity. Bennett wrote: “This order comprises that class of females who indulge their sensal propensities, without restraint, whether married or single, by the express permission of the Prophet… [They] are much more numerous than the Cyprian Saints. This results naturally from the greater respectability of their order.” This second group arguably included the many women, like Catherine Laur [Fuller], who had been seduced by Bennett or his Strikers but who were not initially discovered by the Relief Society. 22 The implied hedonism of this second category is not credible for Eliza.

The highest “order” in Bennett’s female hierarchy was the Consecratees of the Cloister or Cloistered Saints. “This degree is composed of females whether married or unmarried, who, by an express grant and gift of God, through his Prophet the Holy Joe, are set apart and consecrate to the use and benefit of particular individuals as secret, spiritual wives… When an Apostle, High Priest, Elder, or Scribe, conceives an affection for a female, and he has satisfactorily ascertained that she experiences a mutual flame, he communicates confidentially to the Prophet his affaire du Coeur and requests him to inquire of the Lord…” 23

Bennett’s invention of “Cloistered Saint,” appears to be an adaptation to clothe illicit intercourse in language acceptable to believers. We see this in the language reportedly used with Martha Brotherton:

“It is lawful and right before God—I know it is. . . . I have the keys of the kingdom, and whatever I bind on earth is bound in heaven, and whatever I loose on earth is loosed in heaven, and if you will accept of [it], you shall be blessed—God shall bless

you, and my blessing shall rest upon you; and if you will be led by him, you will do well; for I know [he] will take care of you.” 24

This excerpt was used by Dr. Bennett for the express purpose of attacking Joseph. Given the late date, it may represent the pinnacle of the rhetoric used by the Strikers to clothe their seduction in language acceptable to Joseph’s most faithful followers. Despite the talk of binding, there is no indication in Martha’s account that the marriage itself was necessarily supposed to be eternal or that it tied families together in an eternal covenant. This language just tells the woman to submit to the man despite lack of legal marriage. The man would then take care of her, like a rich man might take care of his mistress.

This sort of language, derived from Joseph’s legitimate teachings and body of scripture, would permit the Strikers to continue their activities even among those who had been warned against promiscuous illicit intercourse. We see both Chauncey Higbee and William Smith asked Catherine Laur [Fuller] to become their secret spiritual wives… When an Apostate bride has not joined herself to the Strikers to clothe their seduction in language acceptable to her counterpart.

Might Eliza have been willing to be a Cloistered Saint? Eliza would write in an eternal covenant. This language acceptable to Joseph’s teachings.

Might Eliza have been willing to be a Cloistered Saint? Eliza would write a poem titled The Bride’s Avowal. 25 A representative excerpt reads:

“Dearest, the hour approaches,  
Our destinies to twine  
In one eternal wreath of fate,  
As holy beings join…”

I would not sell thy confidence,  
For all the pearls that strew  
The ocean’s bed or all the gems  
That sparkle in Peru.” 26

The poem appears to accept the proposal that someone, perhaps Eliza, become a secret bride. In the poem the bride has not joined herself to the beloved in the eternal wreath of fate. The poem is too sensual to suppose it was actually intended for a maidenly friend for her wedding day. It is not certain when Eliza wrote this poem, or when the woman in the poem planned to entwine herself with her dearest. Given Eliza’s later poetic language, it appears this poem could have been addressed to Dr. Bennett.

Joseph Smith publicly cut Bennett off from the Church in June 1842. Eliza’s father, Oliver Snow, decided to leave Nauvoo the day after Bennett’s
ouster, departing a week later after putting his affairs in order. 27 This timing suggests Oliver might have been one of the many guilty individuals who was never publicly exposed.

Eliza remained in Nauvoo and moved into the home of Sarah Cleveland, one of Emma’s Relief Society counselors. If she had been willing to fulfill the promise of her poem, entwining herself with her “dearest,” she could have been carrying the seducer’s child.

On June 29, 1842, Eliza records the first entry in the journal she was given at the formation of the Relief Society on March 17, 1842:

“This is a day of much interest to my feelings. Reflecting on past occurrences, a variety of thoughts have presented themselves to my mind with regard to events which have chas’d each other in rapid succession in the scenery of human life…”

“I am contemplating the present state of society—the powers of darkness, and the prejudices of the human mind which stand array’d like an impregnable barrier against the work of God.” 28

Eliza would later state that this was the day she covenanted with Joseph Smith, with Sarah Cleveland standing as witness. Eliza’s journal gives no hint of her sealing to Joseph Smith. Instead, it reflects thoughts of a woman who seems aware of gross sins.

Eviction and Shelter

On August 12, 1842, Elvira Cowles visited Eliza at the home of Sarah Cleveland. The visit might not have been mentioned had it not immediately preceded disturbing events. On August 13th Eliza’s sensual and secretive poem, *The Bride’s Avowal*, was published in the Nauvoo newspaper, *The Wasp*.

William Smith was editor of *The Wasp* and had been complicit in Bennett’s seductions. Though William attacked Bennett in his paper, William had also shown a willingness to damage Joseph Smith. 29 William’s future actions would demonstrate a continued willingness to seduce women, so he had motive to encourage the idea that leading women were willing to be secret wives. 30 Given Dr. Bennett’s published accusations, those reading the poem

William published could only have concluded that Eliza was Joseph’s willing lover.

The day the poem was published, Sarah Cleveland made it clear Eliza could no longer remain in the Cleveland home. Eliza would write, “Mrs. Cleveland having come to the determination of moving on to her lot; my former expectations were frustrated…” 31 Eliza’s father, Oliver, prepared to take his daughter away from Nauvoo to his new home in Walnut Grove.

Learning that Eliza had been evicted and might be forced to leave Nauvoo, Emma Hale [Smith] sent Elvira Cowles to extend the offer of shelter in the Smith homestead. Eliza accepted. Oliver Snow, prevented from retrieving his daughter, wrote: “Eliza cannot leave our Prophet.” 32

**Possible Offer of Pretend Marriage**

We know of at least one plural marriage that included a victim of the 1842 seductions. Mary Clift was impregnated by Gustavus Hills and would subsequently be taken into the household of Theodore Turley. Family histories indicated Mary’s 1842 child, Jason, had been fathered by Turley. But the testimonies given by both Mary Clift and Gustavus Hills before the Nauvoo Stake High Council in fall 1842 make it clear Jason was fathered by Gustavus Hills, not by Theodore Turley.

Unfortunately, Theodore Turley and Mary Clift are somewhat obscure. It cannot be certain Mary Clift was actually a part of the Turley household until the conception of her subsequent child, Ephraim, conceived in approximately May, 1844.

The first known pretend marriage occurred between Sarah Ann Whitney and her brother-in-law, widower Joseph C. Kingsbury. 33 Kingsbury would describe the matter in these terms:

“…on the 16th day Oct. [1842] Caroline My Wife Died after a Severe Sickness of three Months & being delivered of A Son the Same day of her death Which Lived Thirteen Hours (his Name is Newel)”

“I remain Alone & felt as though I had Lost Some part of myself, for Truly She was a grate help meat to me and how thankful I feel thinking I Shall See & meat her again to enjoy each other Society for Ever to part no more & also my two
little sons Joseph W & Newel for my desires is to live long upon
the Earth to see that all things is prepared to meet them all in
the Celestial Kingdom of Glory "in the presents of God."
Standing at their head according to the order & Glory of God
and on the 29th of April 1843 I according to President Joseph
Smith Council & others agree to stand by Sarah "Ann^
Whitney as supposed to be her husband & had a pretended
marriage for the purpose of Bringing about the purposes of
God in these last days as spoken by the mouth of the prophets
Isiah Jeremiah Ezekiel and also Joseph Smith, & Sarah Ann
should rec’d a Great Glory Honnor & Eternal lives and I also
Should rec’d a Great Glory Honnor & Eternal lives to the full
desire of my heart in having my Companion Caroline in the
first Reserection to lcaim [sic] her & no one to have power to
take her from me…"34

Joseph Kingsbury’s record of the ceremony sealing him to
Caroline further illustrates the expectation Kingsbury had of
reunion with his departed wife. Kingsbury’s record of the words Joseph Smith said reads:

“And thy Companion Caroline who is now dead thou shalt
have in the first resurrection for I Seal thee up for and in her
behalf to Come forth in the first Reseretion unto Eternal lives
(and it shall be as though She was present herself) and thou
shalt hail her and She Shall be thine and no one Shall have
power to take her from thee.

“And you both Shall be crowned and enthroned to dwell
together in a Kingdom in the Celestial Glory in the presents of
God. And you shall enjoy each other Society & embraces in all
the fullness of the Gospell of Jesus Christ Wourls [sic] without
end And I seal these blessings upon thee and for thy
Companion in the Name of Jesus Christ for thou Shalt receive
the holy anointing & Endowment in this Life to prepare you
for all these blessings even so Amen.” 35

Kingsbury’s record of his pretended marriage to Whitney and subsequent
sealing to his deceased wife contains three features of note:

- Grief over the recent death of a wife and child
- Promise the man would be eternally united to his deceased wife
  and children for participating in the pretended marriage
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- Description of a glorious reunion in the first resurrection, never
to be parted.

Had Eliza been pregnant, she would have benefited from having a public
husband who could pass as the father. But Kingsbury’s record suggests a
widower willing to stand as a pretend husband was the one who stood to
benefit most from an eternal standpoint in those early days of Celestial
Marriage.

A poem in Eliza’s diary the month after she begins living in the Smith
household may provide evidence she knew such a pretend marriage was
contemplated. On September 17, 1842, following a stirring sermon on the
resurrection, Eliza composed the following:

Conjugal 36

Like two streams, whose gentle forces
Mingling, in one current blend—
Like two waves, whose outward courses
To the ocean’s bosom tend—

Like two angels 37 that kiss each other
In the presence of the sun—
Like two drops that run together
And forever are but one,

May your mutual vows be plighted—
May your hearts, no longer twain
And your spirits be united
In an everlasting chain. 38

Jonathan Holmes had lived with the Smiths from 1835 through 1837. He
had returned to the Smith household after the August 1840 death of his
wife and infant child. Eliza had known Jonathan in Kirtland and was now his
housemate. If Jonathan were being asked to be a pretend husband, it is
plausible Eliza would know. If pregnant, Eliza was likely the intended wife.

Eliza’s poetry suggested resurrection could lead to the reunion of spouses
parted by death, echoing the promise Joseph C. Kingsbury received in 1843
for agreeing to a pretended marriage to Sarah Whitney.

The poem in the journal was modified at some point after composition.
The word that appears to have been “angels” was scraped from the page and
replaced with “rays.” The line under the title of the poem now contains a dedication “To Jonathan & Elvira.”

Scholars of Eliza’s life and poetry have been content to consider the poem a simple celebration of the forthcoming December marriage of Eliza’s housemates, Elvira Annie Cowles and Jonathan Harriman Holmes.

However Elvira’s descendants and a family friend repeatedly confirm that Elvira was not functionally Jonathan’s wife until after Joseph’s death. Why, then, did Eliza write such a glorious poem about a marriage that would apparently not be consummated until 1845, over two years later? The September 1842 poem was more likely intended to celebrate the eventual reunion between Jonathan and the deceased Marietta. Two possibilities arise:

1) Eliza had learned of the glorious promises offered to the bereaved in association with her own sealing to Joseph Smith. For some reason Eliza had been informed the marriage between Elvira and Jonathan, months hence, would provide Jonathan the promise of eternal union with Marietta in the first resurrection.

2) Eliza was herself to be party to a union that would provide Jonathan “Great Glory Honner & Eternal lives,” having his companion Marietta in the first resurrection to claim her & no one to have power to take her from him.

Eliza’s November 1842 Poems

The story told by Charles C. Rich indicates Eliza had been pregnant, yet lost the unborn child. Those attempting to date the fall have assumed it was associated with a dramatic and violent rejection by Emma Smith. They have supposed the date must have been February 1843, when Eliza leaves the Smith household. But an Eliza who was cherished by Emma Smith could have miscarried without being forced to leave the Smith household.

The stories about Eliza and the staircase were written by people who had not lived in Nauvoo. They appear not to have known their versions of the story were impossible for either the Homestead or the Mansion House, which was under construction in 1842. There is no central staircase in the Mansion House. The staircase in the Homestead is a small, enclosed stair. 39

However the scene Charles C. Rich reported could have occurred in the Red Brick Store. The store stood near the bank of the Mississippi River, with

a staircase running from the back of the store up to Joseph’s second story office. This would be a natural location for Charles C. Rich to meet with Joseph. It was the location of the Relief Society meetings Eliza and Emma participated in. Though the original configuration of the staircase in the Red Brick Store is unknown, it was used to carry freight from the river up to the storeroom on the second story. Therefore the original staircase would have been wide and unenclosed. The reported fall could have been simply that, a fall. Eliza, if pregnant, could have just been a woman in long skirts tragically tripping on stairs she had negotiated successfully before.

In November 1842 we find a series of four poems in Eliza Snow’s journal. The first poem is dated November 16 and the date written after the last poem is November 30.

When considered as a set, it is possible these poems reflect the miscarriage reported by Charles C. Rich, Eliza’s rage at her seducer, and her decision to clothe her former error in the robes of “conscious innocence.” Rather than merely summarize conclusions, large sections of the poems are included for the benefit of readers unable to access the full poems or the journal manuscript images.

Death. The first poem lacks regular meter and rhyme. Eliza titled the poem *Apostrophe to Death.* In literature, an apostrophe is an address to the personification of an idea or an absent being. Eliza was a celebrated poet, so it is not incongruous that she would have used such a word in a private journal.

The poem is shot through with imagery from the Book of Mormon and evokes John Donne’s poem, *Death, be not proud.* 40 Pertinent excerpts of Eliza’s poem read:

What art thou, Death?–I’ve seen thy visage and
Have heard thy sound—the deep, low, murm’ring sound…

Thy land is called
A land of shadows; and thy path, a path
Of blind contingency gloominess and fear—
Thy form, comprising all that’s terrible;
For all the terrors that have cross’d the earth,
Or crept into its lower depths, have been
Associated with the thoughts of Death!
...Seen as thou art, by inspiration’s light,
Thou hast no look the righteous need to fear,
With all thy ghastliness—amid the grief
Thy presence brings. I hear a thrilling tone
Of music, sweet as seraph notes that ride
Upon the balmy breath of summer eve.
Art thou a tyrant, holding the black reins
Of destiny that binds the future course
Of man’s existence? No: thou art, O Death!
A haggard porter, charg’d to wait before
The Grave, life’s portal to the worlds on high. 41

There are no recorded deaths in Nauvoo during October and November of 1842 that appear to have obviously inspired Eliza’s extended address on the devastation caused by mortal death. 42

The Everlasting Covenant versus The Vile Wretch. Another poem is also free verse, without regular rhyme or rhythm. Based on a note written in the manuscript, this poem was published in the Times and Seasons under the title Saturday Night Thoughts. It seems likely that “Saturday” was a reference to a time immediately prior to Christ’s anticipated Second Coming, rather than an actual day of the week.

Saturday Night Thoughts gives Eliza’s testimony, and this poem was one of the two Eliza used to conclude her autobiography in 1885, titled “Sketch of My Life.” The excerpts included here are transcribed directly from Eliza’s journal.

Two aspects of this poem stand out with respect to the history of plural marriage and illicit intercourse. First is the clear reference to Abraham’s sacrifice, the spirit of “Elijah’s God” and the Everlasting Covenant:

God, who commanded Abraham to leave
His native country and to offer up
On the lone altar, where no eye beheld
But that which never sleeps, an only son;
Is still the same, and thousands who have made
A covenant with him by sacrifice.
Are leaving witness to its sacred truth.
Jehovah speaking has proclaimed his will
The proclamation sounded in my ear.
It touched my heart. I listened to the sound,
Counted the cost and laid my earthly all

The second striking aspect of this poem is the evocative description of the vile apostasy that attempted to seduce the faithful. While it is possible to see only spiritual assault, Eliza’s words could be read as describing sexual seduction.

It is no trifling thing to be a saint…
To stand unwav’ring, undismay’d
And unseduc’d, when the base hypocrite
Whose deeds take hold on hell, whose face is garb’d
With saintly looks, drawn out by sacrilege
From the profession, but assum’d and thrown
Aroun’d him for a mantle to enclose
The black corruption of a putrid heart!

To stand on virtue’s lofty pinnacle,
Clad in the heav’nly robes of innocence,
Amid that worse than every other blast—
The blast that strikes at moral character
With floods of falsehood foaming with abuse… –

Thrown side by side and face to face 43 with that
Foul hearted spirit, blacker than the soul
Of midnight’s darkest shade, the traitor,
The vile wretch that feeds his sordid selfishness
Upon the peace and blood of innocence!
The faithless, rottenhearted wretch, whose tongue
Speaks words of trust and fond fidelity,
While treach’ry, like a viper, coils behind
The smile that dances in his evil eye.— 45

One could presume Eliza’s anger at the vile wretch was only because he was peddling apostasy. However Dr. Bennett and his associates had been engaged in actual sexual seduction, literally positioning themselves upon the innocent, side by side and face to face. In July Eliza had helped present Governor Carlin a petition specifically decrying Bennett as a vile wretch.
A refuge might be found in considering that Eliza was not writing of her own innocence, that she was not herself the saint whose moral character was being blasted by the vile wretch. What could occurred after November 15 to evoke such a visceral response to Dr. Bennett’s activities from so many months before? The doubting scholar must answer this question.

It has been suggested that the language of this poem merely documents a detail of the reported 1838 gang rape, where Eliza was lured into danger by an individual she trusted. Such an interpretation fails to explain the totality of the poem, the resonance with the illicit intercourse activities of Dr. Bennett, or the reason Eliza would write about an 1838 rape in 1842.

It is striking that Eliza indicates that corruption of moral character is “worse than every other blast.” Even if Eliza herself had not been raped, she knew of the rapes. Eliza clearly suggests even rape was not as damaging as the moral corruption caused by the abusive, traitorous, selfish, vile, faithless, treacherous, evil, viperous wretch “speaking words of trust.”

Conscious Innocence. In another November poem, Eliza embraces the forgiveness of Christ, the ability to return to “conscious innocence.” This poem speaks of “vile reproach” and triumphing over every ill.

The noblest, proudest joys that this
World’s favor can dispense,
Are far inferior to the bliss
Of conscious innocence…

It makes the righteous soul rejoice
With weight of ills opprest;
To feel the soothing “still small voice”
Low whisp’ring in the breast…

And when in Christ, the Spirit finds
That sweet, that promis’d rest;
In spite of ev’ry pow’r that binds
We feel that we are blest.

Though vile reproach its volumes swell
And friends withdraw their love;46
If conscience whisper “all is well,”
And God and heav’n approve.

We’ll triumph over ev’ry ill
And hold our treasure fast;
And stand at length on Zion’s hill,
Secure from ev’ry blast.47

It is worth noting that Emma Hale [Smith] used the phrase “conscious innocence” in letters written to Joseph Smith in Liberty jail. 48

Though this poem appears in the journal before Saturday Night Thoughts, the last six lines of the poem are crammed into the margin along the right edge of the poem. This suggests that the next page already had writing on it.

Retirement. In the final poem composed during these two weeks, Eliza contemplates solitude. Nauvoo and the Smith household were entirely crowded. Yet Eliza had been able to find a period of solitude. Such retirement could have been merely the artist rejoicing in a found time to think. But the solitude could also have been caused by a need to recuperate from the reported fall.

O how sweet is retirement! how precious these hours
They are dearer to me than midsummer’s gay flow’rs.
Their soft stillness and silence awaken the Muse—
‘Tis a time—’tis a place that the minstrel should choose
While so sweetly the moments in silence pass by
When there’s nobody here but Eliza and I…

Eliza writes “November, Wed, 30th” following this last poem. At the bottom of the page, Eliza begins her journal entry for December 12, 1842, talking about how she had commenced school teaching in the Masonic Hall. The ink she uses in December is distinct from the ink used in the earlier portions of the journal.

Denouement

Sometime prior to December 1842, Eliza modified the poem she had written about angels kissing each other in the presence of the sun. The modification represents the first time Eliza used scraping to alter the journal she received in March 1842. In that era, even terrible allegations regarding adultery were redacted merely by lining through still-legible words. Eliza would not use scraping again to edit for many months. No other scraping edit significant changes the potential meaning of the text.
On February 11, 1843, Eliza “Took board and had my lodgings removed to the residence of br. J. Holmes.” This date, often presumed to coincide with the incident at the stairs, appears to have been caused by Joseph’s activity of “changing furniture in the house to receive Mother Smith in the family…” Jonathan, Eliza, and Elvira were re-united.

Eliza Snow is arguably the most honored woman of Mormon history. Yet she is reviled by some for supposedly marrying Joseph Smith without the approval or knowledge of Emma Hale [Smith].

A story recounted by Alice Merrill [Horne] describes Eliza’s brutal rape by eight Missouri men. A tale originating with Charles C. Rich depicts a pregnant Eliza pushed down a flight of stairs by an enraged Emma Smith. Though there are problems with each story, it appears the original core of each may be valid.

Eliza’s Nauvoo journal contains several poems which may have bearing on Eliza’s experience in the year she covenanted with Joseph Smith.

- *Conjugal* (September 1842) may have discussed a friend’s eternal union with his deceased wife, a glorious promise then offered only to a widower willing to be a pretend husband.
- *Apostrophe to Death* (November 1842) could have been inspired by the miscarriage Charles C. Rich reported.
- *Saturday Night Thoughts* (November 1842) celebrates the Everlasting Covenant and decries the traitorous seduction perpetrated by a vile wretch who spoke words of “fond fidelity.”

Eliza wrote “the blast that strikes at moral character” was “worse than every other blast.” It appears Eliza felt Bennett’s attack on moral character was a greater evil than rape. If Eliza was pregnant, as Charles C. Rich reported, these November poems suggest the possibility that the “vile wretch” was the father, rather than Joseph Smith.

We who enjoy the benefits of the modern Church forget how much Joseph Smith still had left to do at the dawn of 1843. In Joseph’s quest to restore the marriage system described in the Old Testament, he had secured the support of his apostles and several close associates (male and female).

Joseph had also largely gotten rid of the “sort which creep into houses, and lead captive… women laden with sins…” and provided for the women who had been misled. However Joseph still had to convince the thousands of Mormon converts of this marriage doctrine in the face of all the scurrilous rumors they had heard or inferred.

From January 1843 to the end of May 1843, Joseph began to extend his teachings to those individuals who had been wounded by the rumors about “spiritual wifery.” One of these was Joseph’s older brother, Hyrum Smith. Of the women who had been wounded, the best documented case involves Emily Partridge.

**The Conversion of Hyrum Smith**

Hyrum Smith was almost six years older than Joseph Smith, and became the oldest surviving son of Lucy Mack [Smith] and Joseph Smith Sr. when Alvin Smith died in the 1820s. Hyrum supported Joseph throughout their lives together. When it came to the Church Joseph restored, Hyrum was one of the first to be baptized and was one of the Eight Witnesses testifying of
the reality of the golden plates that gave rise to the Book of Mormon. When the Church was organized on April 6, 1830, Hyrum Smith was the oldest of the six charter members. Hyrum was an early missionary, led early congregations, marched with Zion’s Camp, and was one of those imprisoned in Liberty jail with Joseph Smith.

When Father Smith died, Hyrum became Presiding Patriarch of the Church. A few months later, on January 24, 1841, Hyrum was made Assistant President of the Church. Yet Hyrum did not accept plural marriage until May 26, 1843, though Joseph had taught the doctrine of plural marriage to many men by then.

Young Gideon Carter wrote “Hyrum did not at first receive it [plural marriage] with favor. His whole nature revolted against it. He said to Joseph that if he attempted to introduce the practice of that doctrine as a tenet of The Church it would break up The Church and cost him his life.” 

Joseph asked Hyrum to ask the Lord about it. After much anguish, Hyrum confronted Brigham Young near the Masonic Hall. The two sat themselves on a pile of fence rails that lay nearby. The exchange between the two can be found in a 1866 sermon recorded in George D. Watts’s Journal of Discourses:

“[B]rother Brigham, I want to talk to you… I have a question to ask you. In the first place I say unto you, that I do know that you and the twelve know some things that I do not know. I can understand this by the motions, and talk, and doings of Joseph, and I know there is something or other, which I do not understand, that is revealed to the Twelve. Is this so?”

Brigham said, “I do not know any thing about what you know, but I know what I know.”

Hyrum continued, “I have mistrusted for along time that Joseph has received a revelation that a man should have more than one wife, and he has hinted as much to me, but I would not bear it… I want to know the truth and to be saved.”

Once Brigham was convinced that Hyrum would not work against Joseph, he confided that Joseph had many wives sealed to him. Hyrum wept like a child, and went to Joseph. Hyrum “renewed his covenant with Joseph, and they went heart and hand together while they lived, and they were together when they died…”

The Partridge Sisters

By 1843, practically all those who were members of the Mormon Church had become aware of John C. Bennett’s accusations against Joseph. However, the accusations particularly affected women who had been questioned during the desperate investigation that led to Bennett’s expulsion.

Edward Partridge had died of ague in May 1840, leaving his family ill and without support. Two of his daughters, Emily (16) and Eliza (20) determined to “hire out” as maids. Joseph and Emma Smith had taken them in, providing Emily and Eliza with the necessities of life in exchange for their help around the homestead.

During the spring of 1842, when Joseph and Emma were trying to identify and help the victims while uncovering those still seducing, Emily claims Joseph said, “Emily, if you will not betray me, I will tell you something for your benefit.”

When it became clear Emily would not allow Joseph to get a private moment to talk with her, Joseph offered to give Emily a letter if she would promise to burn it afterwards. Emily refused to accept the letter, saying she “shut [Joseph] up so quick.”

Joseph was apparently still concerned that the seducers might have gotten to the Partridge girls. He apparently had Elizabeth Durfee invite Emily and Eliza to her home soon after Emily refused Joseph’s letter. In Emily’s autobiography, she writes that Mrs. Durfee “introduced the subject of spiritual wives as they called it in that day. She wondered if there was any truth in the report she heard. I thought I could tell her something that would make her open her eyes if I chose, but I did not choose to. I kept my own council and said nothing.”

As they walked home that night, Emily told her sister, Eliza, about how Joseph had attempted to talk with her. Emily wrote “She felt very bad indeed for a short time, but it served to prepare her to receive the principles that were revealed soon after.”

What Emily could not know is whether Joseph and Emma and others living in the Smith homestead noticed Eliza’s depression and Emily’s refusal to be in a situation where she was alone with Joseph.
Emily turned nineteen on February 1843, a year after the conversation with Mrs. Durfee. During the intervening months, Emily had decided she would be willing to receive Joseph if he ever tried again.

On March 4, 1843, Mrs. Durfee told Emily that Joseph wanted to speak with her at the home of Heber Kimball that evening. When Emily asked what Joseph wanted, Mrs. Durfee replied she thought Joseph wanted Emily for a wife. Emily worried all day about the interview, to the point that she did not change out of the clothes she had worn to do the washing.

Throwing a cloak over herself, Emily told her sister Eliza she was going to visit their mother, which she briefly did. Then Emily proceeded to the Kimball home, but found only the children at home. She waited until Heber Kimball and Joseph arrived. The men sent the children to a neighbor and Kimball told Emily to leave as well. As Emily was hurrying away, Heber Kimball quietly called after her, eventually getting her to return to talk with Joseph.

Decades later when Emily testified during the Temple Lot trial, she would relate “He taught me this principle of plural marriage that is called polygamy now, but we called it celestial marriage, and he told me that this principle had been revealed to him but it was not generally known; and he went on and said that the Lord had given me to him, and he wanted to know if I would consent to a marriage, and I consented.” Elsewhere she wrote, “Well I was married there and then. Joseph went home his way and I going my way alone. A strange way of getting married, wasn’t it?”

On March 8, 1843, Joseph similarly “wed” Eliza Partridge. Eliza was more reserved than Emily and passed away before the Temple Lot trial, so we do not have any details specific to Eliza Partridge’s March 1843 covenant with Joseph.

Emily and Eliza Partridge likely presumed Joseph would re-enact the high pressure sexual importuning they would had heard about in 1842. However the reality in March 1843 appears to have been ceremonial, unconsummated marriage – wholly other than what they had expected.

In May 1843 Emma Smith finally decided she would openly participate in Joseph’s covenants with other women, starting with the Partridge sisters. Emma’s involvement in Joseph’s covenants with other women is covered in more detail the next chapter, “Emma’s Ultimatum.”

### Chronology of Early 1843 Sealings

With the background of these stories involving Hyrum Smith and the Partridge sisters, let us look at all the plural marriage activity taking place in early 1843, prior to Emma’s decision to openly participate in facilitating Joseph’s covenants with other women.

Joseph Smith asked Willard Richards to embrace plural marriage. In January 1843 Richards arranged to covenant with Sarah and Fanny Longstroth, English converts who had come to America but had failed to gather to Nauvoo. Richards went to St. Louis where the family lived and asked if he could covenant with the two girls, then 16 and 14. Longstroth family histories state the marriages were not consummated until after the sisters were sealed to Willard Richards in the Nauvoo temple in 1846.

William D. Huntington was brother to Joseph’s early wives, Zina and Presendia. On February 3, 1843, William covenanted with Harriet Clark, the sister of his first wife, Caroline Clark. We do not know how Bennett’s attack on Joseph might have affected William’s household and the sister of his first wife. Harriet would not conceive until after Joseph Smith’s death, indicating a possibility that William’s marriage to Harriet may have remained unconsummated while Joseph lived.

Ruth Vose [Sayers] alleged she was sealed to Joseph for eternity only in February 1843, with Hyrum Smith performing the ceremony. However it seems more likely she mis-remembered the year than that she mis-remembered the officiant, who could not have been Hyrum in February 1843. It is more likely Joseph was sealed to Ruth Vose [Sayers] in 1844.

Orson Hyde covenanted with English-born Martha Rebecca Browett in February or March of 1843. No children resulted from this covenant relationship. In 1850 Martha would marry Thomas McKenzie, an Irish-born convert whose wife died, leaving him with the care of their young daughter. Martha then divorced McKenzie in October 1852 after reaching Salt Lake City. Martha would live until 1904. Orson Hyde went on to covenant with Mary Ann Price in April 1843, another English convert. Mary Ann would conceive after Joseph’s death and have a daughter, Urania, in 1846. These two English converts covenanted with Orson too late for these unions to be associated with the direct activities of Bennett and his Strikers. However it seems likely that they had been affected by the stories of English-born Martha Brotherton and stories about foreign converts being exploited. Mary Ann tells of being introduced to the idea of Celestial marriage by Joseph.
Smith, and the long weeks before she was finally satisfied that Hyde was a conscientious, upright, and noble man. 14

Flora Ann Woodworth was daughter of Lucien Woodworth, the construction foreman for the Nauvoo House, intended to eventually house visiting dignitaries. William Clayton gave an affidavit that Flora covenanted with Joseph in the spring of 1843. Willard Richards appears to have written “Woodworth” in shorthand notation in Joseph’s journal for March 4, 1843. Sometime during the summer of 1843, Flora’s mother, Phebe, told Orange Wight that Flora was one of Joseph’s wives. 15 Some researchers propose Joseph also covenanted with Phebe Woodworth, Flora’s mother. 16 This mirrors the way Joseph attempted to teach the true doctrine of the New and Everlasting Covenant to both the wife and daughter of Vinson Knight, who had apparently gone “to loose conduct.”

As mentioned previously, Emily and Eliza Partridge covenanted with Joseph Smith on March 4th and March 8th respectively, a year after Emily had refused to allow Joseph to talk with her or give her a letter.

Joseph Bates Noble had performed the ceremony sealing Joseph Smith to Louisa Beaman, Noble’s sister-in-law, in spring 1841. We do not know what Noble thought in the summer of 1841 through the summer of 1842, as Bennett and his Strikers taught that it was right to engage in illicit intercourse as long as no one found out. Somehow Bennett became aware that Joseph Bates Noble had performed a ceremony uniting Louisa Beaman with Joseph Smith. 17 It seems most likely that Noble, himself, shared the story with someone in Bennett’s circle. On April 5, 1843, Joseph Smith sealed Joseph Bates Noble to Sarah B. Alley, a convert from Massachusetts who was in her early twenties. Sarah B. Alley conceived her son, George, in mid-May, assuming full term gestation. Sarah Alley’s social circle included Sarah Peak [Noon], the English widow who had become Heber Kimball’s first plural wife in 1842. When Alley became pregnant, the news “was committed to Sarah [Noon] and she was requested not to tell...” 18

Later that summer, Joseph took Erastus Snow on a walk and talked with him about “the Celestial Order of Marriage.” Erastus was the brother-in-law of Louisa Beaman. Joseph confided in Erastus regarding the 1831 circumstances leading to the revelation regarding plural marriage. Joseph asserted that “the time had come now when the principle should be practiced.” Erastus would not marry a plural wife until 1844. His writings on the topic would not be captured by Andrew Jensen until five years before Erastus died in 1888. 19

Joseph Smith’s secretary, William Clayton, had married Ruth Moon in 1836. On April 27, 1843, Ruth’s sister, Margaret Moon, covenanted with William Clayton. Margaret conceived and gave birth to a son on February 18, 1844. 20 Assuming a full-term delivery, this indicates conception occurred in late May, 1843.

Lucy Walker tells of being sixteen in 1842 and having a discussion with Joseph Smith where he said, “I have a message for you. I have been commanded of God to take another wife, and you are the woman.” 21 Joseph went on to explain how Celestial marriage could link families together for eternity, saying that Celestial marriage was restored for the benefit of the human family. It would prove an everlasting blessing to Lucy’s father’s house and form a chain that could never be broken. Lucy’s mother had died in January 1842, a death which had fractured the family. Lucy refused Joseph’s teachings in 1842 and described herself as being:

“tempted and tortured beyond endurance until life was not desirable. Oh that the grave would kindly receive me that I might find rest on the bosom of my dear mother.”

Lucy’s account gives a fascinating glimpse into the audacious economy with which Joseph attempted to discover who had been victimized while also teaching about the eternal links that would bind the human family together. In April 1843 Joseph attempted to talk with Lucy again. Lucy recounts that Joseph’s renewed discussion with her “aroused every drop of scotch in my veins...” Lucy told Joseph she could not marry him unless God revealed it to her, and God had not done so yet. 22

Joseph promised Lucy she would have a manifestation of the will of God concerning her, a testimony she could never deny. That night Lucy experienced her room filling with light, “like the brilliant sun bursting through the darkest cloud... My Soul was filled with a calm, sweet peace that I never knew. Supreme happiness took possession of my whole being. And I received a powerful and irresistible testimony of the truth of the marriage covenant called Celestial or plural marriage.” 23

Lucy covenanted with Joseph on May 1, 1843, with William Clayton officiating and Eliza Partridge standing witness.

Lucy had a daughter, Rachel, who died at Winters Quarters in December 1847. The record of Rachel’s death and interment states:
“Rachel Kimball; age 1 yr., 11 mos., 4 days; daughter of Heber and Lucy Kimball; deceased Dec. 29, 1847; disease canker; birthplace Nauvoo, Ill.; birthdate Jan 28, 1845; grave no. 147”

This record contradicts itself. Either Rachel was born in January of 1845, making Joseph Smith the likely biological father, or Rachel was 1 yr., 11 mos, 4 days old, born in January of 1846, conceived months after marrying Heber C. Kimball after Joseph’s death. It appears more likely the age is correct and the date was a mistake. This would validate Lucy’s assertion that “It was not a love matter… [but] to establish that grand and glorious principle that God had revealed to the world.”

Healing Wounded Hearts – Notes

In early 1843, Joseph Smith reached out to a handful of men and women to invite them to enter into plural marriage. Records left by women who became plural wives in early 1843 indicate the woman had serious reservations based on rumors that Joseph Smith practiced spiritual wifery. Time and again these women did gain a testimony that the plural marriage Joseph taught was not evil and/or that the man involved was upright and noble.

English converts and sisters of first wives are disproportionately represented during this first portion of 1843, possibly reflecting the damage Bennett’s accusations had caused to English converts and knowledge of how the news had affected men’s sisters-in-law.

Plural marriage covenants entered into prior to April 1843 did not appear to have a sexual component at that time, based on the reproductive history as well as written statements. In May the plural wives of William Clayton and Joseph Bates Noble conceived. This shows that sexual relations between men and their plural wives did occur prior to Joseph’s death in certain cases wholly unrelated to the illicit intercourse scandal of 1841-1842.

By May 1843, Emma Smith decided she would be willing to offer Joseph a handful of wives, and allow herself to be sealed to Joseph for not only time but for all eternity. The next four months would prove more difficult than Emma had imagined.

Emma’s Ultimatum

Emma Hale had been Joseph’s wife since he was a young, poor man. Since the beginning of their courtship in the late 1820s, Emma was aware of the opposition that faced Joseph. He had repeatedly been attacked, beaten, imprisoned, held at gunpoint and betrayed into enemy hands. Joseph had returned to Emma variously bleeding, bruised, tarred, and emaciated.

Emma would ultimately receive Joseph’s lifeless body, shot in the chest.

Like Hyrum, Emma likely believed that Joseph’s teachings and actions related to the plural marriage aspect of Celestial marriage would cause his death. We see this in her vehement reaction to his sermon regarding potential converts from countries where polygamy was practiced. 1

Many have inferred from Emma’s silence that Emma did not know Joseph was covenanteeing with other women. She does not publicly acknowledge these marriages at any time. But Joseph himself frequently forbade the actual practice of plural marriage. Though Emma herself remained silent, Emma reportedly participated in select covenant ceremonies starting in May 1843. Those reporting her participation include both LDS who followed Brigham Young and RLDS individuals who followed Joseph’s sons. Notably, conceptions by the plural wives of other righteous men only occur in May 1843 and after the fall of 1843, 2 times when Emma was not vocally opposed to plural marriage.
Why did Emma remain silent if she knew?

Emma’s silence has been interpreted as ignorance of the commandment to restore Celestial marriage and teach plural marriage. But just as lack of children does not confirm lack of sex, Emma’s silence does not necessarily confirm lack of knowledge.

The practice was initially so secret that there are no contemporary documents at all. Emma would have learned in Kirtland, Ohio, how devastating it could be when she complained to even a trusted intimate (Oliver Cowdery). Emma had been angered to find Joseph alone with Fanny Alger. Oliver inferred from Emma’s anger that Joseph’s relationship with Fanny Alger was a tawdry affair. But it is not certain Emma’s anger was caused by intimacy between Fanny and Joseph. Even so, the subsequent alienation between Oliver Cowdery and the Church contributed to apostasy at Kirtland and lethal violence in Missouri.

In 1838 Joseph was asked, “Do Mormons believe in having more wives than one?” Joseph replied, “they believe that if their companion dies, they have a right to marry again.” In light of the sealing power Joseph received in 1836, this answer is significant. Emma likely knew of the sealing power, which could bind husbands and wives for eternity, implying the possibility of eternal plural marriage when a surviving spouse remarried. However it cannot be certain Emma understood the implication of Joseph’s well-publicized answer.

Emma was witness to the provocative blessing Father Smith pronounced on Joseph’s head in September 1840, which appears to have told Joseph he would not die until “all the plan of all the work that God requires” was laid out.

Joseph could easily have kept Emma informed of his plans to restore Old Testament marriage practices. But Emma would have had no need to document or discuss plural marriages so long as the marriages remained unconsummated and hidden from the uninitiated.

Another possible motive for Emma’s silence is suggested by the Nauvoo Expositor. In a grossly mangled version of a possible legitimate explanation, the authors of the Nauvoo Expositor wrote:

“it was right anciently… but we must keep those… blessings from the world, for until there is a change… we will endanger ourselves by practicing it.”

Emma’s silence regarding plural marriage may merely have represented her unwillingness to endanger Joseph’s life.

Emma and Joseph became aware there were sexual predators in Nauvoo in January 1842. The two of them sprang into action to combat the scourge.

As Relief Society President, Emma presided over the female efforts in 1842 to flush out Bennett and his Strikers. She was fully apprised of the fact that high profile men were using their influence to persuade women to agree to illicit intercourse, referred to as spiritual wifery. A study of the Relief Society minutes shows Emma using the Relief Society to investigate irregularities, insisting she wanted none in the Society who had violated the laws of virtue.

Joseph supported Emma in this, announcing on June 9, 1842, that no one would be admitted to Relief Society unless two or three members of the Relief Society in good standing presented a petition that the prospective member was of good report.

Emma’s counselors in the Relief Society, the ones investigating the disturbing tales of seduction, were aware of plural marriage. Elizabeth Whitney, Sarah Cleveland, and Elizabeth Durfee were involved in plural marriage activities during 1842. It does not seem credible that Emma could have remained unaware of men, such as Brigham Young and Heber C. Kimball, who were sheltering vulnerable women in 1842.

Giving Joseph the Partridge Sisters

Emma maintained silence on the topic for a year following the High Council investigation into the illicit intercourse scandal. Silence may merely have been Emma’s refusal to condone by deed or appearance the spiritual wifery and illicit intercourse carried out by Bennett and his men.

By May 1843 a year had passed. Apparently Emma finally felt comfortable allowing individuals outside her immediate circle to know of her knowledge of and involvement in Joseph’s plural marriages.
Emily and Eliza Partridge were working as maid servants in the Smith household, a position very similar to that of handmaiden in the Genesis stories involving plurality of wives. When Emma decided to make her involvement in plural marriage public, Emily and Eliza Partridge were obvious candidates to become Joseph’s ‘public’ plural wives.

Emily and Eliza Partridge had been secretly sealed to Joseph in March 1843. It appears neither Joseph nor Emma explained to Emily or Eliza why the ceremonies were being re-solemnized. It is possible repeating the sealings with Emma as part of the ceremony was intended to demonstrate Emma’s public embrace of plural marriage.

Emily presumed that Emma had been ignorant of the March sealings, and that this was the reason the ceremonies were repeated. But Emily Partridge herself was clearly ignorant of much that had happened in 1842. Later in life Emily would suggest that “spiritual wifery” and polygamy were merely alternate terms for Celestial Marriage. Emily described how Sister Durfee “introduced the subject of spiritual wives as they called it in that day.” 7 In her autobiography, Emily wrote:

“He [Joseph Smith] taught me this principle of plural marriage that is called polygamy now, but we called it Celestial Marriage.” 8

The plan was that the Partridge girls would be sealed to Joseph Smith, and then Emma would be sealed to Joseph. Following Emma’s sealing to Joseph, those inner circle couples who were already married would be able to be sealed. 9 In addition, some whose spouses had died were sealed to the deceased spouse, with a proxy standing in for the departed individual.

Emma was perhaps comforted by the knowledge that Joseph had already covenanted with Emily and Eliza Partridge. The girls had seemed content to be cautious and discrete. And so Emma went through with the repeated sealing ceremony, placing each girl’s hand in the hand of Joseph.

Regrets

At some point a few days after the May ceremony, Emma became hardened against the Partridge sisters. Emily never understood why this might have occurred. However Emily apparently did not know “spiritual wife” was a bad term. Emily could have easily alienated Emma by referring to herself as a spiritual, as girls had reportedly done in 1841. 10

Alternately, Emily and possibly Eliza may have been intimate with Joseph and proceeded to flaunt their conjugal status. In 1894 Emily would testify to prove Joseph had taught plural marriage, a practice his sons repudiated and claimed their father had never taught. Emily’s entire purpose in testifying was to keep the RLDS Church from retaining control of the Temple Lot. When asked if she had engaged in “carnal intercourse” with Joseph, Emily responded, “Yes, sir.” 11

Emma would have been infuriated and frightened if Emily or Eliza Partridge equated marriage to Joseph with the sexual practices the young women had heard rumored. Emma would similarly have been frightened if Emily or Eliza openly bragged of their new status as Joseph’s wives.

There is a tale that Emma dragged Eliza down the stairs by her hair. 12 This one tale regarding Eliza and the stairs seems to refer to Eliza Partridge. Emma Smith might well react in this manner if a previously demure Eliza Partridge called herself a spiritual or openly demanded intimacy with Joseph, heedless of the danger Emma so clearly perceived.

Emma was potentially faced with two young women who risked exposing Joseph by their careless words or by becoming pregnant at a time when they lived in Joseph’s home. Emma immediately set about doing all in her power to prevent the Partridges from having intimate access to Joseph.

Emma could only hope that neither Emily nor Eliza Partridge had become pregnant as a result of their possible activities with Joseph. But as Emma herself had agreed to the sealings, it is completely understandable if Joseph and either of the Partridge sisters had presumed intimacies would be appropriate.

Roughly four months after the possible intimacies in May 1843, Emma would have Joseph send the Partridge girls away. Neither young woman had become pregnant.

Marriage in the Quorum of the Anointed

The ordinance of sealing previously-married couples together had been performed twice before in private, with Joseph officiating. But the sealing of Joseph to Emma was something Joseph could not officiate himself.

On May 28th, Joseph and Emma became the first couple sealed together in quasi-public, at a meeting of the Quorum of the Anointed. 13 The sealing
was performed by James Adams, who was subsequently sealed to his own wife, Harriet Denton [Adams].

This matter of the pending sealings was likely the cause of Hyrum’s question to Brigham Young:

“I do know that you and the twelve know some things that I do not know. I can understand this by the motions, and talk, and doings of Joseph, and I know there is something or other, which I do not understand, that is revealed to the Twelve. Is this so?” 14

Other couples in the Quorum of the Anointed proceeded to be sealed in subsequent days, a cause of great rejoicing to them. But as the cloak of secrecy was stripped away, Emma became increasingly concerned.

Emma’s Demands

In the days following the Partridge girls’ sealing to Joseph, other young women covenanted with Joseph. Sisters Sarah and Maria Lawrence were sealed to Joseph. Sarah Lawrence would later claim there had been no intimacies between herself and Joseph. Elvira Annie Cowles [Holmes] was sealed to Joseph on 1 June 1843, seemingly having waited for Emma to embrace the New and Everlasting Covenant before entering into such a covenant herself with Joseph Smith. Elvira never discussed whether she slept with Joseph. Her reproductive history suggests her relationship with Joseph Smith was not sexual.

Heber C. Kimball proceeded to insist Joseph covenant his 14-year-old daughter, Helen. Though most serious scholars doubt the relationship was sexual, the ceremony restricted Helen’s subsequent social activities. 15 Joseph Smith also arranged for Sarah Whitney to marry her widower brother-in-law, who explicitly understood the arrangement to be a pretend marriage. The pretend marriage could have been intended to prevent a suitor from attempting to pursue Sarah. Like Elvira Annie Cowles, Sarah Whitney would not conceive until after Joseph’s death.

Faced by these additional covenant relationships and possibly aware that men were being allowed to be intimate with their wives, Emma reached a breaking point. Emma made a demand of Joseph, and Joseph was commanded to offer her the desire of her heart. 16 A month later the Lord would command Joseph to revoke the offer, saying “I command mine handmaid, Emma Smith, to abide and cleave unto my servant Joseph, and to none else.” 17

What might Emma have demanded?

The language of the revelation suggests Emma was promised another husband. Joseph H. Jackson, identified as one of those in the mob that attacked Joseph, claimed Emma wanted to marry William Law. 18 A more likely possible replacement husband for Emma would have been Jonathan Harriman Holmes, long-time housemate of the Smiths. 19

Another possibility is that Emma threatened to simply divorce Joseph if he would not agree to abandon his covenants with other women. An August 1843 entry in William Clayton’s journal hints that Emma had demanded Joseph “relinquish all for her sake.” 20

In addition, Emma may have asked Joseph to come away with her and turn the work of the Church in Nauvoo over to others. Joseph’s actions during June 1843 support this last possibility. On June 13, 1843, Joseph took Emma and their children and traveled roughly 200 miles northeast to the home of Emma’s sister, Elizabeth Hale [Wasson], in Inlet Grove or Palestine Grove, 21 Lee County, Illinois.

It is not clear how long Joseph intended to stay with the Wassons. A week after arriving, Joseph would tell William Clayton and Stephen Markham “I have no fear. I shall not leave here.”

Emma could have remained with her sister for an extended time without causing significant comment, making good on her “divorce” threat. Or Joseph could have planned to remain with Emma at the Wasson household, shifting administration of the Church to the Assistant Presidents of the Church, Hyrum Smith, Sidney Rigdon, and William Law, and the Quorum of the Twelve, headed by Brigham Young.

“I am weary of life… kill me, if you please” 22

On June 18th word reached Nauvoo that Governor Thomas Ford had issued a writ against Joseph. Illinois planned to honor Missouri’s request to extradite Joseph to stand trial. Stephen Markham and William Clayton were sent to find Joseph and warn him. Markham and Clayton reached Joseph on June 21st. Joseph was not overly worried, but did cancel all public speaking arrangements, including a planned sermon in Dixon.
On Friday, June 23rd, Joseph sent William Clayton to Dixon to scout. While in Dixon, Clayton met two men who claimed to be Mormon missionaries. Clayton gladly told them Joseph was near and how to get there. Clayton did not realize the two men were Joseph H. Reynolds, a sheriff of Jackson County, Missouri, and Constable Harmon T. Wilson, from Carthage, Illinois. They were the very men he had warned Joseph about.

Reynolds and Wilson hurried to the Wasson home, arriving around 2 p.m. Reynolds and Wilson told the Wassons they were Mormon elders, and wanted to see Brother Joseph. B. H. Roberts’s History of the Church contains an account of the arrest, excerpted below:

“Wilson accosted me in a very uncouth, ungentlemanly manner, when Reynolds stepped up to me, collared me, then both of them presented cocked pistols to my breast, without showing any writ or serving any process…

[Reynolds cursed, then said,] ‘…if you stir I'll shoot…’

“I answered, ‘I am not afraid of your shooting; I am not afraid to die.’ I then bared my breast and told them to shoot away. ‘I have endured so much oppression, I am weary of life; and kill me, if you please…’

“They then turned their pistols on me again, jamming them against my side, with their fingers on the triggers, and ordered Markham to stand still or they would shoot me through…

“I then said, ‘Gentlemen, if you have any legal process, I wish to obtain a writ of habeas corpus.’ [The arresting officials cursed again and answered,] ‘…you shan’t have one.’ They still continued their punching me on both sides with their pistols.”

Reynolds and Wilson forced Joseph into their wagon, continuing to beat him as they removed him from Emma and the children.

In the days after this arrest, we see four women knowledgeable about plural marriage visit the head of Joseph’s body guard, Cornelius Lott. These were Elvira Annie Cowles, Eliza R. Snow, Elizabeth Whitney, and Elizabeth Durfee. It was crucial that Lott rouse himself in defense of Joseph, whose life was at stake. Perhaps they were attempting to set Lott’s mind at ease regarding rumors he had heard. Under the circumstances, it does not seem likely they were visiting at that time to persuade Lott to give Joseph his nubile daughter, Malissa, as some researchers have suggested. 23

Lott and others successfully engaged in the contest to return Joseph safely to Nauvoo. As the path of Joseph, Reynolds, and Constable Wilson neared Nauvoo, they stopped at Honey Creek, at the home of Michael Crane. Joseph wrote:

“I showed my sides to Mr. Crane and the company, which still continued black and blue from the bruises I had received from the pistols of Reynolds and Wilson, while riding from Inlet Grove to Dixon eight days ago…” 24

Joseph was in the custody of Reynolds and Wilson. But now Reynolds and Wilson were in the custody of Sheriff Campbell and Colonel Markham. The group of them were guarded by Joseph’s friends, ensuring that none could escape. By this point Joseph was headed safely towards home, and Reynolds and Wilson were the ones who would have been afraid.

Emma and Hyrum tearfully greeted Joseph outside of Nauvoo. Joseph’s return became a great celebration, with the band playing and guns and cannon firing. Joseph mounted his favorite horse, “Old Charley.” He rode into town with Emma at his side. Triumph was tempered when Joseph rejoined his mother and children. Little Fred in particular had been terrorized by seeing his father pistol-whipped and dragged away.

The day of celebration ended with a feast. Joseph insisted Reynolds and Wilson participate.

“When I went to dinner with my family, Reynolds and Wilson were placed at the head of the table, with about 50 of my friends, and were served with the best that the table afforded, by my wife, whom they [had] refused to allow me to see, when they so cruelly arrested and ill-treated me, which contrasted strongly with their treatment to me when I was first arrested by them, and until my friends met me.” 25

Joseph had attempted to leave Nauvoo and spend time alone with Emma, likely at Emma’s demand. Yet Joseph had been forced back into the midst of Nauvoo and all that Emma had demanded he give up. It was now clear that Nauvoo was an island of legal safety Joseph could not afford to leave.
Emma could hardly make good on her threat in light of how Joseph had returned. Emma’s relief regarding Joseph’s safe return soon gave way to the old emotions. Hyrum, seeing Emma’s unhappiness, suggested that Joseph write down the revelation regarding the New and Everlasting Covenant.

Surely, Hyrum reasoned, if the revelation was written, Emma would read, be comforted, and obey.

**Emma’s Ultimatum – Notes**

Emma’s silence has been interpreted as ignorance of Joseph’s activities. However her silence may have been primarily inspired by concern for Joseph’s safety.

In May 1843 Emma agreed that it was time to openly teach plural marriage. Emma decided that Emily and Eliza Partridge would be the young ladies she would like to have become Joseph’s quasi-public plural wives. But something about Emily and Eliza soon upset Emma. The number of additional covenants Joseph was entering into may also have caused Emma concern.

Emma issued an ultimatum. She wanted Joseph to relinquish all for her sake. If he would not do so, she would divorce him. She may have also suggested that she wished a different husband.

Joseph traveled with Emma to her sister’s home. The peaceful idyll was ended when Joseph was arrested for extradition to Missouri. Emma watched as Joseph was pistol-whipped and taken from her. It likely terrified her to hear him ask to be shot. As far as Emma knew, it might be the last time she would ever see Joseph.

Several of Emma’s particular confidantes helped rouse Joseph’s guard to his defense. In roughly a week, Joseph was returned to Emma’s side. Nauvoo celebrated. Joseph placed the pistol-whipping sheriffs in a place of honor at the celebration dinner. But Emma was concerned, possibly more now than before.

**20 – Revealing the Revelation**

Despite all that had happened through the end of June 1843, Joseph had never written down the revelation regarding plural marriage. Nor had Joseph spoken publicly about the doctrine involving possible plural marriage.

That was about to change.

Emma had demanded something of Joseph in June 1843. In response, Joseph had packed up Emma and the children. The family traveled about 200 miles northwest to the home of Emma’s sister, Elizabeth Hale Wasson. The women Joseph had covenanted with and the burden of Church leadership were left behind in Nauvoo.

We’ll never know how long Joseph intended to remain with the Wassons. Sheriff Reynolds of Jackson County, Missouri, and Constable Wilson, of Carthage, Illinois, arrested Joseph. They pistol-whipped Joseph, tearing him away from Emma. The scene was reminiscent of the horror at Far West, when Joseph’s family was separated from him at sword-point and he was dragged to prison.

By the beginning of July, Joseph was back in Nauvoo, protected by the strong city charter Dr. Bennett had crafted. Emma’s relief was short-lived. She was once again back in Nauvoo, with all the stresses and individuals that had caused her grief the month before. She had made a demand of Joseph, which God had commanded Joseph to grant her. It seems she planned to campaign until her promised relief was granted.
Unfortunately, we do not have an account of what Emma had been promised, or the aftermath. William Clayton would not record his version of the story until decades later. Clayton’s eventual statement was clearly to reply, said he did not need to read to Emma. Joseph, they asserted, should have been there; the whole was written, Joseph had never taught or practiced polygamy. 1

The Revelation is Written

William Clayton, a tithing clerk and practicing polygamist by July 1843, wrote a letter in 1871 documenting the revelation. Joseph had dictated the revelation on plural marriage to him on Wednesday, July 12, 1843. The revelation in question is now Section 132 of the Doctrine and Covenants. 2 From the wording of the 1871 letter, it is clear William Clayton was being asked to refute the claims of the Reorganized Church of Jesus Christ of Latter Day Saints (RLDS), headed by Joseph’s sons.

Joseph’s sons and other RLDS missionaries denied the revelation on plural marriage described by Clayton could have ever been written during Joseph Smith’s life. Such a revelation, they asserted, should have been recorded by Joseph’s personal secretary, James Whitehead. James Whitehead had aligned himself with the RLDS Church after Joseph’s death. Interestingly, Whitehead told the Smith brothers in 1864 that their mother, Emma, had participated in ceremonies where other women covenanted with Joseph Smith on several occasions that Whitehead had witnessed.

William Clayton would write in 1871:

“I did write the revelation on celestial marriage given through the Prophet Joseph Smith, on the 12th of July, 1843.

“When the revelation was written there was no one present except the Prophet Joseph, his brother Hyrum and myself. It was written in the small office upstairs in the rear of the brick store which stood on the banks of the Mississippi River. It took some three hours to write it. Joseph dictated sentence by sentence, and I wrote it as he dictated. After the whole was written Joseph requested me to read it slowly and carefully, which I did, and he then pronounced it correct… The original was destroyed by Emma Smith.” 3

Two years later, on February 16, 1874, Clayton would produce a more expansive version of the story, sworn to before John T. Caine, notary public, in Salt Lake City:

“On the morning of the 12th of July, 1843, Joseph and Hyrum Smith came into the office in the upper story of the ‘brick store,’ on the bank of the Mississippi River. They were talking on the subject of plural marriage. Hyrum said to Joseph, ‘If you will write the revelation on celestial marriage, I will take and read it to Emma, and I believe I can convince her of its truth, and you will hereafter have peace.’

“Joseph smiled and remarked, ‘You do not know Emma as well as I do.’

“Hyrum repeated his opinion and further remarked, ‘The doctrine is so plain, I can convince any reasonable man or woman of its truth, purity or heavenly origin,’ or words to their effect.

“Joseph then said, ‘Well, I will write the revelation and we will see.’ He then requested me to get paper and prepare to write. Hyrum very urgently requested Joseph to write the revelation by means of the Urim and Thummim, but Joseph, in reply, said he did not need to, for he knew the revelation perfectly from beginning to end.

“Joseph and Hyrum then sat down and Joseph commenced to dictate the revelation on celestial marriage, and I wrote it, sentence by sentence, as he dictated. After the whole was written, Joseph asked me to read it through, slowly and carefully, which I did, and he pronounced it correct. He then remarked that there was much more that he could write, on the same subject, but what was written was sufficient for the present.

“Hyrum then took the revelation to read to Emma. Joseph remained with me in the office until Hyrum returned. When he came back, Joseph asked him how he had succeeded. Hyrum replied that he had never received a more severe talking to in his life, that Emma was very bitter and full of resentment and anger.

“Joseph quietly remarked, ‘I told you you did not know Emma as well as I did.’ Joseph then put the revelation in his pocket, and they both left the office.” 4
Joseph and Hyrum Smith were long dead by 1874. Emma Smith would die in April 1879, and William Clayton passed away seven months later. Clayton’s statements in the 1870s have been interpreted to mean Emma was jealous of the women with whom Joseph had covenanted. Yet the statement supports a reading that Emma was concerned about the risk to Joseph’s life. The contemporary record suggests Emma also had financial concerns.

The records for July 12, 1843, indicate “Hyrum took the revelation and read it to Emma. I directed Clayton to make out deeds of certain lots of land to Emma and the children.” With Joseph marrying multiple young women, who could potentially bear any number of children, Emma would have an understandable concern about the property available to sustain herself and her children.

On July 13th, the journal record says “I was in conversation with Emma most of the day…” On the 14th, the record says “Spent the day at home.”

Brigham Young claimed Emma had the original manuscript of the revelation burned. However Bishop Whitney had reportedly made a copy while he had possession of the revelation. In 1867 Emma reportedly told Elder Jason W. Briggs that she had never seen the purported revelation and had not burned the thing. This was also reported by Edmund Briggs. In 1863 Briggs had been one of the first RLDS missionaries sent to convince the Utah Mormons of the error of polygamy.

Both Brigham’s story and the Briggs’s report could be true if Emma had refused to look at the written words and if Joseph had been the one to burn it, at her request. Unfortunately for Jason Briggs’s assertion that the revelation was created by Brigham Young in 1852, there are many other accounts attesting to the existence of the revelation in Nauvoo during the summer of 1843.

It might have been best if all copies of this version of the revelation had been burned. However a copy was made of that particular version of the revelation, a version including very specific information regarding Emma and the events of summer 1843.

Hyrum Begins to Share the Revelation

Almost immediately after Hyrum was himself introduced to the doctrine of plural marriage at the end of May 1843, it appears he began to officiate in marrying others.

Hyrum and the High Council

On Saturday, August 12, 1843, Hyrum Smith was in a meeting of the Nauvoo Stake High Council when the conversation turned to marriage.

Howard Coray tells of an early instance where Hyrum explained the revelation:

“About the 1st of July of [1843], my wife had a peculiar dream and, believing that it had significance, she desired me to accompany her to Brother Hyrum Smith’s for the purpose of getting him to interpret it.

“We went the next Sunday to see him, but having company, he was not at liberty to say much to us; he said, however, if we would come the next Sunday, he would interpret the dream, but wished to see us by ourselves, when there was no other one present.

“Accordingly the next Sunday we went, but found as many at his house as the Sunday previous. He said to us, come again the next Sunday and probably it will be different; but in a day or so he called at our house, and invited us to take a ride with him in his buggy. We accordingly did so.

“When we had gotten far enough out of town to converse safely, without attracting attention or being understood, he commenced rehearsing the revelation on celestial marriage [D&C 132] and carefully went through with the whole of it, then reviewed it, explaining such portions of it as he deemed necessary. This was on the 22nd of July, 1843.

“The dream was in harmony with the revelation and was calculated to prepare her mind for its reception. She never doubted the divinity of it, nor rebelled against it. And while still in the buggy, Brother Hyrum asked my wife if she was willing to be sealed to me. After a moment’s thought, she answered yes. He then asked me if I wished to be sealed. I replied in the affirmative and after telling us that he knew by the spirit of the Lord that it was His will for us to be sealed, he performed the ceremony, then and there.”
Hyrum, apparently still of the impression that “The doctrine is so plain, I can convince any reasonable man or woman of its truth,” excused himself to obtain the copy of the revelation.

Returning to the High Council, Hyrum proceeded to read the revelation. James Allred, David Fullmer, Thomas Grover, Aaron Johnson, Austin Cowles, and Leonard Soby documented the meeting in letters and affidavits.

Perhaps Hyrum’s own faith in Joseph, supported by the faithfulness of individuals he had interacted with prior to August 12, led him to believe that everyone would be able to overcome the resistance he himself had towards the doctrine, arising from his efforts to put down spiritual wifery.

Unfortunately, the men of the High Council were the same men who had investigated the instances of illicit intercourse in May 1842. The women who testified against John C. Bennett and Chauncey Higbee had repeatedly mentioned that Bennett or Higbee or even William Smith, Joseph’s apostle-brother, had claimed Joseph taught about illicit intercourse, but that the women had subsequently learned that Joseph taught no such thing.

Now Hyrum, Joseph’s older brother, was blithely claiming that Joseph had indeed received a revelation that a man could have multiple wives.

Austin Cowles was one of those in the High Council that day who would leave the Church as a result of Hyrum’s reading of the revelation. The following year, Austin wrote:

“Forasmuch as the public mind hath been much agitated by a course of procedure in the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter Day Saints, by a number of persons declaring against certain doctrines and practices therein, (among whom I am one;) it is but meet that I should give my reasons, at least in part, as a cause that hath led me to declare myself.

“In the latter part of the summer, 1843, the Patriarch, Hyrum Smith, did in the High Council, of which I was a member, introduce what he said was a revelation given through the Prophet; that the said Hyrum Smith did essay to read the said revelation in the said Council, that according to his reading there was contained the following doctrines;
are invigorating, and in every sense of the word, tend to dignify and ennoble man’s conceptions of God and his attributes [sic]. It speaks a language which is heard amidst the roar of Artillery, as well as in the silence of midnight: it speaks a language understood by the incarcerated spirit, as well as he who is unfettered and free…” 15

In 1866 Brigham Young would say of Hyrum, “although he was just as honest as an Angel, and as full of integrity as the Gods… he had not that ability which Joseph possessed to see and understand men as they were.” 16 The cold reading of the revelation in a hot room to skeptical men was a massive mistake, for which Hyrum would pay with his life.

Joseph’s Secret Campaign

Unlike Hyrum, Joseph did not teach about the revelation in public settings. As Danel Bachman wrote, Joseph introduced the doctrine of plural marriage “primarily through private and personal interviews.” 17

Aroet Hale tells of one gathering, and how Joseph explained the revelation to a group of “regular” saints:

“The Prophet Joseph was visiting at our house on one occasion and spent the evening. My father was a bishop of one of the wards. 18 With the Prophet’s consent, father invited in his counselors and a few of the good old staunch brethren.

“Among the few was Uncle Henry Harriman, one of the first seven presidents of the seventies, and Jonathan H. Holmes, and several others of fathers old stand-by friends. This circumstance took place at my father’s house, Jonathan H. Hale, bishop. 19 This was the first time that our parents had ever heard the Prophet speak on the subject of celestial marriage.

“During the evening, the Prophet spoke to Uncle Henry Harriman. Said he, ‘Henry, your wife Clarisa [sic] is barren; she never will have any children. Upon your shoulders rests great responsibilities. You have a great work to perform in the temple of our God. You are the only Harriman that will ever join this Church.’ He even told the lineage that he was of and told him that he must take another wife and raise up a family to assist him in his great work, and to honor and revere his name.

“The Prophet also told Aunt Clarisa [sic] that if she would consent to this marriage and not try to hinder Henry, that she should share a portion of the glory that would be derived from this marriage. Uncle Henry Harriman was finally convinced that the command that the Prophet Joseph had given him was right. In a short time, he took a young woman [Eliza Elizabeth Jones] and was sealed by the Prophet. 20 He brought her to the valleys. They have raised a family of children. They have done a good work in the St. George temple.” 21

Brian C. Hales includes many other such accounts in his book, Joseph Smith’s Polygamy. However not all who claimed they “knew” Joseph Smith taught plural marriage should be presumed to accurately reflect Joseph’s teachings. We see this in the case of Orange Wight, teenage son of Apostle Lyman Wight. Orange became aware of “plural marriage” in 1841, discovering that John Higbee 22 had two wives. 23 When Orange was almost 80 years old, he would write:

“The next I noticed when in company with the young folks the girls were calling one another spirituals… when at Nauvoo in the winter of 1841 and 1842, I became fully initiated. 24

“Now although [I] would not be 20 until 29 November, 1843, I concluded to look about and try to pick up one or more of the young ladies before they were all gone. So I commenced keeping company with Flora Woodworth, daughter of Lucian Woodworth (called the Pagan Prophet).

“I was walking along the street with Flora near the Prophet’s residence when he, Joseph, drove, up in his carriage, stopped and spoke to I and Flora and asked us to get in the carriage and ride with him. He opened the door for us and when we were seated opposite to him he told the driver to drive on. We went to the [Nauvoo] temple lot and many other places during the afternoon and then he drove to the Woodworth house and we got out and went in.

“After we got in the house Sister Woodworth took me in another room and told me that Flora was one of Joseph’s wives. I was aware or believed that Eliza R. Snow and the two Partridge girls were his wives but was not informed about Flora. But now
Sister Woodworth gave me all the information necessary, so I knew Joseph believed and practiced polygamy.

Orange Wight would go on to marry two cousins of Marietta Carter [Holmes], deceased wife of Jonathan Harriman Holmes. But Orange and his father settled in Texas rather than joining with the “Brighamites.” Orange Wight had not spent decades living in the shadow of the leading apostles. It is likely that much of what Orange reported as plural marriage, in his 1903 letter to Joseph I. Earl, was actually connected with John C. Bennett’s spiritual wifery.

Further, the conversation Orange had with Sister Woodworth would have informed Joseph that enemies knew about Eliza Snow, the Partridges, and Flora. Within days all four women would leave Joseph’s circle.

Brigham Young Explains the Doctrine

On July 9, 1843, before Joseph had received D&C 132, Brigham Young reportedly had a discussion with a professor from a Southern university. The professor asked if Joseph Smith had more wives than one (as Dr. Bennett had widely claimed). Brigham admitted that he had more than one wife, likely speaking of his own status as a remarried widower. To explain, Brigham asked if the gentleman believed the Bible and the resurrection:

“I then asked him if he believed parents and children, husbands and wives would recognize each other in the resurrection. He said he did.

“Also if parents and children would have the same filial feeling towards each other which they have here; and he said he believed they would, and that their affections would be more acute that they were in this life.

“I then said, ‘We see in this life, that amongst Christians, ministers and all classes of men, a man will marry a wife, and have children by her; she dies, and he marries another, and then another, until men have had as many as six wives, and each of them bear children. This is considered all right by the Christian world, inasmuch as a man has but one at a time.

“Now, in the resurrection this man and all his wives and children are raised from the dead; what will be done with those women and children, and who will they belong to? and if the man is to have but one, which one in the lot shall he have?”

“The professor replied, he never thought of the question in this light before, and said he did not believe those women and children would belong to any but those they belonged to in this life.

“‘Very well,’ said I, ‘you consider that to be a pure, holy place in the presence of God, angels, and celestial beings, would the Lord permit a thing to exist in heaven that is evil?’

“‘And if it is right for a man to have several wives and children in heaven at the same time, is it not an inconsistent doctrine that a man should have several wives and children by those wives at the same time, here in this life, as was the case with Abraham and many of the old Prophets? Or is it any more sinful to have several wives at a time than at different times?’

“[The university professor answered,] ‘I cannot see that it would be any more inconsistent to have more wives in this life than in the next, or to have five wives at one time than at five different times. I feel to acknowledge it is a correct principle and a Bible doctrine, and I cannot see anything inconsistent in it.’”

This account of Brigham’s early explanation of plural marriage helps us see that he originally saw plural marriage as part of an over-arching marriage construct. Other than the argument that a man should be permitted to have more than one living wife, Brigham’s explanation was largely consistent with modern Mormon ideas about sealing spouses together.

For those of us attempting to understand the revelation now, it is easy to get caught up in the belief that plural marriage was about established men gathering up their pick of the available attractive women. But if we look at these early explanations from Joseph and Hyrum and Brigham, plural marriage was explained as part of a complete Celestial marriage system. Celestial marriage allowed all members of a family to be joined together. As seen with Henry Harriman, the links establish were not only between a man and his wife, but to the future progeny of them both. Beyond the examples included here, many more exist, most of which are contained in Chapter 29 of Brian C. Hales’s book, *Joseph Smith’s Polygamy*. 

Meg Stout – Reluctant Polygamist

Women and children, and who will they belong to? and if the man is to have but one, which one in the lot shall he have?
We also see Brigham making the case that plural wives should bear children, a point on which he and Emma would disagree after Joseph’s death. But Joseph was not dead yet. Carthage was still a year in his future. The actions and decisions during this last year of Joseph’s life would have a profound impact on the way Joseph’s followers continued Joseph’s legacy.

Revealing the Revelation – Notes

From the time Emma was sealed to Joseph, the doctrine of eternal sealing, and the associated doctrine of plural marriage, began to spread among the saints. Joseph and others had previously confined their teachings to secretive meetings with individuals. This new era involved larger meetings, where the underlying reasons for the doctrine were explained.

It appears Emma was primarily concerned about the threat plural marriage posed to Joseph’s life, and secondarily concerned about temporal security for herself and her children.

Emma would have happily terminated all open discussion of plural marriage. She clearly believed plural wives had no business producing children. But the teachings of Joseph and Brigham make it clear that plural wives should be permitted to have children.

Finally, Hyrum’s action in sharing the revelation with the Nauvoo High Council led to disaffection of several prominent leaders. Hyrum’s decision to share the written form of the revelation with William and Jane Law similarly led to rejection and disbelief. Hyrum’s unwarranted trust in high-ranking Mormon men would lead to his death.

Dr. Bennett had created an environment where many in the United States were informed of possible sexual shenanigans in Joseph’s Nauvoo. When the revelation fell on unbelieving ears, all of Dr. Bennett’s past accusations were revived in the minds of those horrified by the prospect of plural marriage. The Nauvoo Expositor would be the fuse to the powder keg of suspicion Bennett had created. When Joseph and others made the decision in the summer of 1844 to destroy the press used to print the Nauvoo Expositor, the fuse was lit.

21 – Those Virtuous and Pure

In D&C 132, The Lord told Emma:

And let mine handmaid, Emma Smith, receive all those that have been given unto my servant Joseph, and who are virtuous and pure before me; and those who are not pure, and have said they were pure, shall be destroyed, saith the Lord God. ¹

The Lord then went on to say:

Let no one, therefore, set on my servant Joseph; for I will justify him; for he shall do the sacrifice which I require at his hands for his transgressions, saith the Lord your God…

And if he have ten virgins given unto him by this law, he cannot commit adultery, for they belong to him, and they are given unto him; therefore is he justified.

...for they are given unto him to multiply and replenish the earth, according to my commandment, and to fulfil the promise which was given by my Father before the foundation of the world, and for their exaltation in the eternal worlds, that they may bear the souls of men; for herein is the work of my Father continued, that he may be glorified. ²

Who were these virgins, virtuous and pure?
Nine of the women Joseph covenanted with during his lifetime would marry an apostle within a year after Joseph’s death. These were Emily and Eliza Partridge, Sarah and Maria Lawrence, Lucy Walker, Olive Grey Frost, Sarah Whitney, Eliza Snow, and Nancy Winchester.1, 4

These women may have been among the ten virtuous and pure Joseph was given by the revelation. But there are several additional women who appear to fit this description, women with whom Emma expressly allowed Joseph to covenant.

Below is a partial list of those who were single and apparently virgins when they covenanted with Joseph. The names of those who remarried an apostle within a year of Joseph’s death are highlighted:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date of Birth</th>
<th>Date of Sealing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Louisa Beaman (26)</td>
<td>Feb 7, 1815</td>
<td>Apr 5, 1841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eliza Partridge (22)</td>
<td>Apr 20, 1821</td>
<td>Mar 4, 1843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emily Partridge (19)</td>
<td>Feb 28, 1824</td>
<td>Mar 4, 1843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarah Lawrence (17)</td>
<td>May 13, 1826</td>
<td>May 1843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maria Lawrence (19)</td>
<td>Dec 18, 1823</td>
<td>May 1843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hannah Ellis (~30)</td>
<td>Aug 18, 1828</td>
<td>Sep 20, 1843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lucy Walker (17)</td>
<td>Apr 30, 1826</td>
<td>May 1843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flora Woodworth (16)</td>
<td>Nov 14, 1826</td>
<td>sealed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olive Grey Frost (~27)</td>
<td>Jul 24, 1816</td>
<td>sealed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarah Whitney (17)</td>
<td>Mar 22, 1825</td>
<td>Jul 27, 1842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helen Mar Kimball (14)</td>
<td>Aug 22, 1828</td>
<td>sealed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhoda Richards (58)</td>
<td>Aug 8, 1784</td>
<td>sealed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desdemona Fullmer (31)</td>
<td>Oct 6, 1809</td>
<td>Apr 1841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Almera Johnson (30)</td>
<td>Oct 12, 1812</td>
<td>sealed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is not clear if the following three women were virgins when they were sealed to Joseph Smith:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date of Birth</th>
<th>Date of Sealing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eliza Snow</td>
<td>Jan 21, 1804</td>
<td>June 29, 1842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elvira Annie Cowles (29)</td>
<td>Nov 23, 1813</td>
<td>sealed Jun 1, 1843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nancy Winchester (19)</td>
<td>Aug 10, 1828</td>
<td>sealed undated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Many of these women are under-documented. Several died before the major efforts attempting to document the nature of Nauvoo plural marriage. These were Joseph F. Smith’s collection of affidavits circa 1869, Andrew Jensen’s parallel research effort stretching into the 1880s, and the Temple Lot trial testimonies of the 1890s. Todd Compton did his best to explore the life of each of the women who covenanted with Joseph in his book, In Sacred Loneliness.

It is interesting that the revelation mentions “those who are not pure, and have said they were pure,” saying these would be destroyed. Illicit sex had permeated Nauvoo society the previous year. Francis Higbee was one involved in illicit intercourse who was alleged to have suffered from venereal disease. Emma and Joseph might not have believed in contagion, but an omniscient God may well have been concerned. Ensuring the purity of the women Emma was commanded to accept as Joseph’s wives was potentially a matter of life and death, though Emma and Joseph were likely only concerned about spiritual corruption.

As most of these women eventually married a high Church leader, it may be that the apostles initially selected those nine in greatest need, with the intention of reaching out to Emma Hale Smith. There is no reason to suspect any of these women were not considered virtuous and pure.

The Hagars

We know of five women who Emma had embraced, only to evict them from her hearth. Of these, Eliza Snow was invited to return in 1844.

The first of these was Fanny Alger sometime in 1836. Many of Fanny’s friends and family considered her relationship with Joseph to be a marriage. Joseph himself tried to thread the discord caused by Oliver Cowdery’s belief that the matter was a mere dalliance by responding that intimacies Joseph engaged in the context of a marriage should hypothetically be permitted.

Fanny ended up leaving the Smith household after Emma reportedly found Fanny alone with Joseph in the barn. The only source for what they were doing in the barn is rumor and Emma’s anger. But as we will see, Emma’s anger could flare for reasons other than sexuality. There is scant reason to believe Fanny actually had a child by Joseph.5

According to the revelation on plural marriage as it was eventually recorded, the children of a woman who has been sealed in the New and
Everlasting Covenant are born into that covenant. Fanny, Joseph, and/or Emma may have determined that Fanny need not remain in the Smith household for the marriage to serve God.

Any assertions about Fanny and Emma and Joseph are tentative at best. But the pattern set with Fanny becomes important because of how it manifests for the women Emma casts out in 1843.

**Eliza and Emily Partridge** were the two women Emma specifically selected to covenant with Joseph as a symbol of Emma’s acceptance of the New and Everlasting Covenant. We have evidence of the Partridge girls forwarding the plural marriage agenda, serving as witnesses for plural sealings and trying to get the daughter of Vinson Knight to accept an interview with Joseph. However Eliza and Emily married Joseph believing Joseph was lying to Emma. Perhaps they thought this meant Emma could be safely disregarded.

Emily documents that Emma would not permit Joseph to be alone with the Partridges shortly after the ceremony where Emma gave the Partridge women to Joseph. This is ironic since Emily said she spent the better part of the prior year avoiding being alone with Joseph. Emma reportedly told the Partridges to marry other men, as Fanny had done.

In August 1843, Emma demanded that Joseph send the Partridges away from Nauvoo. Joseph did not send them away from Nauvoo, but he did send them away from the Smith household. There is no indication from Emily or Eliza that Joseph continued any sort of physical relationship with them after this departure from the Smith household.

**Flora Woodworth** also covenanted with Joseph. As a token of the relationship, Joseph gave Flora a gold watch. When Emma learned of the valuable gift, she demanded Flora return the watch. This conflict may have had more to do with the distribution of wealth associated with Joseph’s responsibilities towards the women with whom he had covenanted, rather than possible sexual activity between Joseph and Flora. Flora almost immediately married Carlos Gove, a member of the Nauvoo Legion but not affiliated with the Mormon Church. Flora’s flight from Joseph’s side to marry a non-Mormon is strikingly similar to Fanny Alger’s departure from the Smith household. Given the report that Emma tried to get the Partridge girls to marry non-Mormons, Flora’s marriage to a non-Mormon may have been suggested by Emma.

**Eliza Snow** would also leave Nauvoo in the fall of 1843. On August 21, 1843, Emma discovered two letters from Eliza in Joseph’s clothes. Something about the letters caused Emma to become “vexed and angry.” Eliza Snow would move away from Nauvoo for a period of time, but returned to Nauvoo on April 14, 1844. This would be shortly after Joseph had learned that hundreds of men had sworn an oath to kill him. It may be there was no longer a need to avoid the appearance of a relationship, as the worst had already occurred.

**Jealousy or Fear?**

It is presumed that Emma evicted the Partridges and Eliza out of jealousy. This fits nicely with the prevalent hypothesis that Emma did not know what was going on. In this view, Joseph was bravely or cravenly going behind Emma’s back to acquire and bed plural wives. In this view, the Partridges and Eliza just happened to be ones Emma discovered.

But Emma had known explicitly about Joseph’s covenants with the Partridges. If the reported staircase incident occurred, Emma also knew about Eliza and Joseph. We have reason to know Emma was aware of many other women who had covenanted with Joseph.

Fear may have been the reason for the departure of the Partridges, Flora, and Eliza Snow from the Smith household. According to Orange Wight’s account late in life, Wight had been “fully initiated” into the illicit sexual activities taught by Bennett and the Higbees at some point during the winter of 1841/42, when he was a teenager.

By 1843 Orange was back in town, concerned with securing a wife for himself before they were all snapped up. In this vein he courted Flora Woodworth. When Flora’s mother revealed Flora was not available, Orange replied that he had known or suspected that the Partridges and Eliza Snow were Joseph’s wives, but he had not known about Flora.

In the summer of 1843 the four women Orange Wight referred to as Joseph’s wives would leave the Smith circle: Eliza Snow, Flora Woodworth, Emily Partridge, and Eliza Partridge. It could be that their presence proximate to Joseph, in light of Orange Wight’s comment, was too great a risk. Orange Wight’s manner of describing his knowledge seemed innocuous to him, and has seemed unremarkable to prior researchers. But Orange did not realize he was part of the Striker community, and prior researchers have largely ignored the illicit intercourse heresy when interpreting his account.
In the wake of the Orange Wight incident, Joseph’s journal for October 5, 1843 states, “Joseph forbids [teaching plurality of wives], and the practice thereof—No man shall have but one wife.” Some have read this as a global rejection of plural marriage, hoping to prove that Joseph never taught a plurality of wives. However the data is overwhelming that Joseph did teach Celestial marriage and a plurality of wives. It appears the October 1843 journal entry reflected a tactical retreat from plural marriage in light of some perceived threat, likely due in part to Orange Wight’s comments.

Two weeks later, once the Partridges, Flora, and Eliza Snow had departed. William Clayton would note that Emma was now “quite friendly and kind.”

Clayton’s plural wife, Margaret Moon, was five months pregnant in mid-October. He was one of only two or three men “practicing” conjugal plural marriage. Thus it would seem that he would have been under censure. Yet Joseph passes on Emma’s advice “that I should keep M[argaret] at home and it was also his council. Says he would advise me to just keep her at home and brook it and if they raise trouble about it and bring you before me I will give you an awful scourging and probably cut you off from the church and then I will baptize you and set you ahead as good as ever.”

**Multiplying Talents: Women of Covenant and Female Power**

Most who learn of Joseph’s many covenants with other women see only an opportunity for Joseph to enjoy lots of sex. They do not know the history of Mormon women in the 1800s and the power these women would come to wield.

Three women who had covenanted with Joseph would preside over Relief Society from its formation through 1901: Emma Hale [Smith], Eliza Snow [Smith Young] and Zina Diantha Huntington [Jacobs Smith Young]. At the time Relief Society was a separate entity that reported directly to the Prophet. The children’s ministry (Primary) and the ministry for female youth (then the Young Women’s Mutual Improvement Association) were administered by the Relief Society. Leaders of the Relief Society collaborated with leaders like Elizabeth Cady Stanton and Susan B. Anthony in the national fight for female rights.

In 1842 Joseph confirmed that it was right for women to perform blessings of healing, a practice Brigham Young also upheld. The wives of Joseph Smith performed blessings and spoke in tongues, meeting together regularly amongst themselves, particularly on the anniversaries of Joseph’s birth and death.

With the deaths of Joseph’s wives, there was no longer a living testimony of the power Joseph himself had granted to women. A vast congregation of women remembered such gifts. But this coincided with a time when large cultural changes were taking place as a result of the end of plural marriage. Having ended plural marriage, the Church focused on priesthood power as the marquee rationale for why the Church was the sole organization authorized to effect the salvation of all mankind. Priesthood authority increasingly became seen as a thing vested in men, rather than the power of God available to both men and women.

One form of blessing uniquely performed by women was washing and anointing expectant mothers, a ceremonial blessing in some ways similar to ordinances performed by Levitical priests in the temple built by Moses. This one form of blessing apparently could not be turned over to men who held the Melchizedek priesthood. The practice of washing and anointing expectant mothers continued amongst those women aware of the practice until the end of World War II.

At the start of World War II, the Relief Society President was Amy Brown [Lyman]. In late 1943, President Lyman’s husband was discovered committing adultery and was excommunicated. President Lyman requested that she be released from service. She was replaced by Belle Smith [Spafford].

Soon after taking charge of Relief Society, President Spafford inquired about the practice of washing and anointing expectant mothers. Joseph Fielding Smith wrote President Spafford and agreed the practice was permitted. However, he reaffirmed the preference that the sick request blessings from priesthood brethren.

Belle Smith [Spafford] had been a mature teenager during the social transformation that occurred in conjunction with World War I. As a young woman, Belle had never wanted to be a part of Relief Society. She thought the group was old and outdated, a collection of fusty quilting circles. Ending the practice of females performing the washing and anointing blessing was one of many modernizations President Spafford implemented during her decades as President of the Relief Society.

By ending the practice of washing and anointing pregnant women, President Spafford terminated the last vestige of female-administered blessings. It was an era where confidence in modern medicine had largely
undercut the belief that a ceremonial blessing could materially change the fate of mother and child.

President Spafford presided over a new age when Church organizations were being brought together. Women in the Church were being asked to contribute to the overall mission of the Church, rather than focused solely on initiatives of the Relief Society. But this involved loss of autonomy, as Relief Society and other organizations subordinated themselves to central leadership.

Sexuality in Joseph's Marriages?

For those who have studied the history, the clear fruit of Joseph’s plural marriages was the establishment of a cadre of women of power. These women nurtured the rest of the Church, particularly the women. Joseph’s wives established patterns of service and female community that still resonate today.

DNA research suggests Joseph did not produce children with any of the dozens of women he covenanted with other than Emma Hale [Smith]. Emma tried very hard to convince people that plural wives were not supposed to have children.

Emma told a pregnant Lucy Meserve (secretly a plural wife of George A. Smith) that plural wives “were only sealed for eternity, they were not to live with [their husbands] and have children…” When Lucy said she did not know what Emma was talking about, Emma replied, “You do know. It’s sticking out too plain.” It appears this conversation must have occurred just before Lucy left Nauvoo on February 9, 1846, 16 as Lucy likely conceived her first child in November or December 1845.

Upset by the discussion with Emma, Lucy confided in her husband, George A. Smith. George comforted Lucy, telling of a time he had come upon Joseph washing his hands, likely no earlier than February 1842. Apparently to explain why he had blood on his hands, Joseph told his cousin “one of his wives had just been confined, and Emma was midwife and he was assisting her.” 16

Lucy took comfort in George Albert Smith’s story. The clear implication was that Joseph had engendered a child with one of the women with whom he had covenanted. The sting of Emma’s criticism was softened by believing Emma had knowingly assisted in the delivery of Joseph’s unidentified child.

In no case is it likely the child in question was engendered by Joseph Smith. In the case of the wives whose husbands were faithful Mormons, the child in question was conceived before the woman covenanted with Joseph Smith. The women who conceived after covenating with Joseph Smith were
married to men who were not Mormons at the time. It is not clear why Emma and Joseph would be secretly assisting at the delivery of a child whose mother had a legal husband, though some have asserted Windsor Lyon’s excommunication impacted his living situation with Sylvia Sessions [Lyon].

When questioned later by those trying to prove Joseph had never taught plural marriage, women who had covenanted with Joseph tried to explain that the conditions had not been right, that they had been nervous. Given that modern science has proven that even the anxiety associated with being raped does not inhibit conception, it seems unlikely that the “nervous” explanation is credible.

We have a lack of the fruit we would expect had sex been the activity. Though largely forgotten, we have a rich history of amazing spiritual works that were the fruit particularly of those women who had covenanted with Joseph Smith. These women then taught the rest of the female Mormon community. As no children can be proved to have been engendered by Joseph with anyone other than Emma Hale [Smith], it is reasonable to suggest that Joseph was teaching these women rather than having sex with them during the times we know of him spending time alone with them.

Ministering Angel

By the end of 1843, the requirement for Emma to grant Joseph ten virgins had been more than filled, even discounting the ladies Emma evicted from her home.

In November 1843, Joseph and Brigham were conversing with Brigham’s sister, Fanny, an older widow. In response to the discussion about the need for Celestial marriage, Fanny said:

“Now, don’t talk to me; when I get into the celestial kingdom, if I ever get there, I shall request the privilege of being a ministering angel; that is the labor I wish to perform. I don’t want any companion in that world; and if the Lord will make me a ministering angel, it is all I want.”

Joseph replied, “Sister, you talk very foolishly, you do not know what you will want.” Fanny agreed to be sealed to Joseph, with Brigham Young officiating. Shortly thereafter, Joseph reportedly became violently ill after eating dinner. Joseph suggested Emma had poisoned his food, possibly influenced by a dream Desdemona Fullmer had of Emma poisoning her. Emma vehemently denied she had done any such thing.

Some have suggested this episode ended Joseph’s career of covenating with women. Yet Ruth Vose [Sayers] was likely sealed to Joseph Smith in February 1844, and there are other women known to have covenanted with Joseph who may have been sealed to him in the last months of his life. It seems there might have been a fourth wave of ceremonies, where Joseph stood as Celestial husband purely so a woman could receive the blessings of accepting the New and Everlasting Covenant. This was the nature of Joseph’s covenant with Fanny Young. With Ruth, her unbelieving husband agreed to let the ceremony occur to make Rose happy.

Though Joseph covenanted with dozens of women, there was one more woman I wish had covenanted with Joseph. It is heartbreaking to see how close she came to becoming part of Joseph’s covenant family during his lifetime, given the factors that prevented her from being sealed to him after his death.

Those Virtuous and Pure – Notes

Emma had been commanded to receive those virgins “virtuous and pure” the Lord had given to Joseph. The revelation stated Joseph would not sin if he had ten virgins given to him under the law. In the year after Joseph’s death, nine of the many women who had covenanted with Joseph accepted one of the surviving apostles as levirate husband.

It is presumed that Emma rejected this commandment, sending the Partridges and Eliza Snow away from Joseph. However an evaluation of the details of fall 1843 suggests fear, rather than jealousy, drove Emma’s actions. Teenager Orange Wight had made comments suggesting the network of Strikers was still in place and more extensive than Emma and Joseph had known.

Despite the large number of women covenating with Joseph, there appear to have been no pregnancies caused by Joseph, other than the pregnancies of Emma Hale [Smith]. However we do see that the women who had covenanted with Joseph would subsequently fill prominent leadership roles, subordinate only to the later prophets of the Church.

It is proposed Joseph’s 1843 covenant with Fanny Young was his last plural marriage. But Joseph may have subsequently married other women.
In the 1820s a little free Black girl was taken into the Connecticut household of Joseph and Dorinda Fitch, to be a companion to their daughter, Caroline. This little Black girl was Jane Manning, whose father had died.

In early 1841, when Caroline was fourteen, Jane joined the Presbyterian Church:

“...yet I did not feel satisfied. It seemed to me there was something more that I was looking for. I had belonged to the Presbyterian Church about eighteen months when an Elder of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints, who was traveling through our country, preached there. The pastor of the Presbyterian Church forbade me going to hear them as he had heard I had expressed a desire to hear them; nevertheless I went on a Sunday and was fully convinced that it was the true gospel he presented and I must embrace it.

“The following Sunday I was baptized and confirmed a member of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints.”

After Jane's baptism, she shared the gospel with her family. Many were baptized, including Jane’s mother, Eliza, and siblings Isaac Lewis, Peter, Sarah [Stebbins] and Angeline. The spouses of her brother Peter and her sister Sarah were also baptized. In the fall of 1843 the LDS converts in the extended Manning family decided to travel to Nauvoo.

“We started from Wilton, Connecticut, and traveled by canal to Buffalo, New York. We were to go to Columbus, Ohio before our fares were to be collected, but they insisted on having the money at Buffalo and would not take us farther. So we left the boat and started on foot to travel a distance of over eight hundred miles.

“We walked until our shoes were worn out, and our feet became sore and cracked open and bled until you could see the whole print of our feet with blood on the ground. We stopped and united in prayer to the Lord; we asked God the Eternal Father to heal our feet. Our prayers were answered and our feet were healed forthwith.

“When we arrived at Peoria, Illinois, the authorities threatened to put us in jail to get our free papers. We didn’t know at first what he meant, for we had never been slaves, but he concluded to let us go. So we traveled on until we came to a river, and as there was no bridge, we walked right into the stream. When we got to the middle, the water was up to our necks but we got safely across. Then it became so dark we could hardly see our hands before us, but we could see a light in the distance, so we went toward it. We found it was an old Log Cabin. Here we spent the night. The next day we walked for a considerable distance, and stayed that night in a forest out in the open air.

“The frost fell on us so heavy, it was like a light fall of snow. We arose early and started on our way walking through that frost with our bare feet, until the sun rose and melted it away. But we went on our way rejoicing, singing hymns, and thanking God for his infinite goodness and mercy to us—in blessing us as he had, protecting us from all harm, answering our prayers, and healing our feet.

“In course of time, we arrived at La Harpe, Illinois—about thirty miles from Nauvoo. At La Harpe, we came to a place where there was a very sick child. We administered to it, and the child was healed. I found after that the elders had before this given it up, as they did not think it could live.

“We had now arrived to our destined haven of rest: the beautiful Nauvoo! Here we went through all kinds of hardship, trial and rebuff, but we at last got to Brother Orson Spencer’s. He directed us to the
Prophet Joseph Smith’s mansion. When we found it, Sister Emma was standing in the door, and she kindly said, ‘Come in, come in!’”

Jane Welcomed into the Smith Household

Joseph and Emma welcomed the Manning party into the Mansion House in November 1843. The first order of business was to find room for the weary travelers. Jane says Joseph asked some “white sisters that was present” to share their room with the six Black women and three Black men in the party. These sisters were likely Sarah and Maria Lawrence.

Next, Joseph sat the party down, introduced them to Emma and Dr. Bernhisel, then asked them to tell of their travels. When Jane was done telling their story, Brother Joseph slapped Dr. Bernhisel on the knee and said, “What do you think of that, Dr.? Isn’t that faith?”

The Dr. said, “Well I rather think it is. If it had have been me, I fear I should have backed out and returned to my home!”

Jane and her family stayed in the Mansion House for a week, by which time all but Jane had secured work and homes. The folks of Nauvoo may have hesitated to take Jane in because she was an unwed mother. Jane’s plight was also dire as her luggage had been lost during the trip. She wrote:

“On the morning that my folks all left to go to work, I looked at myself—clothed in the only two pieces I possessed—and I sat down and wept.

“Brother Joseph came into the room as usual, and said, ‘Good morning. Why—not crying, [are you]?’

“Yes sir. The folks have all gone and got themselves homes and I have got none.’

“He said, ‘Yes you have. You have a home right here, if you want it. You mustn’t cry; we dry up all tears here.’

“I said, ‘I have lost my trunk and all my clothes.’

“He asked how I had lost them. I told him I put them in care of Charles Wesley Wandell and paid him for them and he has lost them.

“Brother Joseph said, ‘Don’t cry. You shall have your trunk and clothes again.’ Brother Joseph went out and brought Sister Emma in and said, ‘Sister Emma, here is a girl that says she has no home. Haven’t you a home for her?’

“‘Why yes, if she wants one.’

“He said, ‘She does.’ And then he left us.

“‘Sister Emma said, ‘What can you do?’

“I said, ‘I can wash, iron, cook, and do housework.’ ‘Well,’ she said, ‘when you are rested, you may do the washing, if you would just as soon do that.’

“I said, ‘I am not tired.’

“‘Well,’ she said, ‘you may commence your work in the morning.’”

Jane’s duties eventually expanded to include cooking. Sarah Holmes was about five years old and a constant companion of the Smith children when Jane joined the Smith household. Sarah’s record recalled the happy evenings when Emma would be about in the city with Eliza Snow on Relief Society business. Elvira Cowles [Holmes] would be at the Mansion House with the children, telling them stories. And the children would sneak cookies from the Black cook.

In 1897 at the Jubilee celebration of Brigham Young’s arrival in Utah, Sarah Holmes [Weaver] reunited with Jane. Sarah’s account describes Jane looking at her, now a great-grandmother, and saying, “Are you the little girl who used to steal my cookies!”

Jane and the Covenant

At some point the Lawrence sisters took Jane with them on a visit to the Partridge sisters, who had moved out of the Mansion House a few weeks before Jane’s arrival. Jane recounts:

“Brother Joseph’s four wives, Emily Partridge, Eliza Partridge, Maria and Sarah Lawrence, and myself, were sitting discussing Mormonism and Sarah said, ‘What would you think if a man had more wives than one?’

“I said, ‘That is all right!’
“Maria said, ‘Well, we are all four Brother Joseph’s wives.’”

“I jumped up and clapped my hands and said, ‘That’s good.’

“Sarah said, ‘She is all right; she believes it all now.’” 12

Jane also recounted finding Joseph’s ceremonial robes once when taking clothes to the basement to wash. “I looked at them and wondered—as I had never seen any before—and I pondered over them and thought about them so earnestly that the spirit made manifest to me that they pertained to the new name that is given the saints that the world knows not of.”

By the time Jane joined the Smith Household, the written revelation had indicated that Emma was to be the one who determined which women were to covenant with Joseph. We do not have any records from the women who covenanted with Joseph after July 1843 indicating that Emma approached the woman. The case of Fanny Young indicates Joseph did not always wait for Emma. However in other cases there is silence, rather than an active denial of Emma’s possible role, assigned by revelation.

In the account of Jane, we see a shadow of what Emma’s actions might have been for Joseph’s wives such as Malissa Lott. However Emma, for some reason, did not ask Jane to be one of Joseph’s wives. Jane explained:

“Sister Emma asked me one day if I would like to be adopted to them as their child. I did not answer her. She said, ‘I will wait awhile and let you consider it.’ She waited two weeks before she asked me again. When she did, I told her, ‘No Ma’am,’ because I did not understand or know what it meant. They were always good and kind to me but I did not know my own mind; I did not comprehend.” 13

Jane may have been willing to covenant to be Joseph’s Celestial bride, based on her reported discussion with the Partridge and Lawrence sisters. But Jane had her own parents: Eliza and the departed Isaac. She could only have been adopted to Joseph and Emma by displacing her own parents.

Emma’s offer to adopt Jane appears to be the first time a sealing between a parent figure and a child was offered. This was an ordinance that Joseph had felt was too sacred to perform outside a temple.

For Emma, sealing Jane to Joseph and herself as a daughter may have been less daunting than offering Jane to Joseph as a wife. If Joseph risked death by covenenting with White women, what danger would Emma have anticipated if he were known to have covenanted with a Black woman?

Another factor in Emma’s thinking may have been the fact that Jane’s eight-year-old son, Sylvester, had been conceived as a result of rape. 14 Emma and Joseph likely did not believe in contagion and thus would not have been concerned about venereal disease or infection. Yet there still might have been a question regarding whether Jane should be considered pure.

On the other hand, others with whom Joseph entered into covenant may have previously been raped, as reported in the case of Eliza Snow. Therefore, it is not likely that Emma offered adoption rather than marriage primarily due to the attack Jane had suffered.

Lost Opportunity

With enough time, the matter might have been reopened. Jane might have had a chance to reconsider the offer of being sealed to Joseph, whether as daughter or as wife.

But there was no more time.

Soon after Emma’s offer that Jane be sealed to Joseph, the Nauvoo Expositor appeared, was destroyed, and martial law was put in place. Jane reports that the Mansion House was “broken up,” with the previous inhabitants sent to other homes for protection.

Jane left the Smith household and moved in with her mother. As the threat of occupation by hostile forces increased, Jane suggested that she and her single sister, Angeline, leave town. Mobs had inflicted terrible violence on White Mormon women in the past. Jane and Angeline may well have feared the violence they might be subjected to would be worse. They took refuge in Burlington, 15 nearly 30 miles northeast of Nauvoo in Iowa Territory, a free territory due to the Missouri Compromise of 1820. Born free, the sisters had no papers that would prove they were not escaped slaves.

Jane was gone from Nauvoo for three weeks. When she returned, Joseph was dead. She wrote:

“When he was killed, I liked to a died myself.” 16
After Joseph’s death, Jane joined the household of Brigham Young. It was here that Jane met and married Isaac James, a Black man who had joined the Church in 1839.

As the years progressed, we see Jane socializing with the circle of women who had been Joseph’s wives. In particular, we have a story recounting a time Jane brought Eliza Partridge [Smith Lyman] two pounds of desperately needed flour when Eliza’s husband, Amasa Lyman, was gone on a mission.

The Priesthood Ban and Jane’s Request

Unrelated to Jane, a scandal arose involving one William McCary. William was a mulatto who claimed Indian heritage. After his baptism, he was welcomed into the community of saints in Winters Quarters. McCary was initially seen as a good brother, with fine musical talent and charisma. He wed Lucy Stanton, daughter of a former High Councilor and President of the Quincy, Illinois Stake.

In time it was discovered that William was engaging in a number of unorthodox activities. William claimed he had the power of prophesy and transfiguration, in particular claiming he had the power to appear as various biblical and Book of Mormon figures. He had also been “sealing” himself to women, an unauthorized ceremony unlike any plural marriage sanctioned by the Church. According to Nelson Whipple, president of the branch in Springville, Iowa, McCary would “seal” a woman by engaging in sexual intercourse with her three times in one day while his wife, Lucy, watched. 17

On April 25, 1847, Parley P. Pratt chastised the Saints in Winter Quarters for following “a new thing” led by a “black man who has got the blood of Ham in him which lineage [sic] was cursed as regards the priesthood.” Those studying the history of the long-term policy in the LDS Church denying Black men access to priesthood between 1852 and 1978 note this sermon as the first recorded connection between race and priesthood by one of the top leaders of the Church.

This experience involving marriage between a Black individual and White individual(s) did not go well. Lacking the reality of a familial bond between Joseph Smith and Jane Manning, the idea emerged that the blood of Ham, believed to be the father of Africans, 18 was a cursed lineage. Aside from the behavior of William McCary, many Christians believed “mixing the races” was a terrible idea. This revulsion was expressed by local Mormon leader,

William Appleby, when he found that Black convert, Enoch Lewis, had married White convert, Mary Matilda Webster. 19

As various converts from the South began to arrive in Utah, Brigham Young had to determine how to deal with their ownership of Black individuals. Whenever slaves were donated to the Church, Brigham would free them. However Brigham did not force slave owners to emancipate their slaves. 18 The Compromise of 1850 brought California into the Union as a free state and declared that the issue of slavery would be decided by “popular sovereignty” in the Utah and New Mexico territories created on the lands Brigham had designated Deseret. 21

In January of 1852, the Utah Territorial Legislature deliberated over the matter of legalizing the claims of slave owners living in Utah. During the deliberations, Orson Pratt vehemently decried the practice of slavery, stating God did not authorize the buying and selling of the African race. He predicted legalizing slavery in Utah would bring condemnation from the United States and abroad and would hamper missionary work, stating “for us to bind the African because he is different from us in color is enough to cause the angels in heaven to blush.” 22

Despite opposition to slavery, the Utah Legislature legalized slavery by passing the Act in Relation to Servitude on February 4, 1852. Brigham Young addressed the Legislature the following day. The original Pittman shorthand notes give the February date, showing Brigham was reacting to the legalization of slavery rather than giving an address in January providing direction, as has long been believed. During Brigham’s remarks, he gave his opinion that if Blacks were to be granted the priesthood, the priesthood would be taken from the Church. 23

A few months after legalization of slavery in Utah Territory and Brigham’s remarks, the doctrines of plural marriage and proxy sealings were made public.

Enoch Lewis’s father, Walker Lewis, knew that the window for Blacks to enter into Celestial covenants was closing quickly. Walker Lewis was one of only two Black men who had been ordained to the priesthood who were still deemed righteous. In an attempt to establish the fact of Celestial sealing ordinances involving a Black man and a Black woman, Walker approached Jane in 1852 and asked if she would be sealed to him as an eternal wife. Jane’s husband had never been ordained to the priesthood, which was considered a necessary prerequisite to Celestial or temple marriage. Jane refused Enoch’s offer, likely because she wished to remain the mortal wife of Isaac James,
With plural marriage a publicly acknowledged practice, a Jane sealed to Enoch Lewis would have been expected to become the mortal wife of the righteous man to whom she was sealed.

Yet Jane did decide she wished to be sealed to Joseph and Emma Smith as a daughter. We do not know what Jane might have said on the matter to Brigham Young, in whose household she had lived after Joseph’s death. Perhaps Brigham suggested that Emma was still alive, making it improper to perform the requested sealing without Emma’s participation.

After Emma’s death, Jane wrote to the new President of the Church, John Taylor, requesting the sealing be performed. By this time the policy denying Blacks access to the temple and its blessings was firmly in place. Undaunted, Jane continued her requests. Finally Joseph F. Smith proposed an alternative.

Emma was pariah, having refused to gather to Utah, and similarly having failed to teach her sons about their father’s legacy with respect to Celestial marriage and its allowance for plural marriage. Further, Joseph F. Smith had a long-held animosity towards Emma for her cavalier treatment of his father’s remains. He recalled the trauma as a young child going to visit his father’s secret burial place, only to find a rough hole, with the exposed skull of his uncle. Joseph F. Smith’s mother, who had found the four men reburying Joseph and Hyrum per Emma’s instructions, had similarly felt Emma’s actions were high handed and uncalled for.

It is doubtful Joseph F. Smith would have wanted to seal anyone to Emma. It is unlikely he would allow the faithful Jane Manning to be eternally adopted to a woman he despised, even had the priesthood ban not been in place. Further, sealings of “adoption” had fallen out of favor. In the General Conference of April 1894, President Wilford Woodruff announced the end of adoption sealings where the persons were not legally related to one another.

However Joseph F. Smith did remember Jane as a servant in the Smith home, the happy days when Jane would bake cookies and wash the laundry for the Smiths. He may have been one of the children partaking of the fresh-baked cookies Sarah Holmes would steal from Jane’s kitchen.

And so Joseph F. Smith proposed that he could arrange for Jane to be sealed to Joseph and Emma as their servant. This would allow Jane to have unquestioned access to the people she had loved in life. The ceremony was performed in May 1894, weeks after President Wilford Woodruff ended Brigham Young’s tenure as President of the Church, a respect and regard echoed in Jane’s Deseret News obituary: “few persons were more noted for faith and faithfulness [than] was Jane Manning James, and so of the humble of the earth she numbered friends and acquaintances by the hundreds. Many persons will regret to learn that the kind and generous soul has passed from the earth.”

**Had Jane been Wife**

What if Emma had asked Jane to covenant to Joseph as a spouse, rather than as a daughter? Jane already knew plural marriage was a possibility, based on the conversation with the Partridge and Lawrence sisters about their status as Joseph’s wives. There is no reason to think she would have hesitated if asked to covenant to be Joseph’s wife in eternity.

With Jane a member of the quorum of Joseph’s ceremonial wives, she would have almost certainly been sealed to Joseph in the temple. An important Church leader would have stood proxy, and Brigham Young would have been involved in some manner.

When William McCary engaged in inappropriate sexual relations with White women, there would have already been the example of Joseph having married a Black woman, a woman who might well have ended up married to Brigham Young. William McCary’s actions would still have been considered worthy of excommunication, but it would not have come down to a matter of mixing races as the objection.

Later, a Brigham Young who knew Jane had been married to Joseph Smith would have been hard-pressed to put in place the policies he did regarding Blacks. Brigham would still have needed to put certain policies in place in response to the Compromise of 1850, which failed to outlaw slavery in Utah territory. But the fact of a covenant between Joseph Smith and Jane...
would have set an example that would have prohibited those policies from being mistaken for doctrine.

In science, the butterfly effect is “the sensitive dependency on initial conditions in which a small change at one place in a deterministic nonlinear system can result in large differences in a later state.”

In the convoluted history of Blacks and the Mormon Church, the interaction between Emma and Jane is one such butterfly. By a small change, either Jane accepting the offer of being made a daughter, or Emma offering Jane the privilege of becoming Joseph’s covenant wife, a pattern of undeniable inclusion of a Black individual in the highest LDS ordinances would have been set during Joseph’s life.

To this alternate possible history where Jane had entered into covenant with Joseph, I could wish for an alternate history where the illicit intercourse scandal of 1841-1842 never occurred. Had it not been for Bennett’s perfidy, it is not certain Joseph would have felt it necessary to covenant with anyone after his April 1841 covenant with Louisa Beaman. Without the scandal, Joseph Smith might have enjoyed a natural life span. Joseph would have had decades to expound on the implications of the New and Everlasting Covenant and explain his covenants with Fanny Alger and Louisa Beaman.

Perhaps easier to imagine is a John C. Bennett who, though fallen and evicted, returned to openly deny all his false charges. This penitent Bennett could have had a place with the Saints in the west, able to powerfully deny all the lies he had previously spread.

If Joseph had lived longer, Bennett might have been able to return. As with Jane, the record contains tantalizing hints of an inclusive, redemptive past Mormonism might have had.

Daughter of Promise – Notes

Jane Manning was a free Black woman who lived in the Smith household during the winter of 1843/1844. Emma asked Jane to be sealed to Joseph and herself as an adopted daughter. Not understanding what this might mean, Jane refused.

Later Jane did desire to be sealed to Joseph and Emma. But events had occurred that led to a ban on allowing Blacks the blessing of temple sealing. Jane was eventually allowed to be sealed to the Smiths as a servant.

23 – The Prodigal Returns

In June 1842 Dr. John C. Bennett left Nauvoo, thoroughly angry and vengeful. He had been fired as mayor, evicted from the Church, outed as a sexual predator, and thwarted at every turn by Joseph Smith and the newly-formed Relief Society.

Few realize that Bennett returned and met with Joseph in December 1843. Even though neither man recorded the details of their meeting, the record suggests Bennett was willing to repent.

The Hasidic Parable

Bennett had told terrible tales about Joseph. However individuals have long spoken ill of good leaders. An ancient Hasidic parable explores this.

Once there was a man who spoke evil of the rabbi. The rabbi had done no wrong, but the man’s tales brought him fame.

Much later, the man realized the harm he had done. Weighed down with guilt for his great crime, the man returned to the rabbi to make amends. He openly admitted his wrong and offered generous payment.
The rabbi looked at the money on the table with sorrow. The rabbi picked up a pillow, stuffed to bursting with fine goose down. Curious, the man followed the rabbi outside.

Without a word, the rabbi took the pillow in his hands and ripped the ticking apart. The man cried out as the valuable feathers scattered in the wind.

The rabbit somberly turned to the man. “My friend, please bring me the feathers, and we will repair the pillow.” The rabbi hugged the man, and the man saw tears glistening on the rabbit’s cheeks. The rabbit slowly walked back to his cottage.

The man turned to his task. He quickly gathered several handfuls of the white down, and began to hope. But as the sun fell low in the sky, clouds gathered on the horizon. Rain began to fall. The man hurried back to the rabbit’s cottage with the precious few feathers he had been able to recover.

Wordlessly, the man offered the feathers to the rabbit. Carefully, the rabbit placed the gathered feathers into the ticking. The rabbit stitched the ticking back together, and the man saw that the repaired pillow was a flat parody of its original form.

The rabbit gave the man the thin, lumpy pillow. “Now, go, and attempt to gather back all the words you have spread.”

The History of the Saints

Weeks after leaving Nauvoo, Bennett produced a book titled History of the Saints. The book largely consisted of testimonials Bennett had collected during his career, combined with a variety of fantastic tales of evil allegedly perpetrated by “the Saints.”

Bennett asked Stephen A. Douglas to help Bennett formalize the divorce from his estranged first wife, Mary Barker [Bennett]. This may have been intended to keep inconvenient truths from disrupting Bennett’s campaign against Smith.

For nearly a year after Bennett left Nauvoo, he traveled America. In each location, Bennett booked halls so people could pay to hear tales of the supposed evils of Joseph Smith and his band of Mormons. Bennett used these events to sell his book.

After a year Bennett’s ability to attract crowds was waning. Bennett’s talk about the Mormons was old news. It also appears communities no longer wished their citizens to be exposed to tales of lurid sexuality. When Bennett attempted to speak against Mormonism at the stage barns in Fort Des Moines in Iowa, men with firearms persuaded Bennett to cease sales of his anti-Mormon book, History of the Saints.

Hinkle, the Traitor

George M. Hinkle had been an early member of the Mormon Church. But in 1838 Missouri, Hinkle had turned against Joseph Smith. Knowing the leaders of the Missouri militia intended violence, Hinkle betrayed Joseph into their hands. Hinkle proceeded to confiscate Joseph Smith’s property, confident that General Lucas would ensure Joseph was killed.

In the fall of 1843, Bennett decided to visit the Hinklites. Perhaps Bennett saw in Hinkle some kind of kindred spirit. Hinkle might have been able to reveal history that could revive Bennett’s own claims of injustice.

We do not know exactly what transpired during Bennett’s visit with Hinkle. But it is while Bennett was with the Hinklites in Moscow, Iowa, that his writings for the first time reflect a correct, if still hostile, understanding of Joseph’s practice of plural marriage. On October 28, 1843, Bennett wrote about the:

“The Doctrine of Marrying for Eternity... They must marry in time so as to begin to form that sincere attachment and unsophisticated affection which it is so necessary to consummate in eternity in order to [realize] the peace of Heaven... a man may select as many wives for eternity as his devotion to the interests of the Mormon Church will entitle him—and this is to be determined by revelation through His Holiness, the Prophet.”

There had been rumors circulating in Kirtland about Joseph Smith and Fanny Alger. So it is possible Hinkle was the sole source of Bennett’s new information about the ‘Doctrine of Marrying for Eternity.’ However it seems more likely that someone from Nauvoo was in contact with Bennett, someone who had read the revelation about Celestial Marriage (D&C 132).
Return to Nauvoo

For whatever reason, Bennett left Hinkle and traveled back to Nauvoo, arriving in early December, 1843. Bennett would never speak of the visit, nor did anyone else describe what happened. The only historical trace is an entry in Joseph Smith’s Daybook from the Red Brick Store in Nauvoo.

For some reason, Bennett handed Joseph $117. At $0.10 to $0.25 per head at Bennett’s lectures, this represented the net profit from over a thousand lecture tickets. In the Daybook, Joseph quietly attributed it as back-payment of rent for 39 weeks’ lodging at the homestead. Of note, it is only after the visit of Dr. Bennett in 1843 that we see Joseph and Hyrum openly accuse William Law of adultery.

It could be Bennett had returned in an attempt to make amends, as seen in the Hasidic parable. If so, Bennett’s actions following this December 1843 visit could be read as an attempt to repent and set the record straight.

Grasping for Feathers

Following Bennett’s visit with Joseph in Nauvoo, Bennett traveled to Boston. As he had done at the beginning of his tours defaming Joseph, Bennett booked Marlboro Chapel for the purpose of delivering a lecture. A report of the lecture was published April 10, 1844.

When Bennett took the stage, he began by describing his own sins. Bennett’s confession of wrong was then followed by a complaint that Mormons themselves were similarly guilty, and that Bennett should not have been the one at whom the first stone was cast.

Bennett was not lying here. As had been explained to the Relief Society, it had been policy to avoid exposing the sins of the guilty. Other Mormons who may have been similarly guilty included Justus Morse, John Higbee, Bishop Knight, Francis and Chauncey Higbee, and William Smith.

The Saints in the Boston area at the time likely attended Bennett’s lecture to rebut falsehood, as Mormons had done throughout Bennett’s earlier lecture series. William Smith was President of the Eastern Branches at the time, but was himself at Nauvoo in April, 1844. However there may have been other Mormons in attendance who knew how damning it would be if Bennett were permitted to name names.

Before Bennett could continue, the crowd turned on him. They pelted him with rotten eggs, rocks, and vegetables. Bennett retreated and fled the building. The “vast assemblage” chased him through the streets of Boston, running over several Boston police officers in the process. Bennett could easily have been killed that night, had the police not intervened.

The Boston attack ended Bennett’s possible attempt to correct the slanders he had spread throughout the nation in 1842-1843.

Return to the Saints

Days before Joseph’s death, an unidentified “Major-General in the Illinois militia” wrote to Bennett on June 19, 1844. The letter pleaded “we need you very much in your military capacity throughout the campaign… things will come to a crisis in about eight to ten days…”

It is not known whether Bennett responded to the letter. However the Church leaders who followed Joseph Smith believed Bennett had in some way been involved. In eight days, Joseph Smith was dead. With Joseph’s death, the only man who might have vouched for Bennett’s possible intended repentance was gone.

Joseph had once upon a time blessed Bennett, promising rewards and great glory had he accepted counsel and stood by Joseph:

Again, let my servant John C. Bennett help you in your labor in sending my word to the kings and people of the earth, and stand by you, even you my servant Joseph Smith, in the hour of affliction; and his reward shall not fail if he receive counsel.

And for his love he shall be great, for he shall be mine if he do this, saith the Lord. I have seen the work which he hath done, which I accept if he continue, and will crown him with blessings and great glory.

But the moment was lost. Bennett had absented himself. During that absence, the mantle was conferred on Brigham Young and the other apostles. Bennett would return to Nauvoo, but Brigham Young wanted nothing to do with him.
The Prodigal Returns – Notes

After leaving Nauvoo, Dr. Bennett wrote a book, *History of the Saints*. Bennett proceeded to canvas the United States, selling his book and regaling all willing to pay with lurid tales of seduction.

In October 1843, Bennett wrote of the “Doctrine of Marrying for Eternity.” The article was still hostile, but marks the first time Bennett conveys an accurate understanding of Joseph Smith’s doctrine. In December, Dr. Bennett returned to Nauvoo and gave Joseph $117, the profits from over a thousand tickets to Bennett’s lectures.

In the spring, Bennett attempted a lecture in Boston, confessing his sins. Before he could proceed, he was mobbed, ending a possible attempt to take back his lies. When Bennett returned to Nauvoo, Joseph was dead.

24 – Conferring the Mantle

In July 1843, Joseph Smith recorded a revelation regarding plural marriage. Critics would focus on the mention of ten virgins, criticism of Emma, and impunity for wrongs short of murder. But the revelation forecasts Joseph’s impending death:

> Behold, I [Jesus Christ] have seen your sacrifices, and will forgive all your sins; I have seen your sacrifices in obedience to that which I have told you. Go, therefore, and I make a way for your escape, as I accepted the offering of Abraham of his son Isaac.

> Let no one, therefore, set on my servant Joseph; for I will justify him; for he shall do the sacrifice which I require at his hands for his transgressions, saith the Lord your God. ¹

> What had been Joseph’s transgressions? What was this escape Joseph was offered? And what was the sacrifice God required at Joseph’s hands?

> Many hold that Joseph transgressed in marrying so many women, with the assumption that the marriages involved sexual coercion. However that narrative is inconsistent with the language of the revelation (D&C 132).

> An alternate view is that Joseph transgressed by putting his wife above God. His escape could have been establishing Celestial marriage without being forced to act in a way that would break Emma’s heart. But the sacrifice required would be his death.
Beginning of Troubles

In the fall of 1843, Hyrum Smith lent William and Jane Law the revelation on Celestial marriage. Hyrum likely also shared the good news that William and Jane could be united as husband and wife for all eternity. 2

Law claimed years later that Joseph met with him and confirmed "he had several wives sealed to him, and that they afforded him a great deal of pleasure… [but] Emma had annoyed him very much about it." 3 However the events of 1844 make anything William Law might subsequently say about Joseph suspect.

It appears William and Jane initially wished to be sealed. But Joseph apparently became informed William was not worthy, that Law had been guilty of adultery. 4 Joseph may have learned of this through revelation, but the timing suggests the possibility his informant could have been Dr. Bennett. In any case, William had been an aide-de-camp in the Nauvoo Legion along with others known to have engaged in illicit intercourse, such as Chauncey Higbee and Jacob Backenstos. 5

Joseph told the Laws he would not perform the requested sealing. When Jane Law asked why she could not be sealed to her husband, Joseph refused to tell her it was because of her husband’s adultery.

Later Jane came to Joseph. Embracing him, she said, “if you wont seal me to my husband Seal myself unto you.” Joseph gently pushed her away and refused to perform the sealing. 6 This account is similar to Ruth Vose Sayers’s request to be sealed to Joseph, when her husband refused to believe in marriage in eternity. However, William Law would not have willingly allowed his wife to covenant with Joseph for eternity.

William Law wrote in his diary months later, characterizing the encounter between Joseph and Jane as attempted adultery. He wrote Joseph had “lately endeavored to seduce my wife and [has] found her a virtuous woman.” 7

By the end of December, William Law failed to attend a meeting of the Quorum of the Anointed. This group of men and women had received the ordinance of the endowment. A week later, Law became the first endowed individual to be dropped from the Quorum of the Anointed. 8

The next day, January 8, 1844, Joseph informed William Law that he was no longer a member of the Quorum of the Anointed and was no longer a member of the First Presidency.

Shocked, Law argued that the procedure used to drop him as a member of the First Presidency was incorrect. Reconciliations were attempted for months. At Law’s request, his case was tried a second time in April 1844. Not only was the decision to remove Law from the First Presidency upheld, Law was ultimately excommunicated on grounds of apostasy.

The Conspiracy of Nauvoo

After being dropped from the Quorum of the Anointed in January 1844, William Law reached out to those of his former colleagues in positions of Church leadership and members of the Nauvoo Legion. William Law argued Joseph had to be deposed to preserve the purity of the Church.

The key conspirators were William and Wilson Law, Austin Cowles, Francis and Chauncey Higbee, Robert and Charles Foster, John A. Hicks 9 and his brother, and two merchants named Finche and Rollinson. 10 The conspirators sought to enlist others who were also disaffected to join them in the conspiracy. A series of meetings would be held to commit the conspirators to action.

Austin Cowles approached 19-year-old Dennison Lott Harris, 11 nephew of Martin Harris. Cowles asked Dennison to invite his father, Emer Harris, to the initial meeting as well. The Harris family, like Austin, had been staunch believers. But Martin Harris had been excommunicated in 1837.

Soon Dennison discovered that his good friend, 20-year-old Robert Scott, had also been invited to the meeting. Robert Scott, born to Irish parents, had known the Irish William Law since his infancy in Ontario, Canada. Robert Scott had often stayed in the home of William Law.

Emer reported the matter to Joseph, who counseled the older man to avoid the meeting. But Joseph asked that the young men attend the meeting, pay strict attention to what was said, make no commitments, and report the entire matter back to him.

In the first meeting, the conspirators spent a lot of time organizing themselves, denouncing Joseph as a fallen prophet and discussing how Joseph could be overthrown.
By the end of the second meeting, the conspirators claimed Joseph would have to be killed. Concerned for his young informants, Joseph said he hoped they would be protected by their youth. Even so, he advised:

“Don’t flinch. If you have to die, die like men, you will be martyrs to the cause, and your crowns can be no greater.”

Those who attended the third meeting were required to swear a solemn oath to destroy Joseph Smith. Robert and Dennison reportedly refused, saying they were unwilling to participate in killing Joseph.

They were told, “If you do not take that oath, we will cut your throats.” The young men were forced to the cellar and again told to take the oath or die. They refused.

Then someone cried out, “Hold on!” Someone suggested the boys’ families might know enough to make accusations. Robert and Dennison were threatened with certain death if they ever revealed what had transpired in the meetings or who had participated. With that, they were escorted away from the home of William Law.

Approaching the river, the young men saw Joseph Smith and John Scott waiting in a skiff. After running down river to a secluded location, Robert and Dennison made their report. At least 200 men had signed the oath.

Joseph spoke, denying the charge he was a false prophet or had gotten revelations from the devil. He affirmed that he was under commandment to accept and introduce and practice Celestial and plural marriage. If he did not do so, Joseph insisted, he and the Mormon people would be damned and cut off. He acknowledged the threat he would be killed. But if the choice was between death and damnation, Joseph would choose death.

Before letting the young men go, Joseph counseled them not to speak of this to anyone for 20 years or more. Decades later Dennison told the tale to Brigham Young, who said the story clarified matters he had never understood before. In 1884 Dennison related the story to Horace Cummins. Horace wrote the story down, conferring with John Taylor. Like Brigham before him, John Taylor both confirmed aspects of the story and admitted the tale answered questions he had had about those final days of Joseph’s life in Nauvoo.

During the tension leading up to William Law’s excommunication on grounds of apostasy, it became clear that only one group of individuals could be trusted to follow Joseph’s lead. Most in the Quorum of the Twelve had come together to combat John C. Bennett’s teachings of illicit intercourse. The leading apostles had fully accepted the doctrine of Celestial marriage, including the need for a plurality of wives in some situations. Brigham Young and Heber C. Kimball had been intimately involved in the investigation that uncovered John C. Bennett’s guilt. They had been actively involved in spreading a correct understanding of Celestial marriage and plural marriage.

During the late winter of 1844, Joseph turned to the Quorum of the Twelve Apostles. As Wilford Woodruff related:

Joseph “called the Twelve Apostles together in the City of Nauvoo, and spent many days with us in giving us our endowments, and teaching us those glorious principles which God had revealed to him. And upon one occasion he stood upon his feet in our midst for nearly three hours declaring unto us the great and last dispensation which God had set His hand to perform upon the earth in these last days. The room was filled as if with consuming fire; the Prophet was clothed upon with much of the power of God, and his face shone and was transparently clear, and he closed that speech, never-to-be-forgotten in time or in eternity, with the following language:

“Brethren, I have had great sorrow of heart for fear that I might be taken from the earth with the keys of the Kingdom of God upon me, without sealing them upon the heads of other men. God has sealed upon my head all the keys of the Kingdom of God necessary for organizing and building up of the Church, Zion, and Kingdom of God upon the earth, and to prepare the Saints for the coming of the Son of Man. Now, brethren, I thank God I have lived to see the day that I have been enabled to give you your endowments, and I have now sealed upon your heads all the powers of the Aaronic and Melchizedek Priesthoods and Apostleship, with all the keys and powers thereof, which God has sealed upon me; and I now roll off all the labor, burden and care of this Church and Kingdom of God upon your shoulders, and I now command you in the name of the Lord Jesus Christ to round up your shoulders, and bear off this Church and Kingdom of God before heaven and earth, and before God, angels and men; and if you don’t do it you will be damned.”

I Now Roll Off the Care of the Kingdom of God
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Meg Stout – Reluctant Polygamist
When Joseph had tried to create a written constitution for the Council, he said the Lord responded, “Ye are my Constitution and I am your God and ye are my spokesmen, therefore from henceforth keep my commandments.”

John Taylor said: “It is expected of us that [we] can act right—that our interests [are] bound up in the Kingdom of God. That we should consider we are not acting for ourselves, but we are the Spokesmen of God selected for that purpose in the interest of God and to bless and exalt all humanity. We acknowledge him as our God and all men who enter this body must acknowledge him here.”

Orson Pratt said, “In the Church we take the Law of God and his Priesthood as the Constitution of his Church—here in this Council we have a living constitution not a written one—which we must conform to.”

Conferring the Mantle – Notes

Joseph had feared he might be taken from the earth without sealing the keys of the Kingdom of God upon the heads of others. But by the spring of 1844, he had successfully rolled off the future leadership of the kingdom onto the proven shoulders of Brigham Young and the Quorum of the Twelve Apostles. If he died, the Church would not die with him.

In censuring William Law, Joseph had created an implacable enemy, willing to kill. Law and his followers claimed their anger was based on polygamy. Ironically, many of the leading conspirators were men who had engaged in illicit intercourse under John C. Bennett’s tutelage.

Hundreds of men now stood at the ready, to rise up and murder the man they had recently revered as a prophet of God.

Joseph was killed at Carthage, Illinois, on June 27, 1844.

I originally expected the discussion of Joseph’s death at Carthage would be a relatively boring recitation of the facts we all know. Then I read the original accounts from John Taylor, William Daniels, and William R. Hamilton.

We have not had enough data before to realize what happened at Carthage, because we have not known the identities of the vast number of individuals involved in illicit intercourse under the influence of John C. Bennett. It appears these ostensibly believing members of the Church were primarily responsible for the deaths of Joseph and Hyrum Smith.

The Deadly Dance

When I originally posted regarding the Council of the Kingdom and the Conspiracy of Nauvoo in June 2014 as part of the Faithful Joseph series, Jonathan Stapley pointed me to a 2010 paper in BYU Studies by Alexander L. Baugh and Richard N. Hozapfel. This more recent discussion of the Council of Fifty deprecated the sources I had used. The paper by Baugh and Hozapfel contain two items of particular interest.

First, in the meeting Joseph talked about his expectation that he might be killed and used almost the same language reported by Dennison Harris, that
the apostles might be called upon to die, and if so they should die like men. Second, Wilford Woodruff’s journal suggested this meeting had occurred on March 26. This indicated the conspirators’ Sunday night meetings likely occurred on March 17th, 24th, and 31st.  

With this insight into the dates of key events, it is possible to reconstruct the deadly dance between Joseph and the conspirators. The following table gives a refined timeline for Joseph’s interactions with the conspirators in the months leading up to his death.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>The Conspirators</th>
<th>Joseph Smith</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jan-Mar 1844</td>
<td>Recruited Dissidents</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb-Mar 1844</td>
<td>Recruited Dennison Harris and Robert Scott</td>
<td>Asked Dennison and Robert to be spies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 March</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Established the Council of Fifty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 March</td>
<td>Organized at home of William Law</td>
<td>Received report of sedition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 March</td>
<td>Decided Joseph and Hynum must be killed</td>
<td>Conferred keys on Apostles. Said they might be called upon to die, and if so they should die like men.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 March</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Advised Dennison and Robert that they may be killed, that if they were called to die, they should die as men. But Joseph thought their youth would protect them. The young men identified Law, Cowles, and the Higbee brothers among the leading conspirators.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 March</td>
<td>Held meeting where conspirators were required to swear an oath to kill Joseph</td>
<td>Joseph fined Augustine Spencer 7 for assault. He fined Charles and Robert Foster, and Chauncey Higbee for resisting authorities and for their threats.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 April 1844</td>
<td>Ordered press?</td>
<td>Excommunicated William Law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 April 1844</td>
<td>Augustine Spencer physically assaulted his brother over the estate of their deceased father. Co-conspirators Charles Foster and Chauncey Higbee came to the Mayor’s office to defend Augustine, drew guns and cried “they would be G–D–d if they would not shoot the Mayor.”</td>
<td>Joseph fined Augustine Spencer 7 for assault. He fined Charles and Robert Foster, and Chauncey Higbee for resisting authorities and for their threats.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Date The Conspirators Joseph Smith
1 May 1844 Francis Higbee sued Joseph Smith for the sum of Five Thousand dollars, intending to renew the accusation that Joseph attempted to seduce Nancy Rigdon. –
15 May 1844 – Published information about 1841 sexual sins of John C. Bennett and Francis Higbee (Francis had been Nancy Rigdon’s suitor).
May 1844 Augustin Spencer circulated a letter in the east accusing Joseph of drinking, swearing, carousing, dancing all night, &tc., and keeping six or seven young females as wives. –
18 May 1844 – Excommunicated Austin Cowles
29 May 1844 – Published information about sexual sins of John C. Bennett and Chauncey Higbee circa 1842
7 June 1844 Published the Nauvoo Expositor, with affidavits by Law and Cowles, accusing Joseph of seducing hundreds of women in Nauvoo –
10 June 1844 – Ordered destruction of the Nauvoo Expositor press
12 June 1844 Swore out warrant for Joseph’s arrest. Thomas Sharp published his editorial stating “We have no time for comment, every man will make his own. LET IT BE MADE WITH POWDER AND BALL!!!” –
18 June 1844 – Imposed martial law in Nauvoo
22 June 1844 Governor Ford demanded Joseph and Hymum go to Carthage to answer charges against them Attempted to flee to the west with Hynum. Was called back to Nauvoo by his friends.
24 June 1844 Augustine Spencer accused Joseph of treason, a capital crime that required Joseph be held in prison 8  Travalns from Nauvoo to Carthage, expecting to be gunned down along the way.
Joseph’s human intelligence into the dealings of the conspirators may have ended when Dennison and Robert left the third meeting of the conspirators, barely escaping with their lives when they refused to swear the required oath.

Yet the conspirators had not actually explained how they intended to kill Joseph. It is doubtful they planned anything so crude as shooting Joseph in the streets of Nauvoo in cold blood. Intelligent opposition forces develop a multi-pronged strategy where there are multiple avenues for “success.”

Analysis of Failed Attempts to Kill Joseph

If the conspirators wished to kill Joseph, it seems they would have wanted to examine the “failures” of prior attempts to make Joseph a dead man.

1) The first time people seriously tried to kill Joseph was the night of 24 March 1832. A group of men attacked the Johnson farm where Joseph was staying. It was dark, letting the men think they were anonymous. Ultimately they were unable to castrate and kill Joseph, though they left him partially scalped. *A mob, alone, had failed to get the job done.* The identities of the would-be killers were not as hidden as they had believed. Each carried a tin lamp, hand-pierced with a unique pattern. Joseph would have known exactly who had attacked him. Joseph declined to turn against his attackers.

2) In fall 1838 Joseph Smith was betrayed into the hands of the Missouri military forces. George Hinkle, William W. Phelps and the others who betrayed Joseph were fully aware that General Lucas intended to have Joseph killed. Had General Doniphan not refused to obey an illegal order, Joseph would have died in November 1838. *Military discipline could derail a murder attempt.*

3) In August 1840 a Missouri mob attacked Nauvoo, apparently intending to harm Joseph and his family. But the small band of men was confused by a torrential summer rain. Instead of attacking the Smiths, they attacked and killed Marietta Holmes. Though historians have been unaware of this attack, Bennett and presumably his circle of friends would have been very aware that this event happened. *Inclement weather could prevent success.*

4) On June 5, 1841, Joseph was arrested at Bear Creek, Illinois. He was able to obtain a writ of *habeas corpus* and escape custody.

5) In June 1843 Joseph was arrested at the home of his sister-in-law, Elizabeth Wasson. After a week of legal wrangling, Joseph was able to get back to Nauvoo. Joseph was again freed by getting a writ of *habeas corpus* thanks to the strong City Charter Bennett had negotiated. *The Nauvoo City Charter had to be negated.*

6) In summer/fall 1842 Joseph went into hiding to avoid extradition to Missouri, charged with involvement in the attempted murder of Missouri Governor Boggs. It was known that if Joseph was taken to Missouri he would be killed. *Joseph had to be prevented from fleeing.*

Beyond the lessons the conspirators could have learned from the past, they wished to retain power after killing Joseph. *Therefore they had to make it appear someone else had killed him. And they had to make it appear that Joseph was in the wrong.*

The Strategy

The plot against Joseph Smith seems to have included multiple strategies. Between these various measures, the conspirators could have expected they would be able to achieve their goal:

- Revoke the city charter and/or weaken Joseph’s access to *habeas corpus* writs that would allow him to be heard before the friendly Nauvoo courts. This was accomplished by having Augustine Spencer charge Joseph with treason.

- Create an opposition press to foment public anger and force Joseph’s hand. This was served by creating the *Nauvoo Expositor* and having Thomas Sharp resume his position as editor of the *Warsaw Signal*.

- Create an alternative Church to accept the disaffected. Suggestively, James Strang joined the LDS Church during the time when conspirators were being sought. Most of the known conspirators aligned themselves with Strang after Joseph’s death.
Create a smear campaign against Joseph to weaken loyalty. This was accomplished using letters and legal attacks.

Ensure the 200 sworn conspirators were ready to exploit any opportunity to kill Joseph. This is hinted at in the armed threat at Joseph’s office on April 26, 1844.

Ensure high profile members of the conspiracy had alibis for the “mob” attack.

Inform key non-Mormon factions in the area that there was significant discontent among the Mormons.

Provide a back-up so Joseph and Hyrum could be shot and killed if the mob somehow failed to kill the brothers.

Allow a window of several days for the attack, to ensure bad weather could be avoided. This is seen in the June 19, 1844, letter to Dr. Bennett claiming “things will come to a crisis in about eight to ten days…”

Carthage, seen as the outcome of Conspiracy

After March 31, the leaders of the conspiracy revealed their plan to those who had sworn to support the killing of Joseph Smith and keep the identities of the murderers a secret.

The Nauvoo Expositor was no doubt a major part of their ploy. If suppressed, the conspirators could claim Joseph was suppressing their freedom of speech. If not suppressed, the conspirators would continue to print their version of history where Joseph was guilty of heinous sin. In addition to the Nauvoo Expositor, which would take time to stand up, a campaign of opposition and letters was started.

When Joseph, as mayor, eventually attempted to suppress the sedition, the conspirators could use this “oppression” to further their case that Joseph had to be killed.

As the controversy escalated, the officials in Illinois would insist that Joseph respond to the court in Carthage. The lawyers 10 had determined that a charge of treason would ensure the Smiths would be held in jail without bond. With Joseph away from the safety of Nauvoo, they could implement their plan for an anonymous mob to attack, a mob that could be blamed on discontented folks from Missouri or Illinois.

The conspirators wanted Joseph and Hyrum dead. But it appears they were not eager to incur collateral damage. The day the Smiths were killed, all the men who departed the jail were denied re-entry. Although John Taylor was seriously wounded in the heat of battle, there was no attempt to “finish him off” or go after Willard Richards once Hyrum and Joseph were dead. The supposed Missouri and Illinois malcontents would not have naturally operated with such surgical precision. 11

On the day of the killings, a detachment of the Warsaw militia supposedly marched north to Nauvoo. About six miles from Warsaw, near the railroad shanties, Colonel Levi Williams released three companies of men. He and Thomas Sharp then proceeded to “beat up” for volunteers to go to Carthage.

According to some witnesses, the purpose for which the volunteers were allegedly to go to Carthage was left unstated, though Jacob Davis went home rather than participate, and reportedly commented, “[I’ll] be damned if [I] would go kill a man that was confined in prison.” 12 According to William Daniels, approximately 30 of the group that marched back towards Carthage were from the Warsaw militia.

Based on the testimony of William Hamilton, he first sighted a group of 125 men approaching Carthage from two miles away, a bit north of due west, the only direction from which they could have approached without being seen much earlier.

Some of the men had blackened their faces, to prevent recognition. Given the discrepancy between Daniel’s estimate that 30 men from the Warsaw militia returned to Carthage and Hamilton’s estimate that 125 men were in the final mob, as many as 100 members of the lethal mob may have been Nauvoo conspirators.

John Taylor mentioned that the shot that killed Hyrum came from outside, through the window. The mob was too close to the jail to achieve the near-horizontal angle of the killing bullet. John decided the killing shot must have come from the Carthage Greys.

But John did not consider the possibility of a shooter not with the Carthage Greys who may have been specifically positioned to fire into the room. Francis Higbee, a leader of the conspiracy, was allegedly seen in...
time had transpired for the same rifleman who shot Hyrum to reload and aim at Joseph Smith. 16

Contaminating the Testimony

The Nauvoo conspirators left one last trace of their involvement. One of the important witnesses of the events of June 27th was William Daniels. At the time of the killings, Daniels was not a Mormon. However after Joseph’s death, Daniels decided to join the Mormon Church. A few weeks before the trial, a pamphlet was published containing William Daniels’ testimony, but with fantastical modifications.

William Daniels had seen a young man approach Joseph’s body. 17 In the pamphlet the young man became a craven member of the mob intent on beheading Joseph. Similarly, Daniels only said he saw a bright light pass across Joseph’s body. The pamphlet claimed Daniels said a heavenly beam of light encompassed Joseph’s body and stunned all who attempted to desecrate the wounded prophet.

Speaking of the difference between the pamphlet (regarding the bright light and the man with the bowie knife) and the version of the story he had recounted under oath, Daniels said:

I did not write that neither did I authorize it to be written… I told Mr. Littlefield it was not correct. 18

Mr. Littlefield, author of the pamphlet, had interviewed William Daniels multiple times to come up with the story he published. The pamphlet provided valuable information to the defense for the conspirators on trial and more than enough fantastical elements to destroy Daniel’s credibility as a witness. Because of the distortions the pamphlet contained compared to Daniels’s testimony under oath, the prosecution agreed to throw out William Daniels’s testimony entirely.

Lyman O. Littlefield, author of the pamphlet, had been one of Bennett’s Strikers. Catherine Laur [Fuller Warren] gave testimony that Lyman O. Littlefield had demanded she yield to him in the winter of 1841/1842. Lyman O. Littlefield is also one of those excommunicated in 1842. Given the large number of Strikers named as members of the Nauvoo conspiracy, it seems likely that Lyman O. Littlefield was also involved in the Nauvoo conspiracy to kill Joseph. If so, the pamphlet with its embellished tale could be seen as a
finishing element of the conspiracy to kill Joseph and leave the conspirators blameless in the eyes of the world.

As a body, the apostles chose not to avenge Joseph’s death. Instead of obsessing over who might have contributed to Joseph’s death, they aggressively moved to continue his work. One of their first priorities was to transform Celestial plural marriages into what the revelation had said they should be, rather than the celibate ceremonies Emma Hale [Smith] argued they should remain.

Carthage, 1844 – Notes

Joseph and his brother, Hyrum, were killed June 27, 1844. Those who contributed to and possibly effected his death engaged in strategic attacks from roughly February 1844 until well after Joseph’s death.

Many of the leading conspirators were known to have been involved in Bennett’s campaign of illicit intercourse in 1841-1842. Despite the conspirators’ overt outrage regarding Joseph Smith’s alleged sexual excesses, some of them may have wished to return to the sexual freedoms they had enjoyed under Bennett’s leadership.

The apostles had been granted the keys of the priesthood, the keys Joseph and they believed would bring to pass the salvation of all mankind. Proxy work on behalf of the dead and sealing families together, even when a man’s prior wife had died, were clearly associated with those keys.

Joseph and Hyrum were imprisoned at Carthage based on a charge of treason. The treason charge was never substantiated. But the severity of the alleged offense prevented any legal escape. A mob attacked on the evening of June 27, 1844, shooting from the west into the room holding the prisoners. However the shots that killed Hyrum and Joseph came from the east. If the same gunman fired both lethal shots, he was positioned no closer than 100 yards from the jail. Making two lethal shots in such short succession indicates the shooter was using a rest, like a modern sniper might use. John C. Elliott boasted of being the one who had killed the Smith brothers. The owners of the rifle Elliott had used similarly boasted their gun had killed “Joe Smith.”

Before Joseph’s death in the summer of 1844, roughly a hundred men and women had entered into plural marriages. However only six plural wives bore a child that seems unquestionably to have been engendered by their covenant husband prior to Joseph’s death.

For months after Joseph’s death, the matter of plural marriage took a back seat to succession concerns. But by September 1844, Brigham Young and the apostles had been accepted as Joseph’s rightful successors by a majority of Joseph’s followers. The apostles continued work toward completing the Nauvoo temple and began to marry Joseph’s covenant widows and other women in need. To the chagrin of Emma Smith, the apostles gave the go ahead for men to engage in sexual relations with their plural wives.

Succession Crisis

In the immediate aftermath of the death of Joseph and Hyrum, there was lack of clarity regarding who would lead the Church.

Two obvious candidates were Joseph’s surviving brothers, Samuel and William. However Samuel died later that summer, reportedly of a bilious stomach upset.

William was not supported as a serious successor for long by anyone outside his own family, particularly once he made it clear that he favored...
spiritual wifery. William briefly aligned himself with Strang and subsequently attempted to lead other Mormon splinter groups. In 1878 William joined the RLDS Church headed by Joseph Smith III. This occurred just prior to Emma Hale’s death in 1879. Emma’s famous deathbed denial of polygamy may have been an effort to inoculate her sons against the corrupting influence of their uncle.

Brigham Young and the apostles claimed Joseph had conferred on them the keys to carry forth the work of salvation, but this event had been conducted in strict secrecy. Most of the apostles were not in Nauvoo when Joseph died, having been assigned to other states in support of Joseph Smith’s campaign to become President of the United States. 4 Under the theory that the apostles were Joseph’s rightful successors, Willard Richards had begun signing himself as “Clerk and Acting President,” as he was the only able apostle in Nauvoo after the martyrdom. 5 John Taylor was still gravely ill from being shot at Carthage.

Sidney Rigdon believed he should replace Joseph Smith, as Sidney was the sole surviving member of the Church Presidency. Joseph and Hyrum were dead. Former Assistant Presidents of the Church, William Law and Dr. John C. Bennett, had been excommunicated. Rigdon returned from Pennsylvania, 6 and proposed he be made the guardian of the Church.

Recent convert, James Strang, produced a letter that seemed to be a commission from Joseph Smith to lead the Church. 7 Strang’s baptism occurred during the time conspirators were being sought to plot against Joseph. The letter is now widely acknowledged to be a forgery.

Though Strang attracted many of those who had agitated against Joseph during the spring of 1844, the vast majority of Saints were inclined to support either Brigham Young or Sidney Rigdon as Joseph’s successor. For those informed of the New and Everlasting Covenant and plural marriage, it was clear that Sidney Rigdon would not support Joseph’s teachings on this matter. Meanwhile, Brigham Young had been involved in Joseph’s teachings and practices regarding plural marriage since January 1842.

When Sidney Rigdon returned from Pennsylvania, the four apostles in town invited him to meet with them on Sunday, August 4, 1844. Instead, Rigdon preached a sermon to several thousand people, indicating his intention to lead the Church and preserve the Church as Joseph “had begun it.” That afternoon, Stake President William Marks announced that a special meeting would be held in four days to determine the matter of succession. Marks himself had a claim to succession. Emma had urged Marks to take up leadership of the Church in July 1844, but Marks was content to throw his support to Sidney Rigdon. 8

On Thursdays the Saints commonly met in the grove for religious meetings. So Sidney Rigdon’s decision to hold a vote deciding succession on Thursday, August 8, 1844, resonated with that practice. Sidney preached for two hours, and was leading up to a vote sustaining him as guardian of the Church.

Then Brigham Young took the stand. Brigham had planned to be with the other apostles in the office of Willard Richards, but the meeting in Richards’s office had completely flown from his mind. Instead he found himself in the grove. Brigham announced to the assembled parties that a vote on the matter of succession would be held at 2 pm that afternoon. At the afternoon meeting Brigham taught a sermon that emphasized the right of the apostles to lead. Parley P. Pratt followed, also supporting the Twelve. Sidney Rigdon was exhausted from his morning sermon and asked William W. Phelps to plead his case. Instead, Phelps supported the Apostles. 9

Brigham Young and the Quorum of the Twelve Apostles were sustained by the vast majority of those in attendance. It is reported that key individuals who did not sustain the apostles were eventually excommunicated. 10

Collecting the Widows and Abandoned

In the month after the dramatic vote to sustain the apostles, Brigham Young and Heber C. Kimball began to officiate as the living were sealed to their deceased loved ones. Within a few days, these proxy sealings began to include Joseph’s widows. 11 Roughly ten months after the vote sustaining Brigham Young and the apostles as the leaders of the Church, we begin to see children born to plural wives at a significant rate.

For Joseph’s widows, there were four options:

1) Marry a Church leader (e.g., Brigham Young, Heber C. Kimball)
2) Remain married to a prior husband
3) Remain widowed
4) Marry another husband who was not a Church leader

In the fall of 1844, it appears Brigham Young, Heber Kimball, and Amasa Lyman married several women who had been wives of Joseph Smith. Many
of these women lacked husbands or fathers to protect and provide for them.

These women were:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BRIGHAM YOUNG</th>
<th>HEBER C. KIMBALL</th>
<th>AMASA LYMAN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Olive Grey Frost</td>
<td>Nancy Winchester</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eliza R. Snow</td>
<td>Martha McBride</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emily Dow Partridge</td>
<td>Sarah Whitney</td>
<td>Eliza Maria Partridge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maria Lawrence</td>
<td>Sarah Lawrence</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–</td>
<td>Lucy Walker</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Women who had covenanted with Joseph Smith but were married to someone else prior to Joseph’s death remained with the other husband.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COVENANTED WITH SMITH</th>
<th>HUSBAND PRIOR TO JUNE 27, 1844</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fanny Alger</td>
<td>Solomon Custer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zina Diantha Huntington</td>
<td>Henry Jacobs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presendia Huntington</td>
<td>Norman Buell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sylvia Sessions</td>
<td>Windsor Lyon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Elizabeth Rollins</td>
<td>Adam Lightner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patty Bartlett</td>
<td>David Sessions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marinda Nancy Johnson</td>
<td>Orson Hyde</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elizabeth Davis*</td>
<td>Jabez Durfee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarah Kingsley*</td>
<td>John Cleveland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lucinda Pendleton</td>
<td>George Harris</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarah Ann Whitney</td>
<td>Joseph C. Kingsbury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruth Vose</td>
<td>Edward Sayers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flora Ann Woodworth</td>
<td>Carlos Gove</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elvira Annie Cowles</td>
<td>Jonathan H. Holmes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phebe Watrous [Woodworth]*</td>
<td>Lucien Woodworth</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Non-Mormons highlighted in grey

Other widows of Joseph Smith appear to have remained unattached in 1844. This includes Emma Smith, who was pregnant with Joseph’s son. 14

In the months after being sustained as Joseph’s successor, Brigham Young instructed men knowledgeable about plural marriage to covenant with women in need of protection. In the year following the dramatic vote sustaining Young, thirty men would covenant with eighty women, making them plural wives. This was in addition to the women who were Joseph’s widows and those who had already become plural wives during Joseph’s lifetime.

Emma Hale and others, such as Nauvoo Stake President William Marks, had clearly hoped Mormonism could revert to a monogamous Christian religion. Brigham’s action to solemnize dozens of additional plural marriages would force a schism between the LDS Church, led by Brigham Young, and many other smaller factions. Several of these would later align themselves with the Reorganized LDS Church (RLDS), led by Joseph Smith III, Emma’s son. The two LDS faiths diverged radically over marriage, polarizing one other as they attempted to win converts to their respective viewpoints.

**Sexuality in Plural Marriage**

Conclusive evidence of sexuality in plural marriages prior to Joseph’s death is scant. Only William Clayton and Joseph Bates Noble are known to have produced a child with their plural wives prior to Joseph’s death. Both of these children were conceived during May 1843, when Emma openly accepted the New and Everlasting Covenant.

There are four additional couples where plural wives appear to have conceived before Joseph’s death: Heber C. Kimball with Sarah Peak Noon (son born no later than Sep 1844 16), Lorenzo Dow Young with Harriet P. Wheeler [Decker] (son born Sep 5, 1844), William Felshaw with Charlotte Waters (daughter born Jan 25, 1845), and Theodore Turley with Mary Clift (son born Feb 11, 1845).

Joseph may have consummated his marriages with Emily Partridge and Malissa Lott, as they testified to retain the Temple Lot from RLDS possession in 1894. Conjugal relations between Joseph and others of the women with whom he covenanted could have been possible, but are even less likely than the assertions Emily and Malissa made decades after Joseph’s death. No one has produced material evidence to confirm Joseph was sexually intimate with any of the women he covenanted with other than
Emma Hale [Smith]. Rather, hypotheses regarding “known” biological children have consistently been debunked by DNA analysis.

Emma Smith had made it abundantly clear that she felt plural marriages should not produce children. In a conversation with Lucy Meserve (then a pregnant plural wife of Joseph’s cousin, George A. Smith), Emma reportedly said that Mormonism was true, but “the Twelve had made bogus of it. She said they were living with their [plural] wives and raising children and Joseph never taught any such doctrine.” 18

Brigham Young and the rest of the twelve apostles had read the revelation and took it at face value. D&C 132: 68 seemed entirely clear:

…for they [a man’s plural wives] are given unto him to multiply and replenish the earth… that they may bear the souls of men; for herein is the work of my Father continued, that he may be glorified. 19

It was clear to the leading apostles that plural marriages were to be consummated. Now that Brigham Young was in charge, he authorized men to consummate marriages with plural wives. In the summer of 1845, as the trial was being convened in Carthage to try the accused assassins of Joseph Smith, several plural wives in Nauvoo were pregnant or caring for newborn babies. The leaders of Nauvoo wanted nothing to do with vengeance or a trial that could prove dangerous to Joseph’s surviving followers.

Turning the Hearts

Brigham insisted that the marriages of the Saints should appropriately include engendering children. He also was committed to completing the temple, so the Saints could receive the ordinances Joseph had taught could only be performed in a temple. This included sealing spouses together as well as sealing the Saints to one another as parents and children. 20

Most of the apostates of Nauvoo left to gather to Strang’s Wisconsin refuge. Others unwilling to follow Young or Strang scattered under a variety of leaders, each claiming to be the true successor to Joseph Smith. Meanwhile the State and people of Illinois seemed determined to emulate every wrong enacted by Missouri. 21

Joseph had cared deeply about the opinions of his wife, Emma Hale [Smith]. Brigham Young was not similarly constrained.

Brigham enacted his understanding of Joseph’s teachings in a way that Joseph never had. It was clear to Brigham Young and most of the other apostles that appeasement and a façade of pure monogamy would do nothing to halt the coming storm.

Collecting the Sorrowful - Notes

Following Joseph’s death, several possible successors were available. Joseph’s brother, William Smith, would lose support when it became clear he still practiced and supported spiritual wife-ry. James Strang primarily attracted those sympathetic to the conspiracy to kill Joseph. Sidney Rigdon and Brigham Young each put themselves forward to the main body of Mormons as the legitimate successor. The majority supported Brigham Young and apostolic succession.

Once Brigham had been confirmed as leader of Joseph’s people, he moved to provide for Joseph’s widows. Women who already had a husband remained with those men. Brigham Young and Heber Kimball between them married most of the nine single women who entered into levirate plural marriages in the year after Joseph’s death.

Dozens of leading men reached out to an additional eighty women in the year after Brigham was confirmed as Joseph Smith’s successor.

Brigham Young encouraged men to engender children with their plural wives. This was very upsetting to Emma Hale [Smith], who claimed this was never Joseph’s intent. Joseph was no longer alive to suppress the tension between his devotion to Emma and his devotion to God’s command. The latent contradiction would rend Joseph’s people asunder.
As the Nauvoo temple neared completion, the non-Mormons in Illinois took away all civil protection from the people in Nauvoo.

First to go was the Nauvoo city charter, which had authorized creation of the Nauvoo legion. Lacking a charter, Nauvoo could not even maintain a police force to protect against petty crime.  

The next peril was the beginning of the “wolf hunts” that had been initially threatened in 1844, a euphemism for attacks on outlying Mormon settlements and dwellings. In the months before the temple was completed, the wolf hunt mobs burned hundreds of homes. Given the violent history of Missouri, atrocities may have been committed in addition to the burning of homes. In Missouri the wrongs were documented as there had been a hope of redress. There was no hope for redress in Illinois. 

Illinois put out an arrest warrant for Brigham Young. In addition, word came that federal troops were advancing on Nauvoo, coming up the Mississippi River. It was a time of severe tension, and Brigham knew he would be responsible for moving his people west.

Brigham was faced with the question of how to help women whose husbands had died. The women wished to be sealed to their beloved, departed spouses. But what man could be counted on to marry or care for a woman who was eternally sealed to another man?

Brigham apparently made a policy decision. If an individual wished to be sealed to a deceased spouse for eternity, they could. However the person standing proxy would have to agree to marry the bereaved individual for time. In this manner every woman who was married eternally to a deceased man would have a living man with the responsibility to care for her. Similarly, every widower would be encouraged to reach out to care for an otherwise unattached woman in the community.

Accordingly, hundreds of women technically became plural wives in 1846. Dozens of these women were merely seeking eternal union with a deceased husband. Scores of women covenanted with a man who was already sealed to a sister, mother, or daughter. Ironically, this policy trivialized the “for time” marriages. Several women shifted husbands after fleeing Nauvoo, including women married to prominent Church leaders.

Sealing Joseph to His Wives

The first endowments were performed in the Nauvoo Temple starting on December 10, 1845. Those previously endowed as part of the Quorum of the Anointed received the ordinances again in the temple. Brigham Young, Heber Kimball, and the other apostles worked nearly around the clock at the temple, working until 4 am that first day and sleeping for less than two hours before rising to continue the ordinances the next day.

The temple records for the Nauvoo temple are unique because the time of day was noted as well as the date each ordinance was performed. So we get a detailed picture of the immense, time consuming effort it was for the Saints to receive their ordinances. These records are available to review in person at the Church Family History Library in Salt Lake City.

Brigham and Heber had already married several of Joseph’s widows for time starting in September 1844. One of the many responsibilities they had during these hectic months was ensuring that all Joseph’s wives had the chance to be sealed to Joseph in the temple. Apparently they came to the conclusion that a Church leader should stand proxy for Joseph for these sealings.

Most of Joseph’s wives who choose to be sealed to Joseph in the temple allowed Brigham Young, Heber Kimball, or some other high Church leader to stand proxy. However the women who were married to other men when Joseph Smith was killed typically continued as the wives of those men, independent of who stood proxy in the Nauvoo temple.
We see that there are two women who chose to be sealed to Joseph in the Nauvoo temple where the proxy was not a high Church leader. In both these cases, the woman spent the rest of her life with the man who stood proxy for Joseph.

Three women who covenanted with Joseph and subsequently became pregnant were married to men who were not members: Presendia [Buell], Mary Elizabeth [Lightner], and Sylvia [Lyons]. Possibly these husbands did not know about their wife’s covenant with Joseph. In any case, these husbands had no reason to refrain from marital relations with their legal wives.

Two women seem to have been associated with Bennett or his strikers: Esther [Smith] and Eliza Snow. Evidence that Esther [Smith] covenanted with Joseph is tenuous and may merely reflect her vows to assist Joseph in 1842. Eliza Snow is not bolded because pregnancy is disputed, as is Joseph’s...
paternity of the reported child. Nancy Marinda Johnson is not bolded based on her assertion that she covenanted with Joseph in 1843. Lucinda Pendleton is not bolded as her covenant with Joseph likely occurred after 1840.

Researchers have noted other cases where women were sealed to Joseph by proxy in 1846, suggesting that this alone is reason to consider that they had covenanted with Joseph during his lifetime. This may be true, and these women were mentioned in Chapter 12, Hunt in the City Beautiful. As the years passed, however, women would request to have themselves sealed to Joseph Smith by proxy when there could not have been a relationship during his lifetime. The fact of a temple sealing between a woman and Joseph doesn't necessarily confirm the woman had covenanted with Joseph Smith during his lifetime. Alternately, the woman may merely have wished to enter into the New and Everlasting Covenant, like Ruth Vose [Sayers].

Unique Cases Among Joseph's Widows

Most of the women who had husbands already when Joseph died remained with those husbands, independent of which man stood proxy in the temple. This seems to indicate that when a man and woman were “sealed husband and wife for time” after the man had served as proxy for the woman’s dead husband, the man was serving some other role than actual husband. It may be more useful to think of these proxies as protectors rather than husbands. 26 It seems they were covenanted to ensure the woman was properly cared for. The Church had a particular responsibility to both protect the widows of Joseph Smith and retain them as a spiritual resource for the community. Those women who did not have a husband when Joseph died were taken on as the plural wife of whoever acted as Joseph’s proxy in the Nauvoo temple.

There are a few cases that bear examination.

Emma Hale Smith. It is not documented that Brigham Young or Heber Kimball approached Emma Smith about remarriage in 1844, while she was pregnant with Joseph’s last child, David. 27 By 1845 it had become clear that Brigham viewed Joseph’s estate as an asset of the Church, while Emma viewed Joseph’s estate as a resource to redeem Joseph’s debts and care for Joseph’s family. There was also the matter of Emma’s severe disapproval that men were consummating marriages with plural wives. Between the property concerns and the plural marriage concerns, Emma would decline to follow Brigham Young west. Though not documented, it seems her rationale for refusing to be sealed to Joseph in the temple may have been a refusal to allow a Church leader to serve as Joseph’s proxy.

Elvira Cowles Holmes. Brigham or Heber may have suggested they could become levirate husband to Elvira after Joseph’s death. However Joseph Smith had specifically asked Jonathan Holmes to care for Elvira. 28 Elvira lived out her days with Jonathan Holmes in relative obscurity. Jonathan was the only Nauvoo husband of one of Joseph’s covenant widows to serve in the Mormon Battalion. 29 Left behind, Elvira faced the death and burial of her infant daughter at Winter Quarters. Elvira took young Sarah Holmes and drove a wagon and team west from Winter Quarters to Salt Lake City in 1847. Elvira’s three biological daughters to survive to adulthood married a handcart pioneer. Elvira is Joseph’s only widow to link all the iconic Mormon trail experiences in a single family.

Helen Mar Kimball. Helen was 14 when she covenanted with Joseph. She had resented the way this “marriage” interfered with her ability to socialize. It appears possible that Heber felt he could watch over Helen as her father, rather than requiring that she marry a high Church leader. Helen was allowed to marry Horace Whitney, young son of Bishop Newel K. Whitney. Alternately, it may have been felt that Horace needed a wife who was strong in the faith, given his former sympathy with those teaching spiritual wifery in 1842.

Sarah Ann Whitney. Sarah Ann had been married to Joseph Kingsbury, and Kingsbury had recorded in his journal that he had “agreed to Stand by Sarah Ann Whitnay as Supposed to be her husband & had a pretended marriage…” 30 Kingsbury was Sarah Ann’s brother-in-law, and this pretended marriage may not have been consummated. Sarah apparently declined to remain with her widower brother-in-law.

Nancy Winchester. Nancy was only 15 when Joseph died and may have been as young as 13 when she covenanted with Joseph, if the covenant occurred at the time her brother was excommunicated. Heber C. Kimball married Nancy and cared for her, but never consummated the marriage. 31

Zina Diantha Huntington [Jacobs Smith]. Zina continued as Henry Jacobs’s wife, despite Brigham having stood proxy for Joseph. Following Henry Jacobs’s excommunication for sealing William W. Phelps to a plural wife without authorization, Zina left Henry Jacobs and become Brigham’s wife in deed. Zina’s Church career flourished as one of Brigham’s wives. Zina would eventually succeed Eliza R. Snow as President of the Relief Society, the most powerful position a woman could hold in the Church. The position
of Relief Society General President gave Zina the ability to collaborate with women’s rights advocates throughout the United States.

**Other Polygamous Families in Nauvoo and Beyond**

In order to act as levirate husbands to the dead Joseph’s many covenant wives, Brigham Young and other Church leaders took responsibility for dozens of women, forming the cores of their large polygamous families. In response to the violence with which the Saints were threatened, Brigham encouraged men to take responsibility for widows, unprotected women, and relatives for whom they cared deeply.

By the time Brigham Young closed the temple in February 1846, over 175 men had between them married approximately 500 plural wives. Initially these plural marriages were not openly acknowledged to “the world.” But as the years passed, it became impractical to either hide the fact of these plural marriages or adopt a policy of monogamy that would sunder hundreds of families, many with young children. The LDS Church formally announced that it practiced plural marriage in 1852.

Public acknowledgement of Mormon “polygamy” caused extreme opposition from the United States government and her people. Forceful rejection of Mormonism as a valid faith tradition would continue for decades by numerous means, including social activism, laws, immigration restrictions, and military force. The fruit of this rejection continues even today.

Yet less than forty years after Mormons publicly embraced plural marriage, Wilford Woodruff would issue a document in 1890 known as the Manifesto, promising no new plural marriages would be solemnized contrary to the law of the land.

In 1904 Joseph F. Smith would issue a clarification of the Manifesto. The clarification, sometimes referred to as the Second Manifesto, reaffirmed that the Church had completely abandoned the practice of solemnizing “polygamous” marriages.

The Manifestos ended solemnization of Celestial marriages where a man would be simultaneously united with more than one living woman. But LDS policy continues even today to allow every woman to be united or sealed to her husband in Celestial marriage. However the panicked urgency of 1846 that caused widows and other women to covenant with any available man is a thing of the past.

---

**For Eternity and Time – Notes**

When the Nauvoo temple was sufficiently complete, faithful Mormons thronged to the temple to solemnize their commitments to God. They also entered into Celestial marriages, uniting themselves as husbands and wives for all eternity. Actual and promised attacks were coming from surrounding mobs, the government of Illinois and even the Federal government. Even so, Brigham and the faithful spent inordinate amounts of effort to ensure all who wished could receive their ordinances.

Given the threat to vulnerable women, Brigham apparently decided no woman could be sealed to her deceased spouse unless the man standing proxy agreed to take responsibility for her as her mortal husband. In the case of Joseph’s many widows, high church leaders stood proxy for most of Joseph’s wives, even for those who already had a living husband. Brigham Young and Heber C. Kimball ended up with vast families, each married to many of the women who had covenanted with Joseph. The leaders stood ready to ensure proper protection for each woman if her mortal husband were to falter. This occurred in the case of Zina Huntington when Henry Jacobs was excommunicated.

In 1890, less than fifty years after the flight from Nauvoo, Wilford Woodruff would declare an end to the practice of plural marriage which united a living woman with a living man whose wife was still living. In 1904 Joseph F. Smith issued a Second Manifesto, making it clear that Church policy on the matter was not a mere political accommodation limited to the United States.
When I originally posted my Faithful Joseph series in 2013-2014, I proposed that Joseph Smith himself taught plural marriage, yet may have refrained from consummating his own plural marriages. There were those who welcomed such a viewpoint.

Others were puzzled why Joseph would flout God’s clear commandment to multiply and replenish the earth in conjunction with his plural wives, as recorded in D&C 132. Similarly, there were those who felt that it would be abusive to marry a woman and then refuse to engender children with her or otherwise comfort her with conjugal affection.

Whether Joseph’s clear reticence to raise up progeny with the women he covenanted with was abusive or not, it begged the question of how polygamy could subsequently become so entrenched in the LDS Church following Joseph’s death.

If Joseph rarely or never engaged in sex with covenant wives, as the lack of biological connection between the offspring of these women and Joseph suggests, how could Brigham Young’s actions be explained?

Brigham, the Realist

Joseph Smith may have hoped to avoid hostilities by easing into the practice of plural marriage at some future time. With Joseph’s death, Brigham had no hope that compromise on this issue would prevent hostilities.

Brigham Young was also at liberty to fulfill the revelation in D&C 132 without concern for the preferences of Emma Hale [Smith].

Brigham likely felt the Bible was unambiguous regarding the duty a levirate husband owed the widow of a deceased comrade. In the Bible, it is clear that every widow had a right to bear a child to be raised in honor of her fallen husband. The yearning women can feel to bear a child is seen in the biblical stories of Tamar and Hannah. Tamar posed as a prostitute in order to trick Judah into fulfilling his family’s requirement to provide her a son. Hannah bargained with God, promising to yield up her child to God’s service if only God would allow her to conceive. 1 The great posterity levirate marriage could raise up is seen in Tamar and Ruth, whose progeny included most the Kings of Israel and Judea, as well as Jesus Christ.

In addition to widows, there were scores of extended family members and converts who were in need or had been damaged by the illicit intercourse scandal. It may never be possible to determine why men reached out to each of the hundreds of women they collectively married as plural wives prior to the flight from Nauvoo. But it seems some of these women had been victims of the Strikers and their seductions.

There is no biblical precedent for the numbers of plural marriages Brigham Young required of his people after the death of Joseph Smith. Yet the breadth of the sexual heresy promulgated by Dr. John C. Bennett and his Strikers had been extensive, if largely expunged from public histories.

Brigham Young was faced with a series of challenges:

1. Provide for disadvantaged women as the Saints fled Nauvoo.

2. Eliminate attempts to usurp the centralized and orderly authority to administer saving ordinances. According to D&C 132:7, there was only one person at any given time to hold these keys. 2 Brigham maintained he was that single authorized individual, following the death of Joseph Smith

3. Eradicate the heresy of spiritual wifery.

Brigham Young would wield his power to solemnize or sunder marriages liberally as he responded to each of these challenges. He would also use excommunication to clarify the boundaries of acceptable behavior.
William Smith, Volatile Brother

Joseph Smith had commanded Brigham Young to stand down from charging William Smith with adultery, likely in May 1842. Though William had clearly been guilty of ecclesiastical abuse, he was ultimately not found to be the ringleader of those seducing women in 1841-1842.

After Joseph’s death, William Smith remained in the Boston area. When Samuel Smith died in the month after Joseph Smith and Hyrum Smith were killed, William became the sole surviving Smith brother. When William returned to Nauvoo in 1845, the people welcomed him with open arms. Those mourning Joseph cherished William as the only living male Smith.

William Smith appears to be the only Church leader who routinely charged money for his ecclesiastical services. Upon the death of Hyrum, William was made Church Patriarch. He voiced numerous patriarchal blessings, demanding a fee for each.

William Smith had been a rogue among the apostles. He had beaten Joseph, breaking ribs. He had called for Joseph’s death in 1838. He had refused to leave America on the foreign missions other members of the Twelve Apostles served in 1839-41. It appears William alone, of the apostles, had continued as a prominent participant in Bennett’s heresy promoting illicit intercourse in 1842.

While unsupervised in the Eastern United States, William took it upon himself to teach about eternal marriage, offering to seal people to one another outside of the temple. He also continued to teach variations of the spiritual wifery heresy, that it was acceptable for men and women to engage in sexual intercourse even if there was no marriage involved. Hints of this heresy were brought to the attention of the rest of the apostles when Wilford Woodruff visited William’s Massachusetts congregation.

Parley P. Pratt was sent to the east to investigate. There Parley discovered the full extent of the wrongful teachings and actions of William and his ecclesiastical subordinates. Parley would attempt to instruct the eastern Saints on the proper manner of being sealed to one’s spouse, along with the fact that “sealing” was not license for licentiousness:

“How frequently a man and his wife, or a young couple about to be married, present themselves to me, with a request to be sealed to each other; that is, married for eternity. Do I ever grant their request? No; for the best of all reasons. –I have no authority so to do under present circumstances; and, were I to do it, it would only be deceiving them; as such a sealing would not stand, or be recognized in the resurrection; unless performed according to the strict law of God, and of the keys of the sealing powers, and in connection with the ordinances of endowment which brings to God’s sanctuary [temple], and no where else.

“[The sealing power allows for] no confusion, unlawful connection, or unvirtuous liberties.”

The extent of the misdeeds was of grave concern to the leadership of the Church. Unfortunately, Joseph Ball, one of the Black men William had ordained to the priesthood, was deeply implicated in the wrongful sexual activity.

Zina wrote in her diary following an address William Smith gave on August 17, 1845, in Nauvoo. At that time William openly advocated spiritual wifery and indicated that he practiced it. The discourse caused such distress that women put their handkerchiefs over their faces to show their disgust. Apostle John Taylor attempted to rebuff the sermon.

Zina wrote, “Wm Smith spoke to the people [and] Elder Talor made an appropriate reply, [because] it was needed.”

Despite William’s offenses, there was a desire to keep William within the Church. However William was ultimately excommunicated for refusing to repent and accept the leadership of Brigham Young.

William could have reformed. We see this in the “marriage” between Willard Richards and Alice Langstroth in December 1845. Willard would write in his journal that they had “mutually acknowledge[d] each other husband and wife, in a covenant not to be broken in time or Eternity.” Apparently Willard administered to himself without authorization. The marriage would not be formalized in the temple and Alice Langstroth married another man. The reprimand Richards likely received for his unauthorized sealing action is lost to history.

The Talented Lamanite

William Smith was not the last to presume they had authority to wield the sealing power.
The sordid tale of William McCary eventually emerged. Originally welcomed as a charismatic “Lamanite” or Native Indian leader, William McCary claimed he had the power of prophecy and transfiguration, in particular claiming he had the power to appear as various biblical and Book of Mormon figures.

McCary was eventually found to be a fraud. He was a mulatto rather than an Indian or “Lamanite.” Much worse were McCary’s unorthodox activities. McCary had been performing an “ordinance” where he would “seal” himself to women to guarantee their salvation. This ceremony was unlike any plural marriage sanctioned by the Church. Nelson Whipple reported McCary would seal a woman to himself by engaging in sexual intercourse with her while his wife watched. 14

The obvious perversion involved in McCary’s usurpation of authority makes it a titillating story, but McCary’s threat to Brigham Young’s authority as sole holder of the sealing keys was resolved by excommunicating McCary.

Zion in Texas

Another challenge to Brigham’s central authority arose when it became clear that Apostle Lyman Wight had been officiating in sealing ceremonies without authorization. Lyman declined to follow Brigham’s lead and gather to Utah. Instead, Lyman led many of the Mormons he had worked with in the Wisconsin Pineries to an area near modern Austin, Texas. Lyman Wight was father of Orange Lysander Wight, who was apparently initiated into polygamy in 1841 after learning that John Higbee had two wives. 15

Brigham Young reacted by excommunicating Lyman Wight and his followers. 15 It is commonly presumed that the excommunication was prompted merely by Lyman’s refusal to gather to Utah. After all, how could Brigham Young, of all people, object to polygamy? Yet Brigham could and did object to plural marriages if not officiated in a proper manner.

Appropriating Zina

Zina [Jacobs] had been one of the first women to covenant with Joseph Smith. Following the 1844 death of Joseph Smith, Zina would undergo a unique marital shift, leaving the ostensibly faithful and believing father of her children to become the conjugal wife of Brigham Young.

Henry Bailey Jacobs was the man Zina had married in 1841 prior to covenanting with Joseph Smith. Henry was fully supportive of Brigham and the other apostles, as well as supporting Joseph’s legacy. Henry was present when Zina was sealed to Joseph Smith in the temple. He was similarly a witness as Zina was sealed “for time” to Brigham Young, who had stood as proxy for Joseph in the sealing that had immediately preceded the “for time” ordinance.

In February, 1846, when Zina was technically married “for time” to Brigham Young, Zina was pregnant with a child engendered by Henry Bailey Jacobs. Following her marriage “for time” to Brigham, Zina would not conceive again while associated with Henry Jacobs.

However this is not terribly noteworthy. Zina was pregnant until March 22, 1846, when she gave birth to a son near the Chariton River in Iowa. Henry and Zina named their son Henry Chariton Jacobs. In May 1846 Zina’s husband, Henry Bailey Jacobs, was called to serve a mission in England.

When Henry left on his mission, Zina moved in with her ailing father, William, until his death in August 1846. After Zina’s father died, she took protection in the household of Brigham Young. Zina would have had every reason to believe that she was the man who had led Elder Phelps into error? Henry Jacobs was excommunicated as well, though this is not well known outside the descendants of Henry Jacobs. 17
In later years Zina was in a position to prevent insight into what had happened in December 1848. Thus the punishment that Henry Jacobs received for inappropriately wielding the sealing power is closely guarded in the LDS Church Archives. Zina’s own children were not told what had happened.

It seems the reason Zina was taken from Henry Jacobs and given to Brigham Young as wife was Henry Jacobs’s presumption in sealing a couple as though for eternity without authorization, as well as sealing a married man to other women.

If Zina had not been Joseph’s covenant wife, Henry’s period of disgrace might have passed without impact to the family situation.

Perhaps if Zina had not agreed to let Brigham stand as Joseph’s proxy when the sealing was re-solemnized in the temple in February 1846, Henry’s period of disgrace might have passed with Zina still as his wife.

If Zina had been a whiny burden during the year she spent in the Young household during the latter portion of Henry’s mission, Brigham might have been perfectly happy to insist that Zina return to Henry’s side after the period of disgrace.

But Zina was a great and good lady who had been anointed with portentous value as Joseph covenant wife. She had agreed to allow Brigham to serve as Joseph’s proxy in the temple. 18

Thus when Henry Jacobs ran afoul of authority and doctrine, Henry was deemed unworthy to retain the hand of Zina, who was an unusually valued member of the religious community.

According to Oa Jacobs [Cannon], her mother, Emma Rigby [Jacobs], had been effectively adopted by Zina when she married Henry Charlton Jacobs. Their relationship was so close that apparently Zina told things to Emma Rigby [Jacobs] that she was not even willing to tell her own daughter, the daughter Brigham Young engendered with Zina in 1849. When Oa learned Henry Jacobs had been present when Brigham was married to Zina for time, Emma Rigby [Jacobs] begged her daughter to keep this information secret, apparently reflecting Zina’s own feeling that such knowledge was best kept buried.

Yet Zina did not conceive a child during the 36 months after she had theoretically become Brigham’s wife “for time.” She would not conceive Brigham’s child until six months after Henry Jacobs would have been excommunicated along with William Wines Phelps.

Even in this six months there is a clue. Brigham’s decision to wait six months before attempting to engender a child with Zina would ensure there was no question of the paternity of any children born by Zina after Henry’s disgrace.

Examining an Adultery

In 1850 Joseph Ellis Johnson was brought to Salt Lake City to stand trial for adultery. Joseph Ellis Johnson had engendered a child with Hannah Goddard, the estranged plural wife of Apostle Lorenzo Snow. Escorting Joseph Ellis Johnson to Salt Lake City were Apostle Orson Hyde and Henry Miller, founder of Kanesville, 19 the key Winter Quarters site. The party also included Joseph Kelly and family. No others traveled in the party.

Johnson came before the disciplinary council of leaders (including Brigham) in order to set the record straight. Despite Johnson’s transgression, Johnson wished to beg for forgiveness that he might be sealed to the woman he had lain with and then be sealed to their children.

In the transcript, we see the members of the council asking whether or not Johnson taught that it was acceptable for a man and woman to have intercourse as long as it was not known. This is clearly their attempt to determine whether Joseph Ellis Johnson had been teaching spiritual wifery or illicit intercourse. Joseph Kelly gave testimony exonerating Johnson of a key reason Johnson was suspected of teaching spiritual wifery. It appears Johnson’s mother-in-law had been a victim of spiritual wifery in Nauvoo.

Johnson denied he taught any such doctrine. He asserted he had merely slept with the young lady and things were as they were.

Joseph Ellis Johnson was restored to membership and sent back to Kanesville immediately following the trial. Upon his return, he met the tiny child who had been born to Hannah Goddard mere days after Johnson had been whisked off to stand trial.

Joseph Ellis Johnson would be officially sealed to Hannah in 1861, when Joseph and his families finally left Kanesville to gather to Salt Lake City. 20
Making Fun of the Freighter

As the western settlements took shape, Brigham insisted that couples who wished to marry come to Salt Lake City. As the Biography of Elizabeth Houston [DeLong] relates, “At this time [1867] no marriages were solemnized out of the Endowment House.” 21 In other words, Church marriages were only performed in Salt Lake City, in the Endowment House.

For example, this explains why Joseph Ellis Johnson was not formally sealed to Hannah Goddard until he and Hannah had traveled to Salt Lake City in 1861.

In 1867, Albert DeLong was an bachelor and overland freighthouse. Albert fell in love with Elizabeth Houston, the twenty-year-old eldest daughter of Scottish widow Margaret Crawford [Houston]. It appears Margaret was not sufficiently impressed with Albert DeLong to part with a daughter on whom Margaret relied so wholly. This was a time when Brigham Young was actively discouraging commerce with those who were not Mormon, making people like DeLong social outcasts in the eyes of some of the faithful.

Margaret demanded an impossible condition. She would allow Elizabeth to travel from St. George to Salt Lake City in the company of Albert DeLong only if Elizabeth was married to Albert DeLong. However as the couple could only be married in Salt Lake City, it appeared the two could never be wed.

“To overcome this difficulty the young man went to Salt Lake City and explained his trouble to president Brigham Young, who gave him an order telling Erastus Snow to marry them in St. George.” 22

Erastus Snow performed the ceremony marrying Elizabeth Houston and Albert DeLong on January 3, 1867. As Widow Houston feared, Elizabeth and Albert left St. George as soon as they were married. Brigham Young would joke with Albert and Elizabeth regarding the unusual circumstances of their marriage whenever he saw the DeLongs in his travels. This good-natured ribbing illustrates that Albert DeLong’s quest to circumvent the rules was not a typical happening in Brigham’s time.

This story illustrates the unusual level of control Brigham Young personally exercised over seemingly minor matters related to marriage.

Artificial Shortage of Women

In our modern age, countries with large shortages of women are associated with terrible violence against women. However these Asian countries, India and China, have a shortage of women precisely because they despise women to begin with, willing to abort and otherwise discard girl children.

In western culture, social science indicates men behave differently when they believe there are more than enough women, or when they believe the women have no choice.

In Jon Birger’s Date-onomics, he suggests that “When faced with an oversupply of women, guys are more likely to delay marriage and play the field.” 23 In other words, they act like the Strikers of Nauvoo, expecting sex without commitment from as many women as they can convince to yield.

By encouraging and even forcing leading men to embrace plural marriage, Brigham Young created the ultimate shortage of women. Not only were there few women available to players, the women could have any man in the community, regardless of the man’s prior marital commitments.

Brigham also put in place a liberal divorce policy. Husband or wife unhappy? Simply pay $10 dollars and the marriage was over. 24 This meant that a young woman did not need to feel trapped if the marriage she had initially agreed to turned out to be a mistake.

We see this in the case of Jonathan Harriman Holmes’s surviving daughter from his first marriage. Sarah Elizabeth Holmes married John Porter Barnard on February 14, 1853, when she was barely 15. John Porter Barnard, a respected butcher, was almost 50. 25 Given Sarah’s youth, it is possible that this “marriage” was more a live-in engagement than a consummated marriage. The marriage soon ended. Sarah went on to become a plural wife to Miles Weaver. Miles died, and Sarah and her sister-widow became the second and third wives to Miles’s brother, Franklin.

Brigham made it clear that the only accepted Mormon marriages were those officiated by Brigham Young or officiated by his express permission. It became impossible for anyone after the 1840s to fraudulently pretend their ceremony met the requirement that was a pre-requisite to the Celestial Kingdom. Hence Brigham Young controlled access to the highest heaven in Mormon theology, where families are able to be together forever.
Brigham made plural marriage a mandatory expectation for Church leaders. With the best men in the community selected for service, the best men were now also under mandate to take on additional wives. These leaders were prohibited from courting the women they wished to marry. They were only permitted to ask and had to accept whatever answer the woman gave. Women’s ability to marry anyone, refuse anyone, and divorce at will put women firmly in the driver seat when it came to marriage.

Orson Pratt and others would talk about the many social ills that were eliminated by polygamy. Foremost of these claims was that polygamy ended prostitution. What modern ears usually fail to hear is the reference to the prostitution of women implicit in the illicit intercourse of Nauvoo.

Some modern researchers have presumed that polygamy reduced prostitution because the men, saddled with multiple wives, had insufficient interest or time or energy to also seek out prostitutes. However the artificial shortage of women created by polygamy meant that Mormon women did not need to sell their bodies for food. The connections between families created in Brigham’s polygamous Mormon community provided a robust support system for all members of the Mormon community, beyond plural wives.

By 1870, less than a decade before Brigham’s death, the rules of marriage were thoroughly established among the Mormons. There was no risk of spiritual wifery regaining a foothold as Joseph’s doctrine. Women could pick from a pool of steady and righteous men without concern that a good man already had a wife. The combination of rigid rules yet allowance for divorce resulted in widespread adherence to the marital standards. Though only a small percentage of Mormon men were ever polygamists at any given time, analysis of Utah census records for 1860 indicates that roughly 50% of married women in some congregations were a spouse in a polygamous household.

The Lethal Cure

Brigham used polygamy to kill the heresy of spiritual wifery. He did this by tightly controlling marriage, openly promoting plural marriage, and making it so no woman was necessarily left in a vulnerable position that invited the possibility for spiritual wifery to regain a hold.

But the decades following Brigham’s death demonstrated the challenge of using plural marriage to kill spiritual wifery. Like a doctor who cures a patient of cancer by a lethal dose of chemotherapy, Brigham had accomplished a great good by implementing the damaging policy of quasi-mandatory plural marriage. Widespread plural marriage is an unsustainable practice in the long-term, as seen by examining the abuses associated with modern polygamous communities.

Brigham’s success in making plural marriage the defining aspect of the Mormon faith, possibly to quash spiritual wifery, would make ending the practice of plural marriage terribly difficult.

Eradicating Spiritual Wifery – Notes

As Brigham took leadership of the Mormon faithful, he faced a host of challenges to the central authority Joseph had taught was the prerogative of the presiding Prophet. These challenges would inform Brigham Young’s use of plural marriage and excommunication to control his people.

Several attempted to take the sealing power into their own hands. William Smith failed to understand that spiritual wifery was not part of Celestial marriage. William McCary invented his own variant of “sealing,” possibly composed of the worst lies Bennett and the Strikers had spread about Joseph Smith. Lyman Wight acted as though he had every right Brigham had to lead and perform eternal marriages. Henry Jacobs performed unauthorized plural marriages while in England. Each of these were excommunicated.

The 1850 trial of Joseph Ellis Johnson demonstrates the continued fear leaders had that spiritual wifery might reappear. Requiring that the Mormon faithful come to Salt Lake City and be married in the Endowment House ensured there was no confusion about which marriages were authorized and which were not.

By requiring leaders to marry plural wives, Brigham Young created an artificial shortage of women. A shortage of women is not a universal remedy for social ills. But in Western Society a shortage of women is recognized as being correlated with increased commitment and reduced promiscuity. But widespread polygamy is not sustainable. Brigham Young’s success in promoting plural marriage would make it terribly difficult to end the practice.
The Mormon exodus and pioneer period tend to be well understood by Mormons. However Mormons typically do not consider plural marriage as part of that history. It is therefore useful to trace the impact of plural marriage in the pioneer history from Brigham Young’s departure from Nauvoo in 1846 until we see the Mormon Church renounce new plural marriages in 1890 (and again in 1904). Modern place names are used for clarity.

For this discussion, the fifty years in the wilderness stretch from the Mormon exodus from Nauvoo, Illinois (starting February 4, 1846) to Utah’s admission as a state of the United States (January 4, 1896).

1846 – Winter Quarters and the Battalion

Brigham Young had attempted to ensure everyone could be part of a family unit, even though this resulted in hundreds of “polygamous” families by February 1846. He also urged each family to gather a year’s supply of food, expecting it would take the entire summer growing season to reach safety in the Rocky Mountains. But not everyone gathered the suggested supplies.

By the winter of 1846/1847, the Mormon refugees had only crossed Iowa. They established Winter Quarters on the Nebraska bank of the Missouri River.

Concerned about the crushing poverty facing the people and the Church, emissaries to Washington D.C. arranged for a battalion of 500 to be raised from among the Mormons to fight in the Mexican War. The funds from the service of these 500 significantly helped those left behind.

Additional plural marriages were solemnized at Winter Quarters, as single women attached themselves to the able men who had not left with the Mormon Battalion.

Several of the women who had become plural wives in Nauvoo were now giving birth. Among the 300 who died that winter were the babies of Joseph’s widows Louisa Beaman, Emily Partridge, Lucy Walker, and Elvira Annie Cowles.

1847 – This is the Place

The pioneers did not reach the Salt Lake Valley until late July, 1847. The crops they planted the summer of 1847 did not produce a significant yield. The likelihood of a second winter of crushing illness and death loomed.

The Mormon Battalion veterans were ordered to remain in California to avoid increasing the stress on the meager supplies available in Utah. Again, the needs of pregnant wives caused great concern. Meanwhile, the presence of “Europeans” in the valley caused the local Indians to become ill.

The Indians came to John Taylor, asking him to heal the Chief’s son, who lay near death. John Taylor blessed the boy, then came back to the Old Fort. There Taylor prayed that the Lord would bless the child to live.

Shortly thereafter the Indians approached the fort again. They brought with them gifts of sego lily bulbs, thistle root, and a nutty mash. When the nutty mash was exhausted, the pregnant women began to suffer again. John Taylor returned to request more food from the Indians. The Indians were happy to share more of the nutty mash, which they revealed was made from ground up roasted crickets (a local pest), drizzled with honey.

John returned to the fort with the mash. He refused from that point to partake of the stuff, but did not tell the others what they were eating. Had he told the truth, it’s likely some of the pioneers would have refused the life-saving food. If your ancestor was born in Utah in 1848, it’s possible their life was saved by the Indians and John Taylor’s “lie.”
1852 – Preaching Polygamy from the Pulpit

In August 1852 Orson Pratt was asked to deliver a sermon explaining the Mormon doctrine of plural marriage. As Orson explained,

“...it is rather new ground to the inhabitants of the United States, and not only to them, but to a portion of the inhabitants of Europe; a portion of them have not been in the habit of preaching a doctrine of this description; consequently, we shall have to break up new ground.

“It is well known, however, to the congregation before me, that the Latter-day Saints have embraced the doctrine of a plurality of wives, as a part of their religious faith. It is not, as many have supposed, a doctrine embraced by them to gratify the carnal lusts and feelings of man; that is not the object of the doctrine.”

Orson Pratt had notably been the apostle whose wife had been seduced by John C. Bennett. Orson’s original refusal to sustain Joseph Smith in the midst of Bennett’s attacks had caused great turmoil in the Quorum of the Twelve while Joseph was in hiding during 1842.

With open acknowledgement that Mormons practiced plural marriage, or polygamy, opposition to the Mormons and their beliefs intensified. It is widely believed that open preaching of plural marriage ended any chance for Utah statehood when the attempt was made in 1856. The number of individuals gathering to Utah declined in the wake of this announcement. In 1852 thirty-five companies had traveled to Utah. Two years later the number was less than half as many.

1854 – Mourning the Martyrs

On the tenth anniversary of the death of Joseph and Hyrum Smith, a special meeting was held in Salt Lake City. John Taylor was the featured speaker, giving his first public description of the events inside Carthage jail. By June 1854 Taylor was the sole living witness. Fellow survivor, Willard Richards, had passed away in March 1854.

Taylor’s sermon was recorded by George D. Watts using Pittman shorthand. However as Watts never transcribed the sermon, many details of the sermon were unknown to historians until 2011, when LaJean P. Carruth’s transcription of the 1854 Taylor sermon was published. It is unknown why George D. Watts excluded this sermon from his Journal of Discourses.

John Taylor’s address discussed not only the day Joseph died, but also the events preceding Joseph’s incarceration at Carthage.

“In relation to some of these events, I can relate some of the outlines of these things. There was a time, some time, little time before these persecutions commenced; there was a time that was particularly trying to the people—new doctrine of what is called what used to be called then “spiritual wifery” (and the doctrine was first introduced of men having more wives than one). It was a thing new to the whole of us. Yet it was a thing that was substantiated by scripture and made manifest also by revelation, and it only needed men to have the spirit of God or women to know and to understand the principles that Joseph communicated unto them.”

It is possible that John Taylor, speaking extemporaneously, was merely unclear, allowing a reasonable person in the audience to suppose that spiritual wifery was merely an alternate term for plural marriage within the New and Everlasting Covenant. Or it could be that the vague reference to spiritual wifery was intentional. Taylor and other leaders were surely aware that there were thousands who had heard rumors of high Church leaders and spiritual wifery in Nauvoo. Those who had only heard talk of spiritual wifery would be able to recast their memories within a context where plural marriage was regulated and approved of God. Emily Partridge is an example of one who would later suggest that “spiritual wives, as they were called in those days” were merely plural wives within the context of the New and Everlasting Covenant.

John continued:

“I remember being with President Young and Kimball and I think one or two others with Brother Joseph soon after we had returned from England. He talked with us on these principles and laid them before us. It tried our minds and feelings. We saw it was something going to be heavy upon us. It was not that very nice, pleasing thing some people thought about it. It is something that harried up our feelings. Did we believe it? Yes, we did. I did. The whole rest of the brethren did. But still we should have been glad to push it off a little further...
John Taylor indicated that some of the apostles had learned about the principle of plural marriage soon after they returned from England. Some have inferred that this confidence occurred within days of the apostles’ return from England. From the perspective of nearly 12 years, however, a mere six months would also be considered “soon after” the apostles had returned from England. Elder Taylor then began to discuss the heresy that had torn the Saints apart.

“About this time John C. Bennett commenced some of his operations. He made use of some of those principles to corrupt to destroy not only himself but others.

“And as it was impossible almost together to come out and teach correct principles before the public in those days, some of those men got an inkling of these things and corrupted themselves—were full of <lasciviousness> and abomination, and corrupted their own bodies—and sought to destroy others. And they succeeded in great measure with many.

“I could name the names of many: John C. Bennett, the two Higbees, and some others I could name [but] do not feel disposed to do so. But they had to be handled and brought before the high council and the council had to sit with closed doors because of the corruptions there manifested. It was pretty generally known the course that was pursued.

“Joseph came out strongly against John C. Bennett. He was naturally a corrupt man and given to it. The first trouble that ever we met with was in the city council. I was present in the city council of Nauvoo and Joseph wished an ordinance to be introduced there upon adulterous practices. This militated so much against John C. Bennett, he began to go away from that time and to be Joseph’s enemy, and He then began to publish and circulate.”

John Taylor suggested he could name the names of many involved in John Bennett’s corruption. We cannot known how many in the audience were afraid he might do just that. But John stopped after naming those who had already been publicly exposed in the newspapers: John Bennett and the Higbee brothers.
Following the sermon, George D. Watts filed his shorthand notes away, apparently having decided that Elder Taylor’s sermon was not worthy of including in the *Journal of Discourses*. John Taylor’s summary of the heresy that ripped Nauvoo asunder and the subsequent conspiracy by the heretics which led to Joseph’s death would therefore disappear from the historical record.

1856 – Arrest and Handcarts

In the fall of 1855 *Putnam’s Magazine* published an article recounting a visit one of their reporters made to the home of Joseph Leland Heywood, the U.S. Marshall for Utah. The reporter interviewed Heywood and two of Heywood’s three wives. According to the article, this was “the only instance in which I have seen two wives of the same man together…” The reporter ended his piece predicting the imminent demise of Heywood’s original wife, Sarepta. The reporter had “detected in her countenance, while in repose, a look so gloomily sad, that her whole heart of agony lay bare before me. Poor, poor wife! Her days are destined to be few, and full of trouble.”

A month after the article was published, 40-year-old Heywood married his 16-year-old ward, Mary Bell, and proceeded to Washington DC.

Once in DC, Heywood was notified he had been fired from his position as U.S. Marshall. Heywood was also arrested for stealing five dollars, a week’s wages for a senior employee. The accusation was later determined to be false. Reeling from the twin blows of being fired and arrested, Heywood visited Senator Stephen A. Douglas, who Heywood had known when he was a successful merchant in Quincy, Illinois. When Senator Douglas received Heywood, he inquired after Heywood’s wife, Sarepta, whom he had known in Illinois. Senator Douglas asked “if she was living.” It appears Stephen Douglas had read the article in *Putnam’s Magazine*, with the dire predictions regarding Sarepta Heywood. Joseph Leland Heywood assured Senator Douglas that Sarepta was fine.

Meanwhile, those who were willing to embrace Mormonism in the face of polygamy had only the most meager economic resources. The Church funds to support emigration had been exhausted. Those who had previously traveled to the Salt Lake Valley walked most the way. Brigham Young suggested that these impoverished converts might be able to walk, pushing their few belongings in hand-drawn carts. The handcarts were accompanied by wagons to carry the food and supplies needed by all to succeed in the journey. The three initial handcarts companies made the journey successfully, leaving Iowa City in June, and departing Florence, Nebraska, roughly six weeks later, in July. These three companies arrived in Utah nine weeks after leaving Florence, in late September and early October.

Two handcarts companies arrived in Florence roughly a month after the initial three, followed by two wagon companies. Late departure would risk encountering winter weather, but a majority of the pioneers with the Martin, Willie, Hunt, and Hodgetts companies decided to press on to Utah. Winter arrived early that year, trapping the four companies near Devil’s Gate, Wyoming.

Over a hundred members of the companies died that snowy October. The proximate cause of their deaths was the decision the companies’ leaders had made in Florence to urge the pioneers forward despite the late date. Brigham Young sent rescue parties as soon as learning of the late companies, over a week before the blizzard and weeks before the pioneer deaths. Hundreds were saved. However as the one who developed the handcarts plan, Brigham Young was also condemned for the disaster.

It bears noting that the Church’s stance on plural marriage had contributed to the poverty that had inspired the handcarts plan. Similarly, the animosity of the individuals in Florence that made a late departure seem desirable was caused in large part by the Church’s acceptance of plural marriage.

Unaware of the unusually harsh conditions on the trail to Utah, Heywood left Washington, DC, to travel to Utah with the mail party. Delayed by weather, the mail party reached Devil’s Gate the day after Christmas, 1856. The mail party spent the rest of the winter snowed in at the horrible landmark, where the bodies of the recent dead had been left. Despite the meager food available, the members of Heywood’s party refused to eat wolf meat, presumably because of what the wolves had fed upon. Heywood and the entire mail party were eventually able to escape Devil’s Gate alive, arriving in Salt Lake Valley on March 23, 1857.

As a consequence of accounting disputes, the U.S. government refused to reimburse Heywood for the tens of thousands of dollars he had committed as U.S. Marshall, a value roughly equivalent to 10 million dollars in 2015. The U.S. government was no doubt concerned they were being bilked to support polygamists or pay for their protection. After fighting for the payments for decades, Heywood was finally able to obtain the funds from the U.S. government and pay those who had provided services.
1858 – The Utah War

In 1857, the United States decided to act on its paranoia about the allegedly seditious activities of the Utah Mormons. Secretary of War Floyd was anxious to prevent the U.S. government from using military force against a rebellious South. The specter of a rebellious polygamous Utah served as a pretext for sending armed forces thousands of miles to the west.

The so-called Utah War, prompted in large part by outsider concerns revolving around polygamy, was not a fighting war. Yet it created horrific economic conditions that further increased the need for leading men to reach out to protect Mormon women in the community.

The army began to form in May 1857. That same month well-loved Mormon apostle Parley P. Pratt was gunned down. The gunman was a drunkard, the estranged husband of Eleanor McComb [McLean]. Eleanor had fled her abusive marriage. Parley P. Pratt had protected Eleanor in San Francisco, California, when Hector McLean had beaten Eleanor and evicted her from their home. Eleanor and Parley had been sealed in Salt Lake City in 1855 at a time when Eleanor considered herself no longer married.

Word of Parley’s death arrived with the news that the U.S. army planned to march on Utah. The violence Mormons had experienced in Missouri and Illinois was fresh in the minds of many, revived by news of Parley’s death.

Brigham sent out orders that no one was to interact with the various wagon trains passing through Utah. But the order meant the wagon trains bound for California could not obtain necessary food and water. Tensions rose. On September 11, 1857, a group of Mormons in Iron County attacked the Baker-Fancher wagon train, which had camped at Mountain Meadows en route to California. Less than twenty children were spared, those who were believed to be too young to describe the massacre. 16

The advancing U.S. Army detachment was the largest single troop concentration then in the United States, numbering over 3,500 men. Given the magnitude of the armed threat and Mormon culpability for the tragedy of Mountain Meadows, Brigham required the Saints to flee.

Over 30,000 Mormons gathered south of the Traverse Mountain Pass separating the northerly Salt Lake Valley from Utah Valley to the south. The topography of the Traverse Mountain Pass is similar to that of Saratoga, where the Americans defeated the British during the Revolutionary War. An aggressor attempting to attack through such a pass can be stopped by cannon fire from the flanking mountains. 18

Once the U.S. Army approached Utah, negotiations ensued to avoid what was feared might become a bloody and damaging interaction. In late June 1858 terms were established. When the U.S. Army advanced into Salt Lake Valley, Mormon men stood at the ready to destroy all “improvements” on the land if the U.S. Army attempted to occupy Salt Lake City. The U.S. Army, under strict orders to comply with the terms of the June agreement, marched through the valley without incident.

In July 1858 the Mormons began to return to their homes. They had lost yet another growing season. In many Mormon settlements, the carcasses of untended livestock littered the fields. Prior to the Utah War, Mormons had established 90 communities. Following the 1858 Utah war, outlooky Mormon settlements in Idaho, Nevada, and California were abandoned entirely, never to be re-settled. Others would not be re-settled for decades.

US opposition to Utah and polygamy continued. In 1862 Congress passed the Morrill Anti-Bigamy Act. However the U.S. was embroiled in the Civil War by then. President Abraham Lincoln declined to use the act to interfere with the Mormons so long as they left the United States alone.

In the 1860s Joseph Smith III agreed to lead the Reorganized Church of Jesus Christ of Latter Day Saints (RLDS). Joseph Smith III took the position that his father had never taught or practiced polygamy, even though numerous Mormons who had remained in the Midwest knew Joseph Smith had taught plural marriage. RLDS missionaries began to proselytize in the West while the Civil War was still raging, hoping to redeem the “Brighamites” from the error of polygamy.

1870 – Women and the Vote

By 1870 various conditions had combined to make plural marriage a reality for many Mormon women. Though only a minority of Mormon men were every polygamists, roughly 50% of mature Mormon women had been married to a man with more than one wife by the 1870s. 20

Not obviously related to polygamy, Brigham Young did not want anything to do with outside economies. By demonizing trade outside of the Mormon community, Brigham Young alienated merchants.
Of these merchants, William Godbe actively fought against Brigham Young. Godbe and his supporters began publishing the *Utah Magazine*, a periodical that would later become the *Salt Lake Tribune*. In time Godbe became convinced that getting the vote to women would contribute to Brigham Young’s political downfall. Godbe believed enfranchised Mormon women would break the economic control Young held over the state of Utah. Godbe reportedly worked to get women the vote in Wyoming towards the end of eventually getting Mormon women the vote.

An amazing thing happened next. Both Mormon opponents and Mormon proponents decided it was in their best interest to secure the vote for women. Brigham’s opponents thought “oppressed Mormon women” would reject their oppressors. Brigham’s proponents saw that awarding women the vote would counter the image that Mormon women were oppressed.

While Wyoming’s fledgling vote for women got tied up in the courts, Utah’s decision to award the vote to women was celebrated by all. The size of the Mormon electorate swelled to roughly three times the previous male-only Mormon electorate. The first woman to cast a vote was Sarah Young, grand-niece of Brigham Young. Mormon women typically voted the way their men did. 21 Mormon control over Utah was not harmed at all.

As the opponents of Brigham Young realized their error, taking the vote away from Mormons, male and female, became their aim. Meanwhile, Brigham Young was concerned that Mormons were becoming reluctant to embrace their duty to embrace the New and Everlasting Covenant.

1873 – A Sermon in Paris

As Brigham neared the end of his life, he felt the people’s hearts cool towards the requirement to embrace plural marriage. The RLDS missionaries had continually claimed Joseph Smith taught no such doctrine.

In 1873 Brigham Young was certain plural marriage was constitutional and good for the welfare of the Mormon people. In 1873 Brigham was likely beginning to consider how to demonstrate that the LDS practice of plural marriage was constitutional. Brigham eventually selected George Reynolds to demonstrate that the Morrill Anti-Bigamy Act was an attack on Mormon freedom of religion, a freedom guaranteed under the “free exercise” language of the First Amendment. In order to serve as the test case, Reynolds would marry Amelia Jane Schofield on August 3, 1874.

Confident that plural marriage was beneficial and constitutional, Brigham visited Paris, Idaho. On Sunday, August 31, Brigham delivered a sermon touching on a broad number of topics, including plurality of wives. 22 Watts would include this sermon in his *Journal of Discourses*.

It was clear to Brigham that most in the audience would forget his words all too soon. He said, “Now I am going to tell you some things, and how long will you remember them? Until you get home?”

About two thirds of the way through his discourse, Brigham turned to the topic of a plurality of wives. He quoted a hypothetical woman saying “This is rather a hard business; I don’t like my husband to take a plurality of wives in the flesh.” Brigham answered this, saying “If we could make every man upon the earth get him a wife, live righteous and serve God, we would not be under the necessity, perhaps, of taking more than one wife.”

Next Brigham convolved two stories that were well-known to his audience. The first was the biblical story of the talents, where the man who had hidden his talent in the ground was stripped of that talent. Brigham suggested that it would be similar for the man who refused to accept a plural wife, that the wife he did have would be taken from him.

The second story was aimed at the women, who might think it better to be single in heaven than to cleave to a husband who might marry a plural wife. Brigham told the story of his sister, Fanny, who had claimed she would prefer to be a ministering angel in heaven than embrace Celestial Marriage. Joseph Smith had suggested Fanny that she did not know what she would want in heaven. Persuaded, Fanny agreed to covenant with Joseph then and there, a ceremony Brigham had performed.

Undoubtedly many in attendance forgot most of the sermon before they got home, as Brigham had predicted. But one man took the sermon all too seriously.

James Oakley and Mary Cooper [Oakley] joined the Church in 1850 in Nottingham, England, before the public announcement that Mormons embraced the possibility of a plurality of wives. It seems likely they became close to other Mormon converts in Nottingham, including a young unmarried woman named Ann Carson. The Oakley family remained in Nottingham until 1863. They emigrated to America in the midst of the US Civil War, shortly after Ann Carson’s marriage to a non-Mormon.
Mary was adamantly opposed to the possibility that James might take a plural wife. Mary had told James that if he ever required her to accept a plural wife, she would leave him. The family knew the pain such separation could cause. When they boarded the ship to America, their daughter was abducted from the ship by a non-Mormon suitor. For five years James and Mary mourned the separation from their daughter. Eventually their daughter’s marriage in England failed, and she joined the family in the west.

James listened in dread as Brigham told the talent parable, believing he risked losing Mary for all eternity if he failed to accept one of the widows in the community to be his plural wife. He knew there would be no convincing Mary. Though it was against policy for a man to covenant with a plural wife without express permission from the first wife, James no doubt explained his difficulty. D&C 132 explained that a man could marry plural wives without his first wife’s consent if she had refused to allow him to obey. 23

James might not have gone through with marrying a plural wife. But Ann Carson [Taylor] had recently arrived from England with her two young children. Her unbelieving husband had died, leaving her a widow. Mary had known James and Ann in Nottingham for over a decade, a friendship that would naturally become apparent to local leaders tasked with caring for widows. Surely, they may have reasoned, if there was any woman Mary might accept as a plural wife, it would be Ann. And they knew James wouldn’t wish to risk losing Mary in eternity.

Local leaders arranged for James to marry Ann Carson [Taylor] in the Endowment House in Salt Lake City. The ceremony took place October 12, 1874. 24 James and Ann then traveled back to Paris, to inform Mary of what had taken place. Mary had been at Brigham’s sermon the previous year. Surely she would understand James had only married Ann to ensure he wouldn’t lose Mary. And Mary knew Ann so well.

Mary did not see it that way. Without a word, she and their three youngest children walked out of their Paris home. Mary and her children started over again eight miles east of Paris, living in a dugout on a 160 acre homestead. The site of the dugout eventually formed the nucleus of a small community which became known as Dingle Dell and later as simply Dingle.
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Brigham Young would die before the U.S. Supreme Court upheld George Reynolds’s conviction on charges of bigamy. It is unlikely Brigham ever knew of the pain his parable had caused the Oakleys, or how that pain would reverberate through the generations to the present day. 25

1882 – The Edmunds Act: Taking Away Mormon Rights

In 1879 the Supreme Court upheld the lower court ruling in Reynolds v. the United States, confirming the Morrill Anti-Bigamy Act could constitutionally suppress the Mormon practice of plural marriage.

George Q. Cannon was serving as the Congressional Representative from Utah Territory at the time. In response to the Supreme Court’s decision, Cannon wrote:

“We married women instead of seducing them; we reared children instead of destroying them; we desired to exclude from the land prostitution, bastardy and infanticide… the law is swiftly invoked to punish religion, but justice goes limping and blindfolded in pursuit of crime.” 26

Cannon lived in Nauvoo in the 1840s and was the nephew of John Taylor’s wife, Leonora Cannon [Taylor]. Cannon had been sealed to John Taylor in the Nauvoo temple as an adopted son and was almost certainly familiar with details of the illicit intercourse scandal. Moderns who are unaware of the illicit intercourse scandal will find Cannon’s comments nonsensical. However knowledge of the 1841-1842 illicit intercourse heresy makes Cannon’s comments entirely understandable.

But the New York Times likely reflected the typical American opinion of the Mormon defense of plural marriage when it likened Mormonism to:

“a sect which should pretend, or believe, that incest, infanticide, or murder was a divinely appointed ordinance… that the enforcement of the common law, as against either [sic] of these practices, was an invasion of the rights of conscience.” 27

In 1882 Congress passed the Edmunds Act, 28 which increased the penalties for bigamy. The Edmunds Act expanded the coverage of the law to any couple determined to have been living together as man and wife or engaging in co-habitation. There would be no need to prove the marriage had
been formally solemnized, proof Brigham Young had protected as sacred Church records.

Plural wives went underground. Families throughout the Mormon settlements opened their homes to women without question. Even so, Mormon women and thousands of Mormon men were incarcerated. There were also deaths. George Manwaring, author of the iconic Mormon hymn “Oh How Lovely Was the Morning,” died of pneumonia contracted while imprisoned under the Edmunds Act.

Ironically, a man keeping a mistress was not considered co-habitation. Non-Mormons could indulge as before. Prostitution was legal. Brothels were located in Salt Lake City along second street, in Ogden, and near the army barracks. The brothels were populated with non-Mormon women brought in to satisfy the sexual desires of non-Mormon men in the region.

In an effort to destroy the Mormon influence in the region, the Edmunds Act denied the vote not only to polygamists or “cohabs,” but to anyone who would not publicly recant the right of individuals to cohabitate. In select instances, one had to recant Mormonism to be allowed to vote.

1886 – John Taylor Seeks Revelation

In 1886 the hunt to incarcerate Mormon leaders had intensified to the point that John Taylor, President and Prophet, decided he had to go underground.

As opposition mounted, Taylor felt the will of his people demanded he seek revelation on the matter. Apparently he inquired how far the New and Everlasting Covenant was binding on the members of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints. It seems John Taylor considered plural marriage synonymous with the New and Everlasting Covenant.

The response Taylor got demanded that the New and Everlasting Covenant remain in place. By this, John understood that he was not at liberty to end the practice of plural marriage. Men present in the house when the revelation was received would advocate for plural marriage after John Taylor’s death. One was Taylor’s son, Apostle John W. Taylor. The others were the safe house owner, John W. Woolley, and his son, Lorin.

1887 – The Edmunds-Tucker Act: Taking Away Mormon Property

Four key events occurred in 1887.

First, Sophia Whitaker, wife of John Taylor, suffered a serious stroke. As she lay near death, federal agents surrounded the home and bed where she lingered, hoping to apprehend the Mormon Prophet. They would invade Sophia’s bedroom whenever it was suspected John Taylor might have returned to comfort his dying wife. Sophia’s son, John Whitaker Taylor, stood at her side, witnessing these indignities. Sophia would die without ever seeing her husband again.

Second, David Patten Rich, a son of apostle and noted polygamist Charles C. Rich, was arrested for robbing a bank. David Rich was convicted of committing a felony and was excommunicated. David Rich’s example as a moral degenerate produced by Mormon polygamy became an important part in the lobbying to pass the Edmunds-Tucker Act.

Third, the Edmunds-Tucker Act passed. The Act called for the seizure of Mormon Church properties valued at more than $50,000. This would include the temples in which Mormons sealed families together and performed proxy baptisms.

Finally, John Taylor learned that Sophia had died and that the Edmunds-Tucker Act had passed, possibly receiving word of these events on the same day. Taylor died roughly two months after receiving news of these twin disasters.

Before John Taylor’s death, advisors had suggested the Mormons flee to Mexico. However John did not act on this advice. Instead he had moved to transfer as much Church property as possible into private hands.

1890 – Mormons Renounce Polygamy (Part 1)

With the death of John Taylor, Wilford Woodruff became the Mormon Prophet.

Decades before, when Joseph Smith died, Woodruff had been presiding over Church efforts in Europe and the eastern United States. Here he had uncovered William Smith’s wrongful actions and teachings in Massachusetts regarding illicit intercourse and spiritual wifery.
Unlike those who had preceded him as Church President, Woodruff did not marry a plural wife either during Joseph’s lifetime or in the year after Joseph’s death. 39 Woodruff would remain a monogamist until after the Nauvoo Temple was closed in early 1846.

But August 1846, Wilford Woodruff was at Winter Quarters. Given the lack of supplies and northern latitude, it was predicted the winter would be brutal. Many were in need of assistance. On August 2, 1846, Wilford Woodruff covenanted with two young women: Sarah Elinor Brown (18), and Mary Caroline Barton (17).

Ignoring their covenants with Wilford Woodruff, Sarah Brown and Mary Barton would stay out all night with other men. When Woodruff commanded them to end the late-night activities, they refused. Woodruff ended the marriages, sending Sarah and Mary away to spend winter with other families.

Sarah and Mary went on to live respectable lives in the extended Mormon community. Sarah Brown eventually married Lisbon Lamb, who at eighteen years old was one of the youngest members of the Mormon Battalion. Lamb had departed Winter Quarters with the Battalion in July 1846, roughly a month before Sarah’s brief marriage to Wilford Woodruff.

It is possible the teenagers were worried about Lamb. He was young and would be one of the sick soldiers sent to Pueblo in October 1846. Lamb’s youth and fragile health suggest an innocent reason the teenagers chose to talk all night despite Woodruff’s orders.

Given Wilford Woodruff’s history with early polygamy, he was not as vested as his predecessors had been in continuing plural marriage as a necessary mortal component of Celestial Marriage. As federal scrutiny intensified in the late 1880s, Woodruff ceased living with all but one of his wives. When Woodruff learned a plural marriage had been performed in the Endowment House without his permission, he had the structure razed.

Faced with the fact that the Edmunds-Tucker Act would result in the loss of the temples if Mormons continued to practice plural marriage, Wilford Woodruff issued the 1890 Anti-Polygamy Manifesto. The Manifesto advised Mormons not to enter into any future plural marriages prohibited by the law of the land. 40 Woodruff explained it was more important to retain the temples and the ability to perform the saving ordinances than maintain the practice of plural marriage in mortality.

Four years later, Woodruff would further revise the Mormon understanding of temple ordinances. At the time of the 1846 temple ordinances in Nauvoo, it had been impossible for many saints to seal themselves along family lines. The practice had grown up of sealing or adopting people to Church leaders. In April 1894, Wilford Woodruff stated that sealings should link individuals to their actual parents. 41 Shortly thereafter, the Utah Genealogical Society was formed.

1896 – Utah Becomes A State

With Mormon polygamy renounced, the United States cautiously considered making Utah a state.

One major question was whether women would be allowed to vote in the new state. Advocates of statehood initially desired to separate women’s suffrage from the matter of Utah’s status within the United States. They were concerned that opposition to giving the vote to women might derail recognition of Utah as a state. But the insistence of the women led to inclusion of their right to vote with the language making Utah a state. Though Utah had originally granted voting rights to women nearly a quarter century earlier, only Wyoming and Colorado were allowing women to vote at the time Utah finally became a state in 1896. Universal female suffrage would become law in the United States in 1920 when the 19th Amendment passed. Prior to 1920, most western states had accepted female suffrage, including every western state where Mormons had settled prior to 1870. The contribution of Mormon women to female suffrage in the west should not be dismissed.

In the minds of many Mormons, it was unclear whether the Manifesto affected their ability to practice polygamy in other nations. Although polygamy was illegal in Canada and Mexico, those countries had not decided to take a hard stance against Mormon polygamy. The settlement of Cardston, Alberta, Canada, just north of the United States border, was established by Mormons in 1887 at the behest of John Taylor for the express purpose of creating a Mormon colony that was beyond the reach of the United States’ anti-polygamy prosecutions. Mexican communities, such as Colonia Juarez, sprung up just south of the U.S. border, filled with plural wives and their children.

Though the majority of Mormons shrugged off plural marriage willingly, a select few clung to the practice. These few believed plural marriage in mortality was a critical component of the restored gospel. 42 The days of defiance were about to begin.
Fifty Years in the Wilderness – Notes

The Mormon dedication to plural marriage arguably informed every aspect of pioneer history. Whether directly or indirectly, plural marriage caused the attempt to use handcarts, the Utah War, the Mountain Meadows massacre, female suffrage, and settlements in Canada and Mexico.

30 – Days of Defiance

Most Mormons welcomed the end of plural marriage, announced by Wilford Woodruff in 1890. The suffering caused by government enforcement of anti-polygamy laws had been great. Many had longed for plural marriage to end even before imposition of harsh political measures.

Yet even when Wilford Woodruff announced that plural marriage should end, not everything was over.

For the vast majority of men involved in a plural marriage, Wilford Woodruff's pronouncement ending polygamy did not persuade them to put away their plural wives. Many of these men were older, with older plural wives who were at or near the end of their childbearing years.

A few men involved in plural marriage had married young brides in the final years before the Manifesto was issued. These were often inspired by John Taylor’s dying conviction that plural marriage was the New and Everlasting Covenant. These few men believed the requirement to enter into plural marriage could never righteously be taken from the earth.

Meanwhile, the United States had taken a hard position that polygamy was utterly wrong. On this point the people of the United States were of one mind as they have rarely been since.
Mind Your Own Business

Once the Morrill Act was upheld by the Supreme Court in 1878 and the Edmunds Act was signed into law in 1882, plural marriages were conducted in strict secrecy. Young wives retained their maiden names even when they moved away from home. A young man could never know if his lady love kept her distance due to disdain or because she had already secretly pledged herself to another. ¹ In the years leading up to Wilford Woodruff’s announcement ending polygamy, Mormon culture had adopted means of hiding the actual practice of polygamy.

Annie Clark [Tanner] tells of the women who existed in the Mormon Underground. Pregnant women would be taken in without question. They would not be asked their name or where they came from. When she herself was pregnant and underground, she had a complete false history at the ready, should anyone ask her who she was.

In August 1889 Annie Tanner was with her young daughter at the home of her aunt, Mary Rich. President Wilford Woodruff was visiting, along with Apostles George Q. Cannon and Francis Marion Lyman. ² Wilford Woodruff saw Annie playing with her daughter and asked if the child was Annie’s. Annie acknowledged that the girl was her child. Then President Woodruff asked who the father might be. Annie hesitated, saying nothing. Elder Cannon came to her rescue, saying “That is hardly a fair question, is it, Brother Woodruff?” ³

Ironically, Annie’s husband was present that week. But they were never together in public. Similar scenes played out throughout the rest of the Mormon settlements. No one wanted to know anything they might have to testify to in a court of law. ⁴

When President Wilford Woodruff issued the Manifesto in 1890, it was not clear whether the ban on plural marriages was supposed to end existing plural marriages. The wording of the Manifesto led some to think plural marriages might still be possible to contract in countries other than the United States, where governments did not care enough to prosecute. Given a culture where participants in plural marriages were not willing to tell the prophet himself of their status, the Manifesto became something the Church could not effectively enforce.

Testing the Waters

Intense anti-polygamy persecution had been mounted against the Church. The Manifesto had declared that new plural marriages should no longer be solemnized in the United States, the country in which all Mormon temples existed at that time. To set an example, both Church President Wilford Woodruff and Presiding Apostle Lorenzo Snow severed earthly ties with their plural wives, each spending the rest of their life with only one of the women with whom they had respectively covenanted for eternity.

Others, however, continued to believe polygamy was a fundamental principle of exaltation.

One of those who resisted was B. H. Roberts, born 1857 in England. Roberts had started adulthood as a boozing, gambling miner who could not read. By the time Roberts was in his thirties, he had become a staunch defender of the faith, a prolific writer, a member of the First Quorum of Seventy, and husband to three women.

In 1898 B. H. Roberts ⁵ ran for Congress. He won election as a Democratic member of the House of Representatives. Because Utah was newly a state, this meant Roberts would be a voting member of Congress if allowed to take his elected seat. Constituents throughout the United States rebelled at the thought of admitting an acknowledged polygamist to Congress. Anti-polygamy activists distributed petitions demanding Roberts be barred from serving in Congress. The originals of these petitions are housed in the U.S. Archives, where they occupy multiple feet of shelf space. The number of signatures collected is in excess of 50% of the number of enfranchised voters at that time. ⁶ Roberts was never seated in Congress.

The Actions of the Sons

Most LDS Church officials continued to privately acknowledge their plural wives. The majority of these men married their plural wives before the beginning of intense government sanctions. They were now old men and their wives were largely beyond the age of childbearing.

A minority, however, had been willing to marry even in the face of the dire sanctions of the Edmunds-Tucker Act and the anticipated prohibition against polygamy on the part of the LDS Church. Now that Utah was a state, this minority quietly began to marry additional women, believing they could
properly perform sealing ordinances in opposition to the direction of the prophet. 7

In 1901 the three youngest apostles married additional plural wives. John W. Taylor and Abraham Owen Woodruff were the sons of former prophets, admitted to the Quorum of the Twelve Apostles when they were in their mid-twenties. Matthias F. Cowley was the third apostle to break ranks and marry a plural wife in the new century. Joseph Marion Tanner, husband of Annie Clark [Tanner], was another prominent Church leader who followed the lead of the three youngest apostles.

John W. Taylor, born in 1858 during the Utah War, was the senior of these youngest apostles, both in age and apostolic rank. It is likely he was the ring-leader of those entering into post-manifesto polygamy. In 1886 John W. Taylor had been guarding the door in the home of John W. Woolley 8 when President John Taylor reportedly received a revelation. In response to John Taylor’s question asking if the Church was still bound to continue the New and Everlasting Covenant, God had said yes. John W. Taylor shared his father’s opinion that the New and Everlasting Covenant was synonymous with plural marriage.

In January, 1901, someone performed a marriage uniting 27-year-old Owen Woodruff with Eliza Avery Clark, an 18-year-old who had been born in Farmington, Utah. On August 29, 1901, someone performed marriage ceremonies binding John W. Taylor to two half-sisters as his fourth and fifth wives. Taylor’s new brides were college-educated Eliza Roxie Welling and Phoebe Welling, 9 also from Farmington, Utah. In 1901 Matthias Cowley married Mary Lenora Taylor. 10

Given the secrecy surrounding plural marriages solemnized after the Manifesto, it is not always possible to determine when the marriages occurred or who had officiated. However it is certain the actions of these young apostles suggested plural marriages could continue despite President Woodruff’s 1890 Manifesto. The three junior apostles were young, handsome men. Their new wives were young women with their entire reproductive lives ahead of them.

The Smoot Hearings or Mormons Renounce Polygamy (Part 2)

In 1902, Apostle Reed Smoot obtained permission from the Church to run for the U.S. Senate. He won the election and was seated in 1903. National opposition to Reed Smoot was immediate. As a Mormon, Smoot was suspected of being a polygamist. As an LDS apostle, Smoot was suspected of being a mere puppet for the Mormon hierarchy.

The Smoot hearings would produce a huge record. According to Kathleen Flake:

“The four-year Senate proceeding created a 3,500-page record of testimony by 100 witnesses on every peculiarity of Mormonism, especially its polygamous family structure, ritual worship practices, ‘secret oaths,’ open canon, economic communalism, and theocratic politics. The public participated actively in the proceedings. In the Capitol, spectators lined the halls, waiting for limited seats in the committee room, and filled the galleries to hear floor debates. For those who could not see for themselves, journalists and cartoonists depicted each day’s admission and outrage. At the height of the hearing, some senators were receiving a thousand letters a day from angry constituents. What remains of these public petitions fills 11 feet of shelf space, the largest such collection in the National Archives.” 11

The most famous sound bite from the trial was uttered by Senator Boies Penrose of Pennsylvania. Boies was unabashed in his appetites, which included food and women. The pompous self-righteousness of others irritated Penrose. Addressing the subject of polygamy, Penrose reportedly glared at Senate colleagues who had reputations for philandering and said:

“As for me, I would rather have seated beside me in this chamber a polygamist who doesn’t polyg than a monogamist who doesn’t monog.” 12

Despite four years of hearings, the Senate was ultimately unable to muster the 2/3 majority required to expel a member from the Senate. 13 In 1904, however, the outcome of the Smoot hearings was far from certain. Early in the proceedings, LDS Church President Joseph F. Smith was asked to testify before Congress.

Joseph F. Smith was one of those who had refused to put away his plural wives. He acknowledged to the U.S. Congress that his own unwillingness to give up his plural wives had set a bad example. Three months later, on June 6, 1904, President Smith issued a reiteration of the Church’s position on plural marriage:
Inasmuch as there are numerous reports in circulation that plural marriages have been entered into, contrary to the official declaration of President Woodruff of September 24, 1890, commonly called the manifesto, which was issued by President Woodruff, and adopted by the Church at its general conference, October 6, 1890, which forbade any marriages violative of the law of the land, I, Joseph F. Smith, President of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints, hereby affirm and declare that no such marriages have been solemnized with the sanction, consent, or knowledge of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints.

And I hereby announce that all such marriages are prohibited, and if any officer or member of the Church shall assume to solemnize or enter into any such marriage, he will be deemed in transgression against the Church, and will be liable to be dealt with according to the rules and regulations thereof and excommunicated therefrom. 14

JOSEPH F. SMITH, President of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints.

Significantly, B. H. Roberts seconded the new statement.

The next day Owen Woodruff’s first wife, Helen, died of small pox in Mexico. 15 Owen Woodruff himself passed away of small pox later that month. 16 The boyish apostle’s audacious practice of post-Manifesto polygamy would therefore be largely forgotten by history. 17

However John W. Taylor and Matthias Cowley continued to solemnize plural marriages, despite the 1904 reiteration of the Church’s position. 18 When they were called as witnesses in the Smoot hearings, they fled the country and went into hiding. 19

In 1905, Taylor and Cowley were called before their colleagues in the Church hierarchy. They resigned from the Quorum of the Twelve at the request of Joseph F. Smith on October 28, 1905.

In 1909, after Reed Smoot was no longer at risk of being ousted from the U.S. Senate, John W. Taylor married his secretary, Ellen Sandburg. 20 He was able to keep this sixth marriage quiet until 1911. When the Quorum of the Twelve learned of this post-1904 marriage, they questioned John W. Taylor. Taylor replied it was none of their business. John W. Taylor was excommunicated. Matthias Cowley was disfellowshipped, possibly because he had almost certainly performed the ceremony joining John W. Taylor and Ellen Sandburg.

Legend has it that John W. Taylor accepted his excommunication, but it broke his heart. 21 His financial dealings faltered now that he was no longer a member of the Church. 22 He was diagnosed with stomach cancer after the excommunication. He died in 1916 with President Joseph F. Smith sitting vigil for his last days. Some take the prophet’s vigil at the deathbed of his longtime colleague as a sign of their friendship. Others believe the prophet stood watch to ensure no one attempted to restore John’s blessings before he died. 23

After Matthias Cowley was stripped of his priesthood, the former apostle curbed his involvement in advocating for plural marriage. In 1936, after twenty-five years, Matthias was again ordained to the priesthood. But Matthias Cowley was never readmitted to the Quorum of the Twelve Apostles. Matthias’s son, Matthew Cowley, would rise to prominence in the Church, becoming an apostle in 1945. 24

The erring apostles had been silenced by death and Church discipline. However others took up the cause of perpetuating polygamy.

The Church of the Landlord

There were those, like John Taylor, who were convinced that the New and Everlasting Covenant was synonymous with plural marriage. One of these was John W. Woolley. It was in Woolley’s house that John Taylor reportedly received the 1886 revelation regarding the New and Everlasting Covenant. 25

As the man in whose house the John Taylor revelation had been received, John Woolley became convinced it was his duty to continue the practice of polygamy. Woolley preached that the Church leadership had fundamentally erred in ceasing the practice of plural marriage.

In 1914 John W. Woolley was excommunicated for performing plural marriages in his role as a temple sealer. Despite this public censure, John and his son, Lorin, appear to have believed the Church itself was secretly continuing the practice of plural marriage. In this vein, Lorin alleged that church president Heber J. Grant and apostle James E. Talmage had taken plural wives in the “recent past.” 26 The Church vigorously denied Lorin’s claims and excommunicated him in 1924.
John W. Woolley died in December 1928, claiming that he was the rightful successor to John Taylor and Wilford Woodruff, the last two Mormon prophets to allow plural marriages. It had been the now-dead apostle-sons of these two prophets who had participated in post-Manifesto polygamy. 27

At John Woolley’s death, Lorin C. Woolley assumed the mantle of leadership in his father’s movement to continue polygamy.

In 1912 Lorin published the first account of the 1886 revelation. 28 The story became more elaborate as the years passed. In 1929 Lorin published what he claimed was the authoritative account of the revelation reportedly received in 1886. Lorin alleged that John Taylor had set apart a cadre of men to ensure that no year passed by without children being born in the New and Everlasting Covenant of marriage. Supposedly the end of the world would result. 29 By 1929 the mainstream LDS Church no longer understood the New and Everlasting Covenant to be synonymous with plural marriage. Therefore Lorin Woolley specifically claimed John Taylor’s alleged actions meant that no year must pass without children being born into plural marriages.

Lorin Woolley assumed control of the Council of Friends, a priesthood council Woolley claimed was superior in authority to the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints. As Woolley argued his Council of Friends was the more authoritative organization, Woolley argued the Mormon Church could not appropriately excommunicate the polygamists. Lorin claimed the Council of Friends dated back to Adam. But most accounts simply report that Lorin organized the Council of Friends.

The Woolleys had said they were perpetuating necessary and fundamental tenets of Mormonism, including polygamy and the United Order. 30 Those who adhere to the Woolley faith tradition are often referred to as fundamentalists, a term coined in the 1940s by LDS Apostle Mark E. Peterson. 31

The vast majority of modern polygamists in the Mormon tradition belong to offshoots of Lorin Woolley’s 1929 Council of Friends. 32 Lorin would never live to see the many disparate fundamentalist traditions his controversial claims would spawn, with some leaders of fundamentalist sects found guilty of crimes including murder, rape, and incest. Mormons discovered to be practicing polygamy are excommunicated from the LDS Church. Their children must renounce polygamy to be baptized.

Fundamentalist polygamist groups are primarily located in the Western United States, Western Canada, and northern Mexico. Somewhere between 8,000 and 30,000 fundamentalists actually live in polygamous households.

One prominent fundamentalist leader, Warren Jeffs, was on the FBI list of ten most wanted criminals before he was apprehended and sentenced to life in prison plus twenty years for rape and incestuous abuse. Dan and Ron Lafferty were brothers who murdered their sister-in-law, Brenda Lafferty. Brenda had persuaded Ron’s wife to reject polygamy and leave Ron. The Laffertys also murdered their niece, Brenda’s infant daughter Erica. 33 The Lafferty case was documented in Jon Krakauer’s 2003 book about violent polygamist fundamentalism, Under the Banner of Heaven.

Despite the gross abuses of some, many fundamentalists are honorable individuals who merely feel they are holding true to a divine mandate to practice plural marriage, a mandate they feel the mainstream Mormon Church has abandoned. Meanwhile the modern LDS Church repudiates mortal polygamy.

What of the Taylors?

Some are confused by the history regarding the end of polygamy. Some are tempted to believe the modern Mormon Church was wrong to abandon polygamy in 1890. If this is true, the experience of one family may be of particular interest.

John Taylor went to his death bed believing that plural marriage was necessary. John Whitaker Taylor persisted in this belief as a high Church leader, until he was stripped of every privilege of Church membership. What did the third and fourth generations from John Taylor and his son choose?

The Taylors chose to remain in the mainstream LDS Church, the Church that walked away from “the principle.” As late as 1980 the matriarchs of the family were carefully teaching their descendants of the dangers of fundamentalist sects. These matriarchs were telling their children and grandchildren of their status as precious children of a storied heritage, children that fundamentalists would desire to seduce to their cause.

As of 1980, it was alleged that only one modern descendant of John Taylor had been involved in fundamentalist polygamy. This one young woman had the marriage annulled as soon as she became aware that her husband was a polygamist. 34 It is doubtful modern descendants of John
Taylor have aligned themselves with fundamentalist polygamy. Yet if there were some secret polygamous way that led to “true” salvation, should not the modern descendants of the Taylors have sought that “true” salvation? 35

Days of Defiance – Notes

In the years of intense federal opposition to plural marriage during the 1880s, Mormons developed ways to continue the practice. Women were not questioned about who might have fathered their children. Homes were opened to women with no questions asked. The women had false histories at the ready. Annie Clark [Tanner] would not even tell Wilford Woodruff, the prophet himself, the identity of her daughter’s father.

The vast majority of Mormons rejoiced when the requirement to practice plural marriage was lifted in 1890. But some felt it was wrong to end a practice for which they and their forebears had suffered so intensely.

B. H. Roberts, husband to three wives, was elected to the U.S. House of Representatives in 1898. National outrage produced a petition that occupies multiple feet in the Library of Congress. Roberts was never seated as a Congressman.

Three junior apostles, Taylor, Cowley, and Woodruff, entered into plural marriages in roughly 1901. John W. Taylor and Owen Woodruff were sons of two LDS Presidents who had taught plural marriage. In addition to practicing plural marriage, the three began to encourage others to enter into plural marriage.

Apostle Reed Smoot was given authorization to run for the U.S. Senate in 1902. When Smoot was elected, Senate hearings continued for four years, looking into Smoot’s past and all aspects of Mormon religion. Though Smoot was never ousted from the Senate, media attention clarified that the LDS Church needed to regulate rogue members. A Second Manifesto was issued in 1904. Taylor and Cowley were disfellowshipped. Woodruff had died.

With the junior apostles silenced, John and Lorin Woolley took it upon themselves to continue the practice of what they considered fundamental principles, including the United Order and plural marriage. There are currently between 8,000 and 30,000 fundamentalists. Meanwhile the mainstream LDS Church has in excess of 15 million members. 36

31 – God’s Strange Act: A Legacy

In the fall of 2012, Taylor volunteered to campaign for one of the two U.S. presidential candidates. He was primarily motivated by political ideology, but he also hoped that he might meet someone. He had fought for his country in Iraq and served a mission to Thailand. For a couple of years since his mission, Taylor had been hoping to meet someone he could marry. He had dated, of course, and he would introduce whichever woman he was dating to his family. Time after time, however, he would eventually have to tell well-wishers that, no, he was no longer dating the young woman he had told them about.

In the pre-dawn mist, Taylor surveyed the group of fellow campaigners. They had gathered at the vans to travel to a swing district for the weekend of campaigning. Instead of the group of college students he had expected, the other campaigners were mature individuals or children. Resigned, Taylor set about making friends of those around him.

After dawn, the vans of campaigners stopped for a break. Taylor noticed a woman amidst the older folks and helpful children. She was bundled in her coat against the fall chill, hair pulled back in a knot, glasses framing an attractive face of undetermined age. Taylor turned back to his new-found friends and continued the discussion. He did not want his new friends to feel he was willing to ditch them just for an attractive woman. Besides, the woman might turn out to be married or otherwise uninterested in a college student
like himself. However Taylor’s new friends urged him to meet the lady on the other side of the group.

Her name, Taylor learned, was Shazia. And, no, she was not married, nor would she be unwilling to date a college student. As the weekend progressed, Taylor and Shazia began to learn how much they shared in common: music, academics, a love of the outdoors, politics, having a parent from Asia, pioneer heritage, ancestors who were shot at Carthage jail.

In time Taylor introduced Shazia to his family and updated his Facebook status. Eventually an e-mail from Taylor’s grandmother went out, days before Valentine’s Day. The subject read “Taylor’s technically not engaged yet, but the marriage is set…”

Thus began one of the myriad love stories of those who believe in the importance of marriage, of those who believe their unions can last for eternity.

Together, Forever

Our modern culture is filled with movies and cards talking about being together forever. And yet there is only one religion with a doctrine that allows for couples and families to be together in eternity.

This is the legacy of Joseph Smith. He taught that we could we enter into eternal covenants with one another. Further, he taught, we can solemnize eternal linkages between our family members reaching through all generations of mortal existence, all countries, all races.

We who love in this life know how much our spouse means to us, how much we care for our children, how much we care for our parents. As we consider the generations who preceded us and the generations yet to come, Mormons see mankind as a great eternal family. It is a grand global family that transcends all boundaries of time and space, a family that will transcend death and hell.

Isaiah prophesied that in the last day, the Lord God would rise up and save His people, as David saved Israel from the Philistines in the Valley of Gibeon. In that last day, Isaiah said, God would do his work, his strange work; and bring to pass his act, his strange act.

God would give His people line upon line, precept upon precept, giving them consolation, confirming their hope. In that day Elijah would appear and restore the sealing power, that the fathers might be sealed to their children, and the children to their fathers. The hour would come when those in their graves would hear the word of God, that Word which is life and light, with power to make all who will believe the children of God.

This, then, was the purpose of the restoration. It was to save all mankind by binding us together in families. The saving ordinance of baptism would be performed, by proxy if necessary, as a prerequisite to each individual’s entry into the great eternal union.

No other theology envisions this truly universal salvation of mankind. Of modern religions, only in the religion Joseph Smith restored will each child of God become free from the circumstances and limitations of their birth. In the theology believers claim Joseph Smith restored, all are provided the means for salvation and then permitted to choose whether to embrace the salvation of Christ or reject it.
Why Polygamy?

If the family of mankind is to be bound together for eternity, it had to be possible to bind together those families where a woman had been married to a man who had another wife during his lifetime.

A huge amount of controversy and suffering has been endured over plural marriage. Yet it seems Joseph’s introduction of plural marriage as part of the New and Everlasting Covenant was merely a procedural footnote to the great work of sealing mankind along family lines.

In great stories, the hero’s quest is to right the wrong that looms over the people.

Christ died that all might be saved, that all might be resurrected. Paul told the Corinthians, “Else why are they baptized for the dead, if the dead rise not at all…” From this exasperated comment, we gain insight into a primitive Christian church that was performing ordinances on behalf of the departed. The primitive Christian Church was extending salvation to more than just those few who were privileged to hear it and embrace it in this life.

Yet this salvation is not just for the children of first wives. Insistence on monogamy as the only valid form of marriage had to be broken, else the great work of binding families together would fail. Women who married widowers would have been cut off. The plural wives of 70% of mankind’s cultures would have forever been cut off. And with these women, vast numbers of children would also be cut off.

A culture willing to kill over polygamy would not have willingly birthed the understanding that a woman and her children could be eternally sealed to a man with another wife. And so restoration of that one small aspect of the work required the sacrifice of “the best blood of the nineteenth century,” as Joseph’s death is described in the Doctrine and Covenants.

Why the Secrecy?

Of late there have been those disturbed that the LDS Church appeared to hide the past regarding polygamy. Something, surely, was rotten about this, if it had to be so thoroughly buried.

Three factors come into play. First is that plural marriage is not what you want dominating an initial conversation about salvation and the precious gift of Christ’s atonement. Yet when would plural marriage be discussed, if not then? Plural marriage is discussed when one is studying the history of the Church. But most people never get to a stage where they are seriously studying the history of the Church. Thus most people get stuck at a level where they are uncomfortable at the thought of a man being able to have more than one wife, yet do not have the background to understand why God might have restored this “principle.”

Second, there are those who learn of plural marriage and desire to practice it, believing (incorrectly) that if it was good enough for Joseph, it is good enough for them. Surely this fear should be receding over a hundred years after the excommunication of John W. Taylor. But today’s general authorities were born when this was a very real threat. Some have adult memories of Apostle Richard Lyman’s excommunication in 1943. Lyman had slipped from friendship with a woman to an imagined union with her in heaven to an adulterous “polygamous” liaison. Lyman betrayed his wife and thousands who had honored him. Leaders of the modern Church do not want to risk losing anyone else they love to polygamy.

Third, the actual history of Nauvoo polygamy and plural marriage has been shrouded in secrecy. This was originally intended to protect the repentant souls who had been seduced by John C. Bennett and his Strikers. Yet how could the Church tell those things which had been stricken from the record, details that had only ever been known to a select few? Those few took the secrets to their graves over a century ago.

Today, with the internet, the mangled and secretive story has power to wound, where it could previously simply be hidden. And so today it is necessary to assemble the story, as best as we possibly can, so that the most accurate truth can be laid before all, believers and detractors alike.

Knowledge Brings Peace

The initial draft of this book was written as a series of blog posts. I thought there would be many who would challenge my views, bringing forward facts that would fundamentally alter my reconstruction. I looked forward to the challenge. Peer review is a proven method I have long used in my scientific career for arriving at a better final result.

What I could not be sure of was the number of those commenting and e-mailing me directly, telling me that this reconstruction made sense of a history they had relegated to a back shelf. These were often those who had made a
decision to hold to the Mormon faith, even though Joseph’s practice of plural marriage had remained a troublesome mystery.

Some have supposed me dogmatic in my views. But I have been open to change in response to data. Those who followed my blog posts in 2014 saw this. I originally did not know the extent of John Bennett’s seduction of Joseph’s people. I did not originally think the Strikers had been directly involved in Joseph’s killing. I did not originally consider my ancestor, Austin Cowles, to be a major conspirator contributing to Joseph’s death. I did not originally acknowledge how much responsibility my ancestor, John W. Taylor, bore for today’s Mormon fundamentalists. I had not originally imagined how many of the women involved in early Nauvoo polygamy might have been seduced by the Strikers. I did not know that Eliza R. Snow may have been raped or that she had modified her 1842 poem about marriage or that she had written describing an intimate relationship 9 with “that Foul hearted spirit, the traitor, The vile, faithless, rottenhearted wretch…,” presumably John C. Bennett.

This reconstructed history tells of terrible evil. And yet it has brought peace to some. In 2014 an e-mail arrived from someone related to Mary Clift. Mary’s son, Jason, has long been presumed to be one of the first children born into polygamy. The reconstructed history explains how Jason had actually been fathered by Gustavus Hills, 10 that the High Council minutes had not been an elaborate ruse to “protect” the secret of Celestial marriage. The e-mail read:

“Meg,

“I wanted to thank you for sharing your thoughts on the Theodore Turley/Mary Clift marriage… In researching [Mary’s] life to present a biography, I was more than a little confused by the August 1842 Gustavus Hills testimony she gave in relation to the family’s insistence on the January 1842 marriage date. In asking [another family member] about it, he suggested (as a theory, since we do not know for sure) that it was a false testimony in an effort to hide the practice of plural marriage. I’ve recently discovered your theory that you published earlier this year to the contrary. This is much more satisfying to me in picturing both Mary and Theodore…”

As my correspondent concluded, we may never truly know what happened. But we must acknowledge that other theories regarding Nauvoo and polygamy are similarly uncertain.

Ultimately we should select those reconstructions that best fit the totality of the data. The totality of the data suggests Joseph rarely consummated his “marriages” with women other than Emma, possibly out of deference to his beloved Emma. Likewise, his love for even those who would ultimately kill him rendered him perhaps too willing to forgive.

Joseph’s Legacy

Joseph Smith’s teachings regarding the New and Everlasting Covenant, with its allowance for plural marriage in eternity, is consistent with the Bible and early Christianity, if utterly foreign to most modern Christian creeds. Today’s Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints or Mormonism represents the result of embracing that Covenant. It is a religion that envisions all mankind as brothers and sisters, a theology with a mechanism to save all mankind throughout the history of the world. It is a religion fundamentally based on the primacy of the love between spouses and the love between parents and children.

It is a religion that has power to offer salvation to even those who have fallen away: to John Bennett and William Law, to Francis Higbee and Lorin C. Woolley. When the final judgment commences, the hope is that all the ordinances of salvation will have been performed for all mankind, that all individuals will then stand before the judgment bar with an ability to make an informed post-mortal decision regarding Christ and God. At that time, those who choose Christ will have the option of embracing either the duly authorized baptism they enacted in life or the one that was performed by proxy on their behalf.

In that envisioned future judgment, no man or woman will be left behind except by their own, individual choice. No child will have been declared an eternal bastard unworthy of Christ’s salvation. All will be provided the ordinances of salvation as part of the human family, in all its complexities.

This, then, is the legacy of Joseph Smith, Jr. This is the reason it was worth giving his life. It was to restore the knowledge that marriages in eternity could, at times, diverge from the monogamous ideal.
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God’s Strange Act: A Legacy – Notes

Modern Mormon marriages are like the marriages of any other group, with the hope that the spouses can be together forever. While this hope is routinely voiced in cards and movies, there is no mechanism for this to be accomplished in any of the world’s religions, other than in Mormonism.

Plural marriage is merely an accommodation for the reality that some families do not fit the monogamous ideal. There is no need for every man to be a polygamist in eternity, or for every woman to presume she will have to share her husband. But as we attempt to bind the family of mankind together in all its complexity, a mechanism for dealing with the many realities of actual families was needed. The biblical family model, where each woman and her children are linked to one man, is sufficient to bind the human family together. Mormons trust God to make any required adjustments in heaven.

The secrecy of early plural marriage came about because of the illicit intercourse practiced and taught by Dr. John C. Bennett. At the time, names and details were kept quiet in hopes that the women and men affected would repent. The individuals of that past had no idea the way the information would get mangled in our day. They thought it no harm to take secrets to the grave, unrecorded.

We can continue to persist in the limited and prurient view of our past, or embrace the terrible and glorious truths that created Mormonism as it is—a religion that believes in families, even when they diverge from the ideal.
Appendix A – Conscientious History: A Guide

A reasonable person will want to observe a few short rules when reconstructing or evaluating history. Adapted from Wendie E. Schneider’s distillation of the 2000 ruling in Irving v. Penguin Books and Lipstadt.

1. Know the Basics of Evidence
   a. Primary Evidence is created by a participant in the event under investigation
      i. Contemporary evidence is created at the time of the event
      ii. Late evidence (usually recollection) is created after the event
   b. Secondary Evidence, contemporary or late, is created by those not present at the event and often includes interpolation or speculation
   c. Provenance
      i. If the story or artifact changed hands, was there opportunity for unintentional error to have crept in?
      ii. Was any source likely to have altered the story or artifact?
   d. Plausibility
      i. Is the story or artifact anachronistic?
      ii. Is the story or artifact contradicted by science?

2. Evaluate the Evidence before Publishing a Conclusion
   a. Treat all sources with appropriate reservations
   b. Do not dismiss counter-evidence without scholarly consideration
   c. Be even-handed in treatment of evidence
   d. Identify speculation when suggesting conclusions
   e. Correctly transcribe or translate documents. Omissions or elisions should be used to clarify evidence, not alter it
   f. Weigh the authenticity of all accounts
   g. Consider the motives of historical actors

3. Avoid Fraud, the deliberate misrepresentation or manipulation of historical evidence
   a. Do not knowingly present forgery as genuine
   b. Do not suggest implausible reasons for dismissing genuine evidence or reasonable conjecture
   c. Do not attribute distorted conclusions to sources
   d. Do not manipulate statistics to alter conclusions
   e. Do not deliberately mis-translate texts
Appendix B – Small Arms Ballistics

In 1860, the United States War Department conducted a series of ballistics tests, evaluating the accuracy of various guns at different ranges and wind conditions. Each test consisted of up to 50 balls shot at a 10' x 10' target, with marks every foot in the vertical direction and across the middle of the target horizontally. Often the tests had five different men shoot at the target. At other times five different men loaded the guns, but only one man shot the guns.

Contrary to popular wisdom, the shots from the rifles were not much more accurate than the smoothbore musket test. The main difference in the much-discussed outcome of Civil War battles was likely the minié ball. The minié “ball” is conical with an indented base. This irregular projectile deforms on impact, creating much more internal damage than a smooth round ball. In addition, breach-loaded rifles could be loaded more quickly than the old muzzle-loading guns.

The main factor in achieving highly accurate placement of the shots was allowing one skilled shooter to use a rest.

The source material for the images in this appendix is the 1860 Trial of Small Arms conducted by the War Department. The figures in this appendix were created by digitizing the impact points from key targets from the 1860 trials and adding a B-18 torso target to the center of each 10' x 10' target originally used.

For a B-18 torso target, the innermost rounded rectangle is the 10 point ring. Competitions are scored by giving each shot the number of points associated with the rings, with the smallest ring having the highest points. Shots impacting on or inside ring 9 would be considered kill shots.

Source:
Target #37
Smooth Bore Musket
Caliber .69
100 yards
No wind noted
10 men shooting by volley
50 total shots fired
37 struck 10' x 10' target
6 hit NRA B-18 target
0 hit NRA B-18 ring 9+

Target #13
Harper's Ferry Rifle
Caliber .58
100 yards
Moderate wind from right
5 men loading 5 arms
50 total shots fired by
one man from a rest
50 struck 10' x 10' target
50 hit NRA B-18 target
22 hit NRA B-18 ring 9+
## Appendix C – Alleged Children of Joseph Smith

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mother</th>
<th>Child</th>
<th>Discussion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Julia Clapp</td>
<td>Julia Murdock Smith (33)</td>
<td>Rumor circa 1842 spread by those who suspected Julia was not Emma’s daughter. Father was John Murdock.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fanny Alger</td>
<td>Orrison Smith (34)</td>
<td>Tradition disproved by genetic testing (Ycs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hannah Dabois</td>
<td>Mary Jane Smith (33)</td>
<td>Speculative tradition. First two children fathered by a John P. Smith, suggesting source of rumor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarissa Reed</td>
<td>Mosiah Lyman Hancock (34)</td>
<td>Tradition based on letter referring to “our Mosiah”, disproved by genetic testing (Ycs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marietta Carter</td>
<td>Sarah Elizabeth Holmes (38)</td>
<td>Assertion made to Ugo Perego, possibly based on Sarah’s closeness to the Smith family</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presendia Huntington</td>
<td>Oliver Norman Buell (40)</td>
<td>Anti-Mormon expose by Mary Etta Coray [Henderson Jones Smith]. Oliver disproved by genetic testing. John conceived 60 miles from Nauvoo, making it unlikely Joseph was involved.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lucinda Pendleton</td>
<td>Don Alonzo Smith (40)</td>
<td>Family tradition disproved by genetic testing (Perego e-mail to Hales of 12/6/2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zina Huntington</td>
<td>Zebulon Williams Jacobs (42)</td>
<td>Speculation by William Hall disproved by genetic testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Rollins Lightner</td>
<td>George Algernon Lightner (42)</td>
<td>Speculation. Mary Rollins suggested three children may have been fathered by Joseph, but never said her children were Joseph’s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eliza Snow</td>
<td>miscarriage (42)</td>
<td>Nov ’42 journal mentions death and vile wretch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nancy Marinda Johnson</td>
<td>Orson Washington Hyde (45)</td>
<td>Speculation by Fawn Brodie, 43 week pregnancy needed for unlikely conception window to work.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sylvia Sessions</td>
<td>Josephine Rosetta Lyon (44)</td>
<td>Speculation based on mother’s dying testimony. Disproved by autosomal DNA tests, presented 6/11/2016 to the Mormon History Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margaret Creighton</td>
<td>Josephine Henry (44)</td>
<td>Assertion by Larry E. King, circa 2008. Per Hales, mother pregnant upon arrival at Nauvoo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esther Dutcher</td>
<td>Joseph Albert Smith (44)</td>
<td>Speculation. Legal husband was Albert Smith, no relation to Joseph Smith.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Ann Frost</td>
<td>Moroni Llewelyn Pratt (44)</td>
<td>Speculation by Fawn Brodie, disproved by genetic testing, Parley Pratt is father</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olive Grey Frost</td>
<td>Child (45)</td>
<td>Rumor based on statement by “Aunt Lizzie,” reportedly born after Olive’s death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lucy Walker</td>
<td>Rachel Kanball (46)</td>
<td>Speculation based on Rachel’s death record, giving either wrong birthday or wrong age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lulu Vermont</td>
<td>Carolyn Delight</td>
<td>Assertion made to Ugo Perego. May be Carolyn Delight Allen (38), born to Lucy Morley [Allen]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bolded mothers believed to have been Joseph’s covenant wives
Bolded children denotes DNA analysis conducted by Ugo Perego
\(\odot\) - Died without producing children

---

Meg Stout – Reluctant Polygamist
## Appendix D – Timeline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1805, Dec</td>
<td>Joseph Smith is born</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1820, Mar</td>
<td>Joseph Smith experiences the First Vision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1823, Sep</td>
<td>Joseph Smith is visited by the Angel Moroni</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1827, Jan</td>
<td>Joseph Smith marries Emma Hale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1827, Sep</td>
<td>Joseph Smith retrieves the Golden Plates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1828, Jun</td>
<td>Emma Hale [Smith] gives birth to Alvin Smith, who dies hours later. Joseph Smith receives word that Martin Harris lost 116 manuscript pages of the Book of Mormon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1830, Mar</td>
<td>The Book of Mormon is published in Palmyra, NY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1830, Jun</td>
<td>Joseph Smith, Jr., organizes the Church of Christ, later known as the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints, or the LDS Church. Due to its association with the Book of Mormon, members of the LDS Church are referred to as Mormons and their religion called Mormonism.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1830, Sep</td>
<td>Emma Hale [Smith] chooses to remain with Joseph Smith when her father evicts Joseph from his farm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1831, Mar 7</td>
<td>Joseph Smith leaves off translating the Old Testament at Genesis 24:31. Based on Erastus Snow recollection, Joseph Smith received the revelation regarding the New and Everlasting Covenant of Marriage (aka plural marriage/Celestial marriage) while translating Genesis 17.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1831, Apr</td>
<td>Emma gives birth to twins Thaddeus and Louisa. They die within hours of birth. She is given the newborn twins of John Murdock, whose wife had died in childbirth. The twins are Joseph Murdock Smith and Julia Murdock Smith.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1832, Feb</td>
<td>Joseph Smith and Sidney Rigdon receive a revelation prompted by John 5:29 which describes a three-tiered heaven. This appears to be a partial answer to Joseph’s question from March 1831.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1832, Mar</td>
<td>Joseph Smith and Sidney Rigdon are attacked by a mob. Joseph’s adopted son, Joseph Murdock Smith, dies five days later.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1832, Nov</td>
<td>Emma gives birth to Joseph Smith III.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abt 1833</td>
<td>Fanny Alger becomes a maid servant in the Smith home.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abt 1834</td>
<td>Joseph is commanded to enter into plural marriage with Mary Elizabeth Rollins. He does not act. For years she has dreams that she is Joseph’s wife.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1836, Mar</td>
<td>The Kirtland Temple is dedicated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1836, Apr</td>
<td>Joseph Smith and Oliver Cowdery have a vision in the Kirtland Temple, where the keys of gathering Israel, the dispensation of the gospel of Abraham, and the keys to turn the hearts of the fathers to the children and the children to the fathers are bestowed on Joseph Smith.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abt 1836</td>
<td>Joseph Smith possibly enters into a Celestial marriage with Fanny Alger.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1836, Jun</td>
<td>Emma gives birth to Frederick Granger Williams Smith.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1836, Sep</td>
<td>Fanny Alger leaves Kirtland. Two months later she marries Solomon Custer. Regarding the end of Fanny’s stay with the Smiths, Oliver Cowdery develops the conviction that Joseph Smith had been guilty of adultery. Joseph counters that the alleged behavior would be appropriate in the context of a marriage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1838, Jun</td>
<td>Emma gives birth to Alexander Hale Smith.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1838, Oct</td>
<td>A Missouri mob attacks the village of Haunt’s Mill. 17 men are killed, including the husbands of Catherine Laur [Fuller] and Philinda Eldredge [Merrick].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1838, Nov</td>
<td>Joseph Smith is taken prisoner. He is ordered shot, but the officer refuses to obey. Joseph will eventually be incarcerated in Liberty jail.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1839, Apr</td>
<td>Joseph Smith is allowed to escape from Liberty jail. He flees Missouri and rejoins Emma in Illinois.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1840, Jun</td>
<td>Emma gives birth to Don Carlos Smith.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1840, Aug</td>
<td>A Missouri mob attacks Nauvoo. Marietta Rosetta Carter [Holmes], a neighbor of the Smiths who had been married in their home, is killed. Her baby, Mary Holmes, dies the following month.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1840, Sep</td>
<td>Dr. John C. Bennett protects the Smith homestead while Joseph Smith, Sr., gives his dying blessing to his children.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1840, Dec</td>
<td>Dr. Bennett wins passage of a City Charter for Nauvoo.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1841, Jan</td>
<td>Joseph pronounces D&amp;C 124, blessing Dr. Bennett that “for his love he shall be great…” At this time Dr. Bennett was courting a young woman and had apparently requested a bill of divorce from his estranged wife.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1841, Feb</td>
<td>Dr. Bennett is elected first Mayor of Nauvoo. Joseph becomes aware that Dr. Bennett may have a sordid past. He sends George Miller to investigate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1841, Mar</td>
<td>George Miller writes a letter confirming that Dr. Bennett has a sordid past, including reports from Bennett’s estranged wife alleging adultery and abuse.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1841, Apr</td>
<td>Sidney Rigdon becomes ill. Joseph Smith temporarily installs Dr. Bennett as Assistant President of the Church. Joseph Smith enters into a Celestial marriage with Louisa Beaman, member of a family that has known Joseph since translation of the Book of Mormon.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1841, May</td>
<td>Dr. Bennett engages in an illicit affair with Sarah Marinda Bates [Pratt], wife of Apostle Orson Pratt.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1841, NLT Jun</td>
<td>Dr. Bennett cultivates the widow Catherine Laur [Fuller] as a mistress. He claims any sin will fall upon him, and informs her he has medicine to prevent or terminate pregnancy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1841, Jul</td>
<td>Dr. Bennett confesses to adultery, possibly before a group of 60 men. Brigham Young and Heber Kimball return to Nauvoo from England Catherine Laur [Fuller] engages in illicit intercourse with George M. Thatcher Orson Pratt returns to Nauvoo and reunites with his wife. Dr. Bennett attempts suicide.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1841, Aug</td>
<td>William Clayton visits Nauvoo. Joseph Smith’s clerk, Robert Thompson, dies. An 1843 entry in William Clayton’s journal suggests Robert Thompson had been guilty of illicit intercourse. Orange Wight reportedly learns John Higbee has two wives and recalls girls were calling each other “spirituals.” Says by the following winter he was fully initiated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1841, Sep</td>
<td>Joseph Smith’s son and brother die, both named Don Carlos Smith. Don Carlos reportedly had said, “Any man who will teach and practice the doctrine of spiritual wifery will go to hell, I don’t care if it is my brother Joseph.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1841, Oct</td>
<td>Catherine Laur [Fuller] gives an affidavit naming October as the month Dr. Bennett began to claim that Joseph Smith taught and practiced illicit intercourse. Joseph Smith enters into Celestial marriage with Zina Diantha Huntington [Jacobs]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1841, Nov</td>
<td>William Smith settles in Nauvoo. He will eventually become a key member of Dr. Bennett’s illicit intercourse operation, helping convince women to yield, testifying that Joseph Smith teaches that it is right</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1841, Dec</td>
<td>Joseph Smith enters into Celestial marriage with Presendia Lathrop Huntington [Buell] Brigham Young asks Martha Brotherton to be his “wife.” Joseph prays for the welfare of “B.Y.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1842, Jan</td>
<td>Joseph Smith enters into a levirate marriage with Agnes Moulton Coolbrith [Smith], widow of his recently-deceased brother. Brigham Young officiates. The Nauvoo High Council urges the lesser priesthood to go to every home to teach the Saints their duty. This evolves into a city-wide census conducted in Feb 1842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1842, Feb?</td>
<td>Joseph enters into Celestial marriage with Mary Elizabeth Rollins [Lightner]. Joseph tells her to remain with her husband Joseph reaches out to Sylvia Sessions [Lyon], wife of dentist and apothecary, Windsor Lyon.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1842, Mar</td>
<td>Joseph reportedly marries Patty Bartlett [Sessions]. Patty is a midwife. The Nauvoo Women’s Relief Society is organized, with a founding purpose to warn the unwary. Emma Smith is president of the new organization.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1842, Apr</td>
<td>Marinda Nancy Johnson [Hyde] invites Nancy Rigdon to talk with Joseph Smith. Possible timeframe when Joseph attempts to talk with Emily Dow Partridge. When Emily refuses to be alone with Joseph or even accept a letter, Elizabeth Durfee asks the Patridge girls to visit and inquires what they know about spiritual wifery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1842, May</td>
<td>Joseph begins administering the initiatory and endowment ceremonies, with vows that sex is only for spouses A Masonic Lodge is established in Nauvoo Horace Whitney is sent to visit relatives in CT and OH Several informants describe suspicious interactions between women and men. Five women come forward to testify regarding having engaged in illicit intercourse and witnessed others in the act of sex. The testimonies clearly indicate the illicit intercourse heresy was started by Dr. Bennett the prior year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1842, Jun</td>
<td>Dr. Bennett is cut off from the Church Oliver Snow leaves Nauvoo Eliza Snow is taken into the home of Relief Society Counselor, Sarah Cleveland. Sarah Cleveland stands as witness as Joseph Smith reportedly enters into Celestial marriage with Eliza Snow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1842, Jul</td>
<td>Dr. Bennett begins to publish a series of exposés, alleging Joseph had taught illicit intercourse and planned to overthrow the United States. Bennett’s early tale claiming to have witnessed Joseph Smith in the act is never repeated in writing. Dr. Bennett accuses Joseph of attempting to seduce Sarah Bates [Pratt]. Joseph counter-accuses Dr. Bennett of engaging in an illicit affair with Sarah [Pratt]. Orson Pratt goes missing. He is found miles from Nauvoo near the river. It is believed Orson was contemplating suicide. Joseph Smith enters into Celestial marriage with Sarah Ann Whitney, sister of Horace Whitney.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1842, Aug</td>
<td>Joseph Smith goes into hiding due to Dr. Bennett’s claims Joseph was behind the shooting of Governor Boggs. Orson Pratt refuses to openly support Joseph Smith. The Quorum of the Twelve Apostles excommunicates Orson.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1842, Sep</td>
<td>Eliza Snow writes a poem dedicated to Jonathan Holmes. The original manuscript hints this was the first indication that husbands and wives can be “sealed” after death.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1842, Nov</td>
<td>Eliza Snow writes a series of undated poems talking about death, seduction, solitude, and “conscious innocence.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1842, Dec</td>
<td>Jonathan Holmes marries Elvira Annie Cowles. Elvira has allegedly already promised herself to Joseph Smith. Elvira does not conceive for another two years, months after Joseph Smith’s death. Eliza Snow begins teaching school, teaching daily until March 17, 1843.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1843, Mar</td>
<td>Joseph secretly marries Emily and Eliza Partridge. Emily’s account makes it clear the marriage was not consummated, at least not the first night.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1843, Apr</td>
<td>Erastus Snow returns to Nauvoo. Some time later Joseph Smith teaches him the doctrine of Celestial marriage and asserts “That the time had come now when the principle should be practiced.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1843, May</td>
<td>Emma Hale [Smith] agrees to enter into Celestial marriage, including giving Eliza and Emily Partridge to Joseph as a public symbol of her acceptance of the principle. Days after allowing Joseph to marry the Partridge sisters, Emma recants, taking action to curtail the Partridge girls’ access to Joseph. Even so, Emma does go through with the ceremony to be sealed to Joseph.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1843, Jun</td>
<td>Elvira Annie Cowles [Holmes] enters into covenant with Joseph Smith. Emma makes a demand of Joseph, who is commanded to grant Emma her desire. Emma and Joseph travel to Inlet Grove, IL. Joseph is attacked and taken into custody. Joseph’s friends are able to get Joseph back to Nauvoo and freedom. Joseph throws a party and invites the men who beat and arrested him to be his guests of honor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1843, Jul</td>
<td>Joseph writes down the revelation regarding the New and Everlasting Covenant (D&amp;C 132). Emma is told to cleave to Joseph and not partake of what she’d been offered.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1843, Aug</td>
<td>Hyrum shares the text of the revelation with the Nauvoo High Council. Some reject the revelation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1843, Oct</td>
<td>Hyrum Smith shares the revelation with William Law. Dr. Bennett writes about the “Doctrine of Marrying for Eternity, for the first time reflecting a correct understanding of Joseph’s doctrine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1843, Dec</td>
<td>Dr. Bennett visits Nauvoo. During the visit Dr. Bennett makes purchases at Joseph’s Red Brick Store. William Law is excluded from the Quorum of the Anointed &amp; removed as Assistant President of the Church.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1844, Feb</td>
<td>Thomas Sharp resumes post editing the Warsaw Signal. James Strang joins the Mormon Church. Men believed to be sympathetic to an anti-Joseph movement are contacted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1844, Mar</td>
<td>Dennison Harris and Robert Scott agree to spy on the conspirators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Joseph Smith establishes the Council of Fifty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Some two hundred attend meetings in the home of William Law where they talk of killing Joseph Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Joseph confers the keys of gathering, the gospel of Abraham, and the sealing power conveyed by Elijah on the heads of the Apostles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dennison Harris and Robert Scott narrowly escape after refusing to swear an oath to help murder Joseph Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1844, Apr</td>
<td>William Law excommunicated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1844, May</td>
<td>Austin Cowles excommunicated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Affidavits of some women seduced by John Bennett and Chauncey Higbee published in the Nauvoo Neighbor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1844 Jun</td>
<td>First and only issue of the Nauvoo Expositor is published by Chauncey Higbee et al., with affidavits from William Law and Austin Cowles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nauvoo Expositor press destroyed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Joseph arrested, imprisoned, and shot during a mob attack</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bodies of Joseph and Hyrum Smith returned to Nauvoo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1844, Sep</td>
<td>Brigham Young persuades the Mormons in Nauvoo that he is the rightful successor to Joseph Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>James Strang makes a claim that he has been designated Joseph’s successor. Many of the known conspirators ally themselves with Strang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Young and Kimball begin to solemnize plural marriages, including marrying numerous widows of Joseph Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1845, Oct</td>
<td>William Smith excommunicated after openly preaching regarding spiritual wifery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1845, Dec</td>
<td>The Nauvoo temple is dedicated and ordinance work begins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1845, Feb</td>
<td>The Mormons begin to leave Nauvoo, hoping to reach the Rockies in time to plant crops in summer 1845. They will not arrive until July 1847</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1845, Sep</td>
<td>The Battle of Nauvoo occurs as a mob of 1000 men attacks the scant 100 remaining residents of Nauvoo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1846, Jul</td>
<td>500 Mormon men sign up to serve in the U.S. Army to fight in the Mexican War. The Mormon Battalion never sees battle, but discovers gold at Sutter’s Mill and paves the wagon road across the Sierra Madres that will be used by those bound to California during the gold rush.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1847, Jul</td>
<td>The first Mormons arrive in Salt Lake Valley. Brigham Young declares that “This is the right place…”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1848, Sep</td>
<td>The Holmes-Thompson company, Battalion members who paved the wagon trail over the Sierra Madres, return to their families in Salt Lake valley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1852, Aug</td>
<td>Orson Pratt gives a public sermon announcing Mormons formally embrace the doctrine of plural marriage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1853, Jul</td>
<td>Former Nauvoo Stake President, William Marks, publishes account where Joseph Smith had reportedly condemned “this doctrine of polygamy, or Spiritual-wife System” weeks before his death in 1844.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1857, May</td>
<td>Apostle Parley P. Pratt is gunned down and stabbed by Hector McLean, whose wife and children had accepted Pratt’s protection in fleeing the abusive McLean. Pratt dies hours later from loss of blood. The killing revives Mormon feelings of persecution anchored in the violent expulsion from Missouri and Illinois, as well as the killing of Joseph and Hyrum Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1857, Jul</td>
<td>Federal troops leave Fort Leavenworth, KS, bound to Utah Territory to subdue to Mormons, who are believed to be in open rebellion against the United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1857, Sep</td>
<td>Brigham Young tells Mormons to avoid interactions with non-Mormons, including traditional assistance to travelers. The Baker-Fanche Party attempt to reach California by taking a trail through southern Utah. The party is attacked near Mountain Meadows. All in the party old enough to identify who the attackers had been are killed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1858, Mar</td>
<td>30,000 Mormons board up their homes. They move south of the Traverse Mountain Pass separating Salt Lake Valley (e.g., Salt Lake City) from Utah Valley (e.g., Provo).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Date** | **Event**
---|---
1858, Jun | As agreed with Buchanan’s peace commission, Johnston’s army enters the Salt Lake valley. A few Mormon men are left behind to torch the improvements, if U.S. troops fail to honor the agreement.
1858, Jul | Once the U.S. Army is seen as respecting the peace terms, the Mormons leave Provo and returned to their farms. Several outlying communities are abandoned.
1861-1865 | The American Civil War occurs. The Federal troops stationed in Utah depart in August 1861. A contingent returns in 1862.
1869 | Joseph Smith’s sons and other missionaries from the Re-organized LDS Church (RLDS Church) proselyte throughout Utah and the Mormon settlements attempting to persuade the Utah Mormons that Joseph Smith never was a polygamist. In response, Joseph F. Smith and Andrew Jensen separately compile affidavits clarifying the dates and details of Joseph Smith’s covenants in Nauvoo.
1870 | Utah Territory passes women’s suffrage
| Brigham Young’s grand-niece, Sarah Young, is first woman to cast a vote in the United States
1877, Aug | Brigham Young dies
| John Taylor becomes leader of the LDS Church
1882, Mar | President Chester A. Arthur signs the Edmunds Act, building on the Morrill act by revoking the right of polygamists to vote and barring them from jury duty or political office.
| The Federal government begins incarcerating polygamists in the penitentiary.
1885 | President John Taylor, who had succeeded Brigham Young, goes underground to escape Federal custody.
1886 | John Taylor asks God to end the New and Everlasting Covenant. An uncanonized revelation prohibits Taylor from revoking the Everlasting Covenant. John Taylor presumes he must continue the practice of plural marriage.
1887 | Congress passes the Edmunds-Tucker Act. The act disincorporates the LDS Church and makes Church properties valued at more than $50,000 subject to confiscation. This would include the Mormon temples.
| The act requires wives to testify against their husbands.
| The act also takes away voting rights from Utah women.
| John Taylor dies two months after learning of the passage of the Edmunds-Tucker Act. Wilford Woodruff assumes leadership of the LDS Church.
1890, Oct | Faced with potential loss of the temples, LDS Church President Wilford Woodruff issues the Anti-Polygamy Manifesto, prohibiting Mormons from entering into any marriage prohibited by the law of the land. Existing plural marriages continue to be seen as valid.
1887-1894 | The RLDS Church sues for possession of the Missouri Temple Lot from the Hedrickites or Church of Christ (Temple Lot). The LDS Church sides with the Hedrickites to keep the important property out of RLDS hands. The initial ruling awarded the property to the RLDS Church. An appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eight Circuit produces lengthy testimony regarding polygamy, in aid of proving that the RLDS Church was not the rightful successor to Joseph Smith’s original Church. The Court of Appeals chooses to vacate the original trial court ruling.
1900-1901 | Three junior LDS Apostles marry additional plural wives. They preach that plural marriage must continue.
1903 | LDS Apostle Reed Smoot is elected to the U.S. Senate.
1904-1907 | The U.S. Senate conducts hearings into Reed Smoot’s suitability to serve in the U.S. Senate. The hearings created a 3,500 page record of “every peculiarity of Mormonism.”
1904 | LDS President Joseph F. Smith issues a Second Manifesto, clarifying that any Church officer who performed a plural marriage, as well as the offending couple, will be excommunicated. Smith extends the policy to the entire world, where the 1890 Manifesto had been understood as applying only within the United States.
| Apostle Abraham Owen Woodruff dies of small pox.
### Appendix E – The Peace of thy Children

My sisters asked me to provide them a safe version of this history for their children, one that would skip all the distressing anatomical details. While a reasonable person can evaluate the facts and not find the LDS faith to be “true,” my sisters wished to help their children understand this history in the context of the gospel they are learning at Church in Primary.

The Gospel teaches that families are meant to be together forever. After Jesus died, this truth was lost. Later, kings and queens changed marriage because they did not understand that families are supposed to be forever.

When Joseph Smith was born, churches taught marriage ended with death and did not last forever. They taught that it was wicked to have more than one spouse. When Joseph read the Old Testament, he asked God how Abraham could have two wives and still be a righteous prophet.

God told Joseph marriages and families last forever, and that it was important for children to have both their parents sealed to them in eternity. God wants all His children to be with their mothers and fathers in heaven. But if Abraham could only be married to one person, then one of his sons would not be able to be in his family forever.

Joseph knew some men who had married again after their first wife had died. He knew these men loved their new wives and children as much as the first. In the resurrection, both wives would be alive. If marriage did not end with death, then the man would have two wives.

God gave Joseph a commandment to marry another wife. Joseph did not want to have more than one wife. He had been taught it was wrong. He felt it would be mean to his wife Emma. He loved her so much. But God insisted. He wanted people to know a man could have more than one wife.

A young woman named Fanny worked for Joseph and Emma in their home. Emma was good friends with Fanny. Joseph married Fanny, like how Abraham married the woman who worked for his wife. But Joseph did not have a baby with Fanny. Fanny was not happy, so she left. Emma was very unhappy Fanny left, and she complained to Joseph’s friend.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1905</td>
<td>Apostles John W. Taylor and Mattias F. Cowley resign from the Quorum of the Twelve Apostles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1911</td>
<td>John W. Taylor is excommunicated for having married his secretary in 1909, making her his sixth plural wife.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1912</td>
<td>Lorin C. Woolley writes the first account of John Taylor’s 1886 revelation. Woolley claims it was polygamy that God had declared could not be revoked.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1914</td>
<td>John W. Woolley is excommunicated for performing plural marriages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1916</td>
<td>John W. Taylor dies. LDS President Joseph F. Smith sits vigil at Taylor’s deathbed. The LDS Church refuses to restore Taylor’s blessings or acknowledge his post-Manifesto marriages for many decades after his death.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1924</td>
<td>Lorin C. Woolley excommunicated for “pernicious falsehood” related to his claims that Heber J. Grant and James E. Talmage had recently married plural wives.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1928</td>
<td>Lorin C. Wolley succeeds his father as senior member and prophet of the Council of Friends, a sect dedicated to continuing the practice of polygamy despite LDS Manifestos prohibiting the practice.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1943</td>
<td>Apostle Richard Lyman is excommunicated for engaging in a long-term affair. Lyman thought his relationship was an unofficial but permissible polygamous union</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014, Nov</td>
<td>Media outlets around the world realize that the LDS Church had quietly published scholarly articles regarding early LDS polygamy. The CNN headline reads “Mormon Founder Joseph Smith wed 40 Wives.”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Joseph’s friend thought Joseph had committed adultery. Fanny’s friends said Fanny was married to Joseph. Fanny’s family trusted Joseph, and believed him for the rest of their lives.

In those days, Joseph was running a bank for the Church. All over the country everyone’s money lost value. Some members of the Church were angry at Joseph when their money lost value. They betrayed Joseph to Missouri soldiers. The soldiers locked Joseph in jail for many months. The people in Missouri burned the Mormons’ homes. They bragged about killing Mormon men and boys and hurting women and girls.

Those who believed in Joseph fled Missouri and took refuge in a swamp. Many got sick because swamps are not healthy places to live. Joseph was allowed to escape from the jail. He blessed the sick and healed them. The people worked together to change the swamp into a beautiful city, named Nauvoo. It was a great time of learning and teaching about how God intends to save all those who repent, even those who died before getting baptized.

But the people in Missouri attacked Nauvoo and killed a woman and burned her home. A politician named Dr. Bennett promised to get the government to protect Nauvoo. When he did this, everyone was happy. They elected Dr. Bennett to be mayor and made him a general.

Dr. Bennett wanted to marry one of the Mormon women. But Joseph was told Dr. Bennett was a bad man who had abandoned his wife and children. Joseph sent a missionary to learn more. The missionary discovered the story was true. Dr. Bennett was still married and had lied and had done other wicked things. Joseph made Dr. Bennett stop talking about getting married. Joseph hoped Dr. Bennett would repent.

Joseph was ignoring the commandment about marriage, like how Jonah ignored the commandment to preach to Nineveh. Finally Joseph obeyed, marrying a woman named Louisa. It was very secret, and Louisa never got pregnant. So maybe Joseph was planning on Louisa just being his wife in eternity without having a family with her on earth.

Dr. Bennett was frustrated. He wanted to be able to be with a woman. When a man and woman are married, they take care of each other. If they have children together, they take care of their children. When a man and a woman make a baby together, it feels good, like eating something yummy. But Dr. Bennett did not want to take care of a wife and children. He just wanted to feel good.

Dr. Bennett convinced a married woman to commit adultery with him so he could feel good. Then he convinced a widow to do the same. When friends discovered what Bennett was doing, he told them that this was a new teaching. Dr. Bennett said it was OK to have a good time with any woman, as long as it was kept hidden. Dr. Bennett gave the men medicine so the women would not get pregnant. Lots of men and women became involved in this secret sin.

Joseph did not know about the sins of Dr. Bennett and his friends. But God knew that Dr. Bennett’s lies and secret adultery would destroy the Church. God sent an angel with a sword to Joseph. If Joseph did not obey the commandment to marry more women, the people would be destroyed.

Joseph was confused about what to do. He talked with some women he felt inspired to marry years earlier. But they were married to other men now. They covenanted to be Joseph’s wives in eternity, but remained with the husbands they already had for their time on Earth. Joseph hoped this would fulfill the commandment.

But God wanted His people to understand that marriage was for creating loving families. Loving families take care of each other and make relationships that can last forever. This was opposite from the idea Dr. Bennett taught. Dr. Bennett said a man and a woman could be together like they were married just for a little while to feel good, but that they did not need to take care of each other or have a relationship that lasts forever.

Then Joseph found out some people were teaching that adultery was okay. Joseph had his brother ask the priesthood holders to visit every home and teach the people their duty. But the men who were sinning were good at keeping secrets, like the Gadianton robbers in the Book of Mormon.

Sarah Kimball was a generous woman. She thought it would be a great idea to have the women sew shirts for the men building the temple. Joseph felt inspired that the women should do more than sew shirts. He made the women’s organization an important part of the Church. The women named the organization Relief Society. In Relief Society they learned the gospel, did good works, and learned that adultery is evil.

Emma became president of the Relief Society. Emma and Joseph both preached that woman should be virtuous, no matter who told them it was OK to sin. The Relief Society investigated rumors, asking young women if they knew anything about that “spiritual wifery” which was adultery.
Two months after Relief Society was formed, a few brave women came forward. They told the good leaders of the Church what had happened. They confessed and were forgiven. Some of the men confessed as well. Even Dr. Bennett confessed. But when Joseph learned all the facts, he figured out Dr. Bennett was the one who invented the lies. Joseph kicked Dr. Bennett out of the Church. He fired him from being mayor and general.

In the months that followed, more women came forward and confessed. Some of the women confessed to the city council. But Joseph mostly protected the women and men from public shame if they repented. In those days it was a terrible thing to commit adultery. Some people would never forgive if they knew. But Joseph knew that repentance means God will forgive. If you repent, God stops being upset with you for doing the sin.

Joseph and Emma taught righteousness. Joseph also told the women about God’s teachings that marriage can be eternal. To show that the women they were truly forgiven, Joseph let them be married for eternity. Some fathers who loved their daughters thought it would be best if their daughters married Joseph in eternity. Emma participated in some of the ceremonies where Joseph covenanted with other women.

Dr. Bennett was so angry about being publicly disgraced that he started telling lies about Joseph. He wrote in the newspaper, he wrote a book, and he spoke to crowds all over America. Most people thought Dr. Bennett’s stories were too crazy to be true. Others thought that if Joseph Smith taught and did the things Dr. Bennett said, Joseph Smith should be killed.

In Nauvoo, Emma and Joseph thought everything was fixed. To fulfill God’s commandment, Emma told Joseph he could covenant with two orphan women, Emily and Eliza Partridge. But Emily and Eliza did not know about the liars who taught adultery was okay. They thought the covenant with Joseph would be just like in the Old Testament, where all the wives had lots of children.

But Emma knew that Dr. Bennett’s lies about Joseph would make people think that he only cared about feeling good, not doing good. Emma did not want Emily and Eliza to have children at that time. Emma thought people would try to kill Joseph if he had children with his other wives. So Emma made Emily and Eliza stay away from Joseph. Emma told Joseph that she would divorce him if he had children with his other wives. Joseph loved Emily and they had many children together, so he agreed with her.

Joseph and Emma went away from Nauvoo to talk about what to do. While they were alone, sheriffs from Missouri found Joseph. They beat Joseph, hitting him again and again with a gun. When they took Joseph away, Emma was really scared. She thought Joseph would be killed. The people of Nauvoo rescued Joseph. Joseph was so happy to be free that he threw a big party. He invited the sheriffs who beat him up to be his special guests, and fed them the best food. Joseph always wanted to forgive.

Emma was mad. She did not want Joseph to forgive. She did not want to be part of a great big family that included the men that beat up her husband. She was angry about how dangerous it was for Joseph to be a husband to other women. She thought people would say Joseph was just trying to commit adultery.

Joseph’s brother, Hyrum, thought if Joseph wrote the revelation down, Emma would be happy. But in the revelation, God told Emma to repent. This made Emma even more upset. Emma made Joseph burn the revelation. But someone had made a copy. The revelation that made Emma mad is now in the Doctrine & Covenants as Section 132.

Hyrum was really happy about the revelation. Hyrum’s first wife had died, and he had a new wife. Now he could be with both his wives in eternity. Hyrum taught lots of people how the New and Everlasting Covenant lets families to be together forever, even when a man has more than one wife. He also taught how people can repent and be sealed into the great family of mankind.

But some did not want to repent. Joseph would not let people be sealed together in families until they repented. William Law did not want to repent. He gathered hundreds of men and made them swear to kill Joseph and lie about it. Joseph found out they wanted to kill him. Joseph gathered the apostles and ordained them with the sealing power and all the keys of the restored gospel. This way the gospel would not be lost even if Joseph was killed.

William Law and his hundreds of men used a newspaper to accuse Joseph of horrible things. Joseph was attacked by men who wanted Joseph shot because of what they read in the newspaper. The Mormons were afraid of the new newspaper. They decided to destroy the printing press of William Law’s newspaper. This was against the law. The government said it was a terrible thing that Joseph destroyed a printing press, even though others had destroyed Mormon printing presses and burned cabins and even killed Mormons without being punished.
Joseph and Hyrum went to the county jail at Carthage. Before the trial, a mob attacked the jail and killed Joseph and Hyrum. The people who loved Joseph were shocked and afraid. They did not know who would lead them now that Joseph was dead. There was a special meeting to decide who would be their leader. The people agreed Brigham Young spoke like Joseph had spoken. Some wrote it was like Joseph was with them again.

Once Brigham Young and the apostles were in charge of the Church, Brigham was responsible for the women who were Joseph's eternal wives. None of Joseph's wives had gotten pregnant except Emma and the women who already had husbands. Brigham knew that it was a very sad thing for a woman to not have children. So he told the women it was time for them to have children.

Joseph's widows married men like the apostles, who promised to be good and protect them. These women were good friends to each other and honored Emma. The women had lots of children. They taught the children the gospel and explained to them that Joseph Smith was a great prophet. They would have loved to have Emma follow Brigham Young to the west.

But Emma Smith decided to stay near Nauvoo, where Joseph's body was buried. She paid the money Joseph owed for buying the swamp that became Nauvoo. Emma raised Joseph's children to love their father and taught them he was a good man who never committed adultery. For many years Joseph's sons told Brigham Young and the Mormons who left Nauvoo they were wrong to teach that a man could marry more than one woman. They said their father, Joseph Smith, would never have taught adultery.

Hyrum's son believed families could be together forever. He wanted to prove his cousins were wrong. Hyrum's son and others asked the women to write about being sealed to Joseph. The cousins fought in court about what Joseph taught. Many people told the judge that Joseph taught about how families can be together forever, even when a man had more than one wife.

But they did not talk about Dr. Bennett and the many people who had sinned. They did not want to remember the sins of anyone who had repented. The people who knew about the secret sins got old and died. The righteous leaders, like Joseph Smith, did not write about the secret adultery. So the children did not understand why men like Dr. Bennett and William Law would lie. When they grew up, they started to believe the lies about Joseph Smith.
### Glossary

A collection of terms to assist the reader. Neither guaranteed to be comprehensive nor to be restricted to terms found in this book.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st Ward</td>
<td>Civic designation, comprised of Nauvoo, Illinois, properties north of Knight Street and west of Wells Street; location of Orson Pratt home</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Ward</td>
<td>Civic designation, comprised of Nauvoo properties north of Knight Street and east of Wells Street; location of Catherine [Fuller] home</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd Ward</td>
<td>Civic designation, comprised of Nauvoo properties south of Knight Street and east of Wells Street; location of the Nauvoo temple</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th Ward</td>
<td>Civic designation, comprised of Nauvoo properties south of Knight Street and west of Wells Street; location of Joseph Smith’s home</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>abortion</td>
<td>Medically-induced termination of pregnancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>apostle</td>
<td>One of a group of twelve men in the Mormon Church with a commission to proclaim the gospel to all the world</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Articles of Faith</td>
<td>Concise summary of LDS beliefs, penned by Joseph Smith, published March 1, 1842 in the <em>Times and Seasons</em>, subsequently canonized and published in the <em>Pearl of Great Price</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baptism</td>
<td>Ordinance by which an individual covenants to take upon themselves the name of Jesus Christ, confessing Him as Lord and Savior, regarded as a requirement for attaining heaven and salvation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>baptism for the dead</td>
<td>Proxy ordinance in which a living person is baptized on behalf of a deceased individual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Book of Mormon</td>
<td>LDS Scripture, said to be an English version of records on metal plates inscribed by Israelites who had traveled to the Americas circa 600 BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carthage, Illinois</td>
<td>County seat of Hancock County, Illinois, location of the County jail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Celestial marriage</td>
<td>LDS form of high marriage, believed to unite spouses and descendants in eternity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COC</td>
<td>Community of Christ, formerly the Re-organized Church of Latter Day Saints (RLDS) Church</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
contagion The spread of disease via contact. In the 1840s, prominent medical personnel did not believe contagion caused disease

Council in Heaven Pre-mortal council where God presented a plan for exaltation. Christ offered to support God’s plan, Lucifer rebelled, proposing a “better” way. c.f. Christian tradition about the Fall of Lucifer

D&C Doctrine and Covenants, LDS Scripture, a collection of revelations received by Joseph Smith and other LDS prophets

eschatology Study of the end, usually of all mankind

dowment LDS sacred ordinance, requiring vows such as being completely faithful to one’s spouse(s)

Extermination Order Order issued by Governor Boggs of Missouri in October 1838, requiring the Mormons leave the state of Missouri

Fundamentalist Term coined by LDS apostle Mark E. Peterson in the 1940s to describe those teaching plural marriage despite the Manifestos of 1890 & 1904

Haun’s Mill Massacre Attack by a militia of ~250 on a hamlet near Jacob Haun’s mill off Shoal Creek, killing at least 17 Mormon men and boys. Women were reported to have been raped as well.

High Council Council of twelve men administering to a Stake under the leadership of the President of the Stake and his Counselors. Charged with judgement when members commit serious sins

illicit intercourse Sexual relations with no legal or ceremonial commitment

infection The spread of disease via the air. In the 1840s, the viral and bacteriological mechanisms for infection were not known

LDS Church Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints, formed 1830

Manifesto, 1890 Official declaration by the LDS Church ending the practice of polygamy

Manifesto, 1904 Clarification that plural marriages would not be permitted in any part of the world

Masonic Lodge Basic organizational unit of Masonry. The Nauvoo Lodge was installed on March 15, 1842

miscarriage Natural termination of pregnancy, also spontaneous abortion

Mormon Term for members of the LDS Church. Also adjective for anything associated with faith traditions that have arisen from Joseph Smith’s teachings

Nauvoo City in Hancock County, Illinois, settled by Mormons in 1839, meaning “City Beautiful”

Nauvoo Census of 1842 Census of Nauvoo, Illinois, civic wards in Feb 1842, presented to the City in March 1842

Nauvoo Expositor Opposition newspaper in Nauvoo. The press was destroyed after the issue printed June 7, 1844.

Nauvoo Legion Militia for Nauvoo, Illinois, chartered by the Nauvoo City Charter of 1840

Nauvoo Neighbor Newspaper published in Nauvoo from 1843-1845, successor to The Wasp

New and Everlasting Covenant A covenant between God and mankind, allowing all to choose to reunite with God in eternity, even those who died without mortal baptism or knowledge of Christ

Onanism Sexual act involving penetration without ejaculation

patriarch LDS individual with patriarchal right to receive revelation on behalf of individuals

patriarchal blessing Blessing voiced by a Patriarch on behalf of an individual desiring such a blessing

Pearl of Great Price LDS Scripture, including a ‘translation’ of the Genesis creation account, a ‘translation’ of Egyptian funeral papyri, and Joseph Smith’s history as written in 1839

plural marriage Marriage in which one man has more than one wife, specifically with respect to eternal marriage

polyamory Form of marriage where multiple men and women are together, often an open marriage

polyandry Form of marriage where a woman has more than one husband

polygamy Generic term for marriage where an individual has more than one spouse

polygyny Form of marriage where a man has more than one wife
protology  Study of the beginning, c.f. eschatology
Pre-mortal Existence  LDS term for existence of the spirit before conception.
Priesthood Ban  LDS policy from 1852 to 1978 prohibiting Black individuals from receiving the priesthood or receiving temple ordinances associated with the New and Everlasting Covenant.
proxy  In LDS ceremony, a mortal individual who stands in the place of a deceased individual
Quorum of the Twelve Apostles  The group of twelve men commissioned to proclaim the gospel to all the world. From March 1844, group commissioned to bear the responsibility for Church Leadership in the event of the death of a Mormon prophet
Relief Society  LDS Women's organization from March 1842
RLDS  Reorganized Church of Jesus Christ of Latter Day Saints, formed 1860, now COC
sealing  Ordinance in which individuals are joined together for eternity. Can refer to union between spouses or joining of children to parents
spiritual wife/y  Synonym for illicit or unlawful intercourse
Stake  Collection of multiple congregations or wards, usually consisting of a few thousand individuals
temple  LDS term for sacred structure where ordinances related to the New and Everlasting Covenant are solemnized, including proxy ordinances on behalf of the deceased
Temple Lot Trial  Appeal to the 1894 ruling that the RLDS Church owned the Missouri Temple Lot. The LDS Church presented extensive testimony attempting to prove Joseph Smith taught and practiced plural marriage.

The Wasp  Newspaper published in Nauvoo from 1840-1843, generally supportive of the LDS Church
Times and Seasons  Newspaper published in Nauvoo, usually supportive of the LDS Church
ward  Congregation of roughly 500-1000 individuals, alternately a civic division for purposes of voting
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1 Perego, Ugo, “Joseph Smith and DNA,” The Persistence of Polygamy, Vol. 1, pp. 233-256. Also see Appendix C.
2 Massachusetts doctor, Charles Knowlton, wrote a book in 1832 titled The Fruits of Philosophy, or the Private Companion of Young Married People, which explained methods of birth control. He was sentenced to three months hard labor. As late as 1877 people were being prosecuted for attempting to publish Knowlton’s book.
3 It was believed there was a “safe” period, but a proper understanding of the gynecological basis for this safe period was developed in the 1920s independently by Kyusaku Ogino in Japan and Hermann Knaus in Austria. The Rhythm Method was popularized in 1932 by a Roman Catholic doctor in America, Leo J. Lutz, who considered the rhythm method consistent with Catholic doctrine. See Lutz, The Rhythm of Sterility and Fertility in Women, 1932.
4 Objective evidence here refers to physical evidence, such as children or disease. As early as 1825, Jeremy Bentham’s A Treatise on Judicial Evidence (1825) argued that testimony needed to be backed up by material proof.
5 Brian Hales’s book and website, Joseph Smith’s Polygamy, contains all public journals and records regarding this matter. Three primary sources are the Joseph F. Smith collection of affidavits, gathered circa 1869, Andrew Jensen’s affidavits also gathered circa 1869, and the 1894 appeal to the Temple Lot ruling. The express purpose of the gathered testimonies was to confirm that Joseph Smith had covenanted with women other than Emma Hale.
6 Hales, Emma. Last Testimony of Sister Emma, February 1879. When asked if Joseph had other wives, she replied, “He had no other wife but me; nor did he to my knowledge ever have.”
7 Bennett, John Cook, History of the Saints, 1842.
8 Law, William, affidavit published in the only issue of the Nauvoo Expositor, May, 1844.
9 Joseph Smith – History 1:10

1 – Prelude to a Killing
1 March 31, 1844, The three meetings Dennison Harris attended were on Sundays. The Council of Fifty was formed on 26 March, where Joseph indicated he might be killed. Baugh, Alexander L. and Richard N. Holzapfel, “I Roll the Burden and Responsibility of Leading This Church Off from My Shoulders on to Yours: The 1844/1845 Declaration of the Quorum of the Twelve Regarding Apostolic Succession,” BYU Studies, Vol. 49, No. 3, 2010, pp. 5-19. Joseph’s acknowledgement that he might be killed is on p. 18. Footnote 20 on p. 13 provides the support for the date falling on March 26, 1844.
2 William Law owned a stately brick home at the edge of the river, just south of Parley Street.
3 Joseph Smith announced his candidacy for the Presidency of the United States on January 29, 1844, shortly after stripping William Law of his leadership positions. Law was excommunicated in April 1844, more than two weeks after the third seditionist meeting held in his home on the river. See Joseph Smith: Campaign for President of the United States By Arnold K. Garr https://www.ldsi.org/ensign/2009/02/joseph-smith-campaign-for-president-of-the-united-states?lang=eng
5 The bodyguard was John Scott, Robert Scott’s brother.
6 This mention of a dip in the river suggests that the weather had turned warm.
7 The two leaders of the conspiracy were William Law, formerly Joseph’s counselor in the Presidency of the Church, and Austin Cowles, a member of the Nauvoo High Council.
9 The Contributor, Volume V, p. 260, 1884. It appears Joseph may have hoped he could flee and remain hidden for twenty years. However he was ultimately not able to escape as hoped.  
10 Dennisson Harris related the tale to Brigham Young sometime before Young’s death in 1877. The printed version of the tale was published in 1884, forty years after Joseph’s death.  
11 Plural marriage, where a living man was married to multiple living women, was put aside. Modern Mormon doctrine allows a man to be eternally united (or sealed) to all the women who had been his wives. Modern Mormon practice also seals ordinances to be performed on behalf of deceased women uniting them to all of their husbands, though most expect that only one of these ordinances would be binding in eternity.

2 – Why Would God Command Polygamy?

1 Luke 2: 10-11  
2 1 Timothy 2: 3-6  
3 John Leffgren’s analysis of local weather patterns and the science of maple syrup place the date of this vision on March 26, 1820, which was Palm Sunday.  
4 Joseph Smith – History 1: 19  
5 Joseph Smith – History 1: 34-35  
6 Joseph Smith – History 1: 36-38  
7 Joseph Smith – History 1: 39 , c.f. Malachi 4:6  
9 Doctrine and Covenants 137:5  
11 Hales, Brian, Joseph Smith’s Polygamy, Volume 3: Theology, 2013, chapter 7.  
12 George Calice, Carlo, A History of Italian Law, Volume II, p. 545 for the 1545 laws declaring affinity by betrothal sufficient to create an impediment to marriage. The Catholic Encyclopedia article on Affinity (in Canon Law) discusses the eleventh Council of Rome in 1059 which established the impediment of affinity (and consanguinity) to the seventh degree.  
13 Queen Margaret of Scotland tried to persuade the Witanagernot to revise the marriage code to align with the papal position in the 1070s, but was only able to wrest an agreement that a widow ought not be forced to marry her step-son, a change to marriage law that was one of the five reasons cited for her canonization. See Turgot, Life of St. Margaret Queen of Scotland, William Forbes-Leith translation, Edinburgh, 1884, pp. 51-52. Online 9 Dec 2015 at https://archive.org/details/lifefosmargaret00ourgoft. By the time of King Henry VIII, Henry had to petition Rome for special permission to marry his brother’s widow, even though levirate marriage is very clearly a duty imposed on a dead man’s brothers (Genesis 38:8; Deuteronomy 25:5, 9-10, Ruth).  
14 By the days of Saint Margaret’s grand-daughter, Empress Mazda, English law had been modified to consider any child born outside Church marriage as a bastard, where Welsh law still considered any child acknowledged by its father to be legitimate. This was a plot point utilized by Edith Pargeter, writing as Ellis Peters, in her historical murder stories about a Benedictine monk named Cadfael.  
15 Though Church law made polygamy difficult, Martin Luther wrote in the early 1500s: “I confess that I cannot forbid a person to marry several wives, for it does not contradict the Scripture. If a man wishes to marry more than one wife he should be asked whether he is satisfied in his conscience that he may do so in accordance with the word of God. In such a case the civil authority has nothing to do in the matter.” (De Wette II, p. 459)  
17 Policy prohibiting subsequent husbands from being sealed in life to a wife with an existing sealing to a prior husband do not exclude the children from being born in the covenant. Posthumous ordinances may be performed sealing spouses to each other regardless of other sealing arrangements, with the understanding that God and the affected parties will sort out which order should be given first.  
18 Perego, Ugo, “Joseph Smith and DNA,” The Persistence of Polygamy, Volume I, pp. 233-256. Also see Appendix C.
A special adults-only session of Stake Conference was held in the DC suburbs where I lived in the 1970s. The sermon focused on encouraging couples to express their love physically to one another also for the purpose of strengthening the relationship, not only to produce children. In the 1980s, the periodical *Exponent II* (By Mormon Women, For Mormon Women, About Mormon Women) discussed that sexuality between married individuals could extend beyond mere procreation. See Bonnie Shaw, “Mormon Sexuality: An Interview with Mary Beth Raynes,” *Exponent II* Vol. 9, Issue 1 (Fall 1982): 3-4.


The infertility hypothesis is defended by those reporting that 20% of women who were considered infertile become normally fertile after treatment. However as few as 6% of women are considered normally fertile, this temporary infertility would only apply to 1% of all women. Further, modern fertility treatments associated with the reported 20% who achieve renewed fertility were not available to women in the 1800s.

From visit to Watervliet Shaker Historic District in Colonie, New York, a National Park Service site, see also www.rps.gov/tr/travel/shaker/wat.htm.

D&C 49: 15-16 specifically refutes the idea that it is acceptable to forbid to marry, indicating that marriage is lawful “that the earth might answer the end of its creation.”

In “My Father, written in 1845.”

The quoted description comes from the Church record of 14 April 1894 revelation received by Wilford Woodruff as a result of sin. See An Intimate Chronicle: The Journals of William Clayton, George D. Smith, editor, Signature Books, Salt Lake City, UT, 1995, p. 108.
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From D&C 132:1-4. Even though D&C 132 was formally received in 1843, Joseph indicated the revelation was well known to him and that he could write it again if destroyed.

D&C 45: 9, 16

D&C 45: 60-62
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6 Examination of the death records for Nauvoo shows no one who matches the particulars for the supposed John F. Smith.

6 Hales, Volume 1, Chapter 3, pp. 77-83.

6 Hales, Brian C., Joseph Smith’s Polygamy, Volume 1, Chapter 11, p. 291.

6 Brian Hales devotes Chapter 3 of his Joseph Smith’s Polygamy to this lack of contemporary sexual rumors. Neither Todd Compton, George Smith, nor Richard Bushman lend credence to the idea that Joseph was sexually opportunistic during this early time frame.

6 Compton, Sacred Loneliness, p. 39.

6 The suggestion that Rosetta Marietta Carter was Joseph’s wife may have arisen because her daughter, Sarah Holmes, lived in the Smith household after Marietta was killed.

6 The Salt Lake Tribune, In Sacred Loneliness, p. 32.

6 Compton, Sacred Loneliness, p. 26, suggests Fanny became Joseph’s wife in 1833.

6 Hales, Joseph Smith’s Polygamy, Vol. 1 & 2, Chapters 4-6 and Appendix D.


6 Chauncey Webb’s belief that Fanny was pregnant could have been caused by amenorrhea, or cessation of menstruation. This can occur in times of heightened stress, such as the stress Fanny might have experienced as a result of the intense controversy regarding her time in the Smith home. However amenorrhea is insufficient to explain the lack of children in the Nauvoo timeframe, due to the sheer number of women involved.


6 For example, Missourians claimed Mormons had killed ten of their number during the fighting at Crooked River. However subsequent evaluations indicated only one Missourian was killed while three Mormons were killed.

6 D&C 121:1

6 D&C 121:7, 10

6 D&C 121:26, 27

6 – Six Funerals and a Blessing

6 Many of the young women Joseph would covet and had lost parents during 1840 and were living in the Smith household. Joseph promised the women they could be linked to the rest of their family members, it is unclear Joseph realized at the time their parents died.

6 Smith, Lucy, Biographical Sketches of Joseph Smith, the Prophet, and His Progenitors for Many Generations, Liverpool, 1853, p. 88

6 D&C 137:5

6 The Rachel Neyman Story, online at https://familysearch.org/photos/stories/514482

6 Familysearch.org, Cyrus Livingston Neyman (L7GB-KDL). The Rachel Neyman Story claims Cyrus left Butler, Pennsylvania with his family in 1830, he and Frederic are the only children who could have died before the family left Butler.

6 Times and Seasons Vol. 1, Whole No. 6, Commerce, Illinois, April, 1840, recounting events during the “General Conference held April 6, 1840.”

6 John 3:3

6 John 3:5

6 In August 1840 Joseph would reveal the doctrine that proxy baptisms could be performed on behalf of the dead, after noting Jane Neyman, “a particular widow in the crowd whose son had died without baptism.” He then quoted the verse from John 3 where Jesus told Nicodemus baptism was required—the same verses Joseph had quoted during his April 1840 Conference address.


7 – A Doctor and His Beloved

1. The August date is given by Joseph Smith in the July 1, 1842 issue of the Times and Seasons.

2. This does not include the time Dr. Bennett spent in LDS sects after Joseph’s death.


4. Smith, Saintly Soundings, Chapters 2 and 3 are titled “The Diploma Peddler” and “The Greeter Up of Colleges”, pp. 13-33.

5. Smith, Saintly Soundings, p. 79.


7. Goddard, Stephen H. and Zerahiah N., sworn before George W. Harris, Alderman of the City of Nauvoo on July 29th, 1842. Published in Affidavits and Certificates on August 31, 1842.


9. Joseph Smith, letter to Violet Kimball, March 2, 1841, in Helen Violet Bourne Fleming Papers, MS 670, Box 1, Folder 25, CHL.


12. From use of “LaVina” for Elvira, it seems the person writing the letter tended to switch around sounds or words. The elided portion of the transcription reads “I came to Utah in ’69, and rented LaVina Holmes farm.” As John Fish Wright emigrated to Utah in 1852 as a ten-year-old boy, the sentence makes sense if it was supposed to read “I came to Utah and in ’69 rented LaVina Holmes farm.” John Fish White came to Paradise, Cache County, Utah in 1869, after living elsewhere in Cache County, Utah. Jonathan Holmes had a daughter who lived in Millville, Cache County, Utah, just 10 miles north of Paradise, suggesting the “LaVina Holmes farm” was a place Elvira [Holmes] stayed, rather than owned.


14. Phoebe’s belief that her mother lived with Joseph as a wife was likely formed in 1868, when Phoebe was refusing to marry Job Welling, who had previously married her older sister. Elvira’s may have been assuring Phoebe plural marriage was an appropriate form of marriage, rather than confirming that Elvira had engaged in conjugal relations with Joseph Smith.


8 – The Angel of the Lord


7. Noble clearly thought Joseph was confirming he had consummated the marriage. However Joseph may have merely confirmed he had blown out the candle.


11. Dr. Bennett’s role in the marriage indicates Zina Huntington could not have been the young woman Bennett hoped to marry.


15. Zina was president of the Relief Society until her death in 1901. Zina was the third president of Relief Society and the third and last of Joseph’s wives to head that organization.


9 – Fall of the Doctor

1. Dr. Bennett was elected Mayor of Nauvoo on February 1, 1841.


3. Times & Seasons, May 15, 1844, “Municipal Court,” p. 539. The Masonic Lodge was not established until May 1842. Hyrum may have been talking about a gathering where the Masonic Lodge later met and men who would later be Masons. The context of the 1844 testimony suggests Hyrum was not describing Dr. Bennett May 1842 confession.

4. Personal conversation with Joseph Johnstone. Frank Higbee tried to claim he may have had pos, but not that sort of pos. The newspaper did not include the word for Frank’s disease.

5. The civil wars and the ecclesiastical wars had different boundaries. Catherine Laut [Fuller] and her children were enumerated in the second ward, in the northeast quadrant of the city. According to Lyman De Platt, “The streets separating the wards were Wells, which ran north and south, and Knight, which ran east and west. Ward 1 was in the northwest, Ward 2 the northeast, Ward 3 the southeast and Ward 4 the southwest quadrants of the city.” De Platt, Lyman, Nauvoo Early Mormon Records Series, Vol. 1, Highland, UT, 1980.

6. See History of the Church, Vol. 3, pp. 149-160, also p. 428. On October 2, 1838, a mob of 30-50 men began to fire at the Mormon village of DeWitt in Carroll County. The Mormons attempted to defend themselves, while knowing that if there were a single death amongst the
mob members, thousands of the residents of Missouri would raise arms against the Mormons. By October 6 the Mormons’ provisions were nearly exhausted and the mob had grown to two or three hundred men. By October 9 homes were being set on fire, forcing the residents of DeWitt to live in the center of town in their wagon boxes. Any who tried to venture out for food were shot. The Mormons had petitioned Governor Boggs for assistance. Boggs ignored the plea, then said they “might fight it out.” When the state militia arrived, they sided with the mob. A woman who had recently given birth died of exposure and starvation. In another incident, a man’s brains were knocked out. Multiple gang rapes involving a dozen or more men upon each woman was boasted of openly, which the Mormon leaders were horrified to learn had actually occurred.

4 Catherine Fuller statement before the Nauvoo High Council, LDS Archives MS/d/2375/Box 8/fd. Nauvoo, copied and included in Valeen T. Avery Papers USU_COLL MSS 316, Box 24, Fd 14, Special Collections and Archives, Utah State University Merrill-Cazier Library, Logan, Utah. Queen Anne’s Lace may have been the medicine Dr. Bennett gave Catherine Fuller.

5 A philter was a potion or charm with power to arouse sexual passion.

10 The Wasp, Vol. 1, Number 15, Nauvoo, Hancock Co., Sat., July 23, 1842, an issue devoted to Bennettia. One description of Bennett’s 1841 suicide attempt reads “This reminds us of the time when the Doctor undertook to poison himself to death, but some good Samarian-like Mormons saved his life; though a wag or two fixed a pile of sand, [as a] monument and fingered on it the following epitaph; ‘In memory of Major General John C. Bennett, who died at the seige of Philirth in the defence of the cause of Venus, July 17, 1841.’” Online 23 Mar 2016 at http://www.sidneyrigdon.com/dbro/1842/0701/l1.htm. The description of Bennett’s 1841 suicide attempt is also mentioned in Smith, Saintsly Soundings, but the date of the suicide attempt is given as July 27, 1841 (see p. 80).


12 Bennettia, Jacob B., sworn to the 28th of July, 1842, before Ebenezer Robinson, J. P., contained in Affidavits and Certificates Disproving the Statements and Affidavits Contained in John C. Bennett’s Letters, August 31, 1842.

13 Backenstos, Jacob B., sworn to the 26th of July, 1842, before Ebenezer Robinson, J. P., contained in Affidavits and Certificates Disproving the Statements and Affidavits Contained in John C. Bennett’s Letters, August 31, 1842.


10 – A Multitude of Sins

1 Card, Maurine Carr, 1842 Census of Nauvoo – Identification of Members – Civil Ward One, pp.32-33.


3 Robinson, Ebenezer, The Return, Volume 2, Number 7 (July 1890): 302, see also Volume 2, Number 6 (June 1890): 287.

4 Robinson, Ebenezer, The Return, Volume 2, Number 7 (July 1890): 302, see also Volume 2, Number 6 (June 1890): 287.

5 George D. Smith presumed the “Brother Knight” was Newel Knight. However Newel Knight had not died by the time William Clayton wrote his journal entry.

6 Smith, George, An Intimate Chronicle, p. 108. Mention of fruit and vegetables implies the conversation occurred in the fall.

19 Times and Seasons, 15 Apr 1842, p. 763.

21 After Joseph’s death, when it became necessary to see Nauvoo, Heber Kimball would reach out to the Pitkins and make them his plural wives. As Joseph was dead, it is not clear what Joseph might have said about his apostles’ use of plural marriage to offer protection for the exodus. 22 Nauvoo testimonies before the High Council, May 1842.

23 Hales, Joseph Smith’s Polygamy. Hales does not specify which date this sermon occurred, but includes fall 1841 as one possibility.


27 Walker, William B. Smith: In the Shadow of a Prophet, pp. 141-142. Walker suggests William’s verbal abuse of Joseph’s memory may have been motivated by persuading potential enemies that he was not a Mormon sympathizer, a somewhat generous view of the situation.

28 Catherine Fuller statement before the Nauvoo High Council, LDS Archives MS/d/2375/Box 8/fd. Nauvoo, copied and included in the Valerie T. Avery Papers USU_COLL MSS 316, Box 24, Fd 14, Special Collections and Archives, Utah State University Merrill-Cazier Library, Logan, Utah.


30 Misc. Minutes, Brigham Young Collection, d 1234, Church History Library, 2 Sep 1850, restricted; excerpts transcribed by D. Michael Quinn, box 3, folder 2, D. Michael Quinn papers, Rare Book and Manuscript Library, Yale University, WA MSS S 2602. Quinn and other researchers presume the testimony in the Johnson trial describes a conjugal relationship between Joseph Smith and Mary Heron [Snider].

31 Mary Judd [Page] wrote the words to the hymn, “Ye Who are Called to Labor,” which is included in the 1885 LDS hymnal as hymn #321.


35 Helen’s article states this occurred in 1843, but the year 1842 is clear from the context. See Whitney, Helen Mar Kimball, “Scenes and Incidents in Nauvoo,” Woman’s Exponent, 11 (1882-1883), online 29 Nov 2015 at http://www.boap.org/LDS/Early-Saints/HWWhitney.html.


11 – They Could Have Told Many Things

Josephine would grow up to be the first female poet laureate of any American state. Of interest, the name she used as an adult expressly rejects Joseph Smith, removing those elements from her birth name and adopting her mother’s maiden name. Josephine Anna Smith remade herself into Ira Donna Coolbrith.

1 Temple Lot transcript, respondent’s testimony, part 3, pp 521-522, questions 679, 687.

2 Robinson, Ebenizer, The Return, Volume 2, Number 7 (July 1890): 302, see also Volume 2, Number 6 (June 1890): 287.

3 Agnes’s daughter would achieve fame as the first California poet laureate. She went by the name Ira Donna Coolbrith, explicitly rejecting the “Joseph Smith” portions of her birth name, which had been Josephine Donna Smith.


10 Compton, In Sacred Loneliness, pp. 206-209.

11 Compton, In Sacred Loneliness, p. 209.


14 ibid.

15 Compton, In Sacred Loneliness, p. 211.


17 Compton, In Sacred Loneliness, p. 226.

18 Compton, In Sacred Loneliness, p. 213.

12 – Hunt in the City Beautiful

1 Striker is used to explain the qualities a Bishop should not have, see Timothy 3:3, Titus 1:7.

2 A Dictionary of Sexual Language and Imagery in Shakespearean and Stuart Literature, p. 1332. As late as 1813, Webster’s dictionary gives “A wench; a lewd man” as the third meaning; after “One who, or that which, strikes” and “A harpoon; also a harpooner.” Definitions 4 and 5 are: “A workman who is on a strike” and “A blackmailer in politics; also one whose political influence can be bought.”


4 ibid.

5 The 1840 census only showed the name of the head of household and numbers of family members in gender and age bins. To see a blank copy of the 1840 federal census form is Online 13 Mar 2014 at http://www.msyscp.org/_uploaded_resources/MGC-1840censubblank.pdf.

6 For a history of Home Teaching, see Rex Anderson, A documentary history of the Lord’s way of watching over the church by the priesthood through the ages, online 14 Mar 2014 at http://contentdm.lib.byu.edu/cdm/ref/collection/MTAF/id/2337. On page 24 Anderson relates the story of William F. Cahoon, who was assigned to be a teacher to Joseph Smith and his family when Cahoon was only seven. As Cahoon was born in 1813, this must have been around 1820.


9 Compton, In Sacred Loneliness, p. 236.
Thomsonian medicine focused on herbal remedies in contrast to the bleedings, purgatives, and surgeries used by mainstream physicians of the day.


An elevated frequency of sexual abuse is a suspected causal factor for psychogenic non-epileptic seizures (PNES). Modern diagnostic techniques involve monitoring seizures with video and an electroencephalograph. It is wise to know whether a report of “fits” in 1845 meets the diagnostic criteria for PNES. Even if Nancy’s fits were PNES, Nancy’s possible trauma could have occurred in the 1838-1839 timeframe. But the excommunication of Nancy’s brother and the change of management for the Times and Seasons, with which her brother had been associated, suggest something happened in early 1842.

Compton, *In Sacred Loneliness*, particularly p. 608.

I have been unable to find information about Clarissa Marvel outside of the minutes of the Female Relief Society of Nauvoo during March and April of 1842, see the *Nauvoo Relief Society Minute Book*, online 13 Mar 2014 at http://josephsmithpapers.org/paperDetails/nauvoo-relief-society-minute-book.

Queen Anne’s Lace (QAL) seeds may have been used to prevent pregnancy in Nauvoo. The side effects of QAL are minimal, compared to other contemporary contraceptives.

Sylvia’s earlier records were lost, but from 1867 until her death in 1892 at age 97, Patty delivered nearly 4000 children.

Compton, *In Sacred Loneliness*, p. 179. Date of journal entry in Compton, p. 682.

Joseph F. Smith prepared two affidavits for Sylvia’s signature. One was dated February 8, 1842. The other was dated February 8, 1843. Joseph F. Smith apparently derived the date from the birthday of Sylvia’s daughter, Josephine, born February 8, 1844. Sylvia never signed an affidavit attesting she had been sealed to Joseph during his lifetime. Sylvia was sealed to Joseph Smith after his death, January 26, 1846. A late assertion by Sylvia that her daughter Josephine was Joseph’s child could be because Josephine was the only one of three children to marry outside of the temple, where the sacred information that an individual was sealed to Joseph was usually revealed.

Based on examination of marriage records for other covenant children of Joseph Smith (i.e., Marietta Holmes [Welling]), it appears Josephine should have learned about this legal relationship in January 1844, when the Smith-Dibble seal was administered to Joseph Smith in the temple and never had herself sealed Joseph Smith. It seems the “convincing evidence” arises from rumors and family accounts from those unable to find documentation for Hannah’s first husband, John F. Smith. Hannah’s descendants have not submitted their claims to DNA evaluation.

Several researchers presume that Sarah was the non-Mormon, Carlos Dibble, who lived near the Dullidges in Illinois. His son and daughter, Flora Ann Woodworth, was Joseph Smith’s child but her full-siblings died as children. At the time of Sylvia’s death, only her daughters Josephine Lyons [Fisher] and Phebe Jane Clark [Ellis] were still actively involved in Mormonism.


Compton, *In Sacred Loneliness*, p. 236.

ibid.

Belief that Joseph married Matinda in April 1842 is based on cryptic notes in Joseph’s journal history, Matinda herself would indicate she was not sealed to Joseph Smith until Mary 1843 in the presence of Eliza and Emily Parrtridge.

Bennett, John, *History of the Saints*, 1842, pp. 243-244. The letter Joseph wrote to Nancy Rigdon is also found in Brian Hales, *Joseph Smith’s Polygamy*, Chapter 17.


Mary Houston, born 1818 in Ohio, was single in 1842. She would later marry Heber C. Kimball. According to Orson F. Whitney, Mary Houston and Sarah Scott [Malholland Mullinder Kimball] were known to have been Joseph Smith’s wives during his lifetime. See Whitney, Orson F., *Life of Heber C. Kimball*, 1888, p. 431 (1945, p. 419).

Lydia Kenyon [Carter], b. 1799, was the aunt of Matilda and Rosilla Milla Carter, both of whom would become plural wives to Orange Wight. Lydia’s niece, Matertia, was killed in 1840 in an attack likely intended to kill Joseph Smith and Emma Hale [Smith]. It is not known why Joseph would have covenanted with Lydia.

Lucinda Pendleton [Morgan Harris], b. 1801, would have sealed herself to Joseph Smith in the Nauvoo temple in January 1846, asking her legal spouse, George Washington, to stand as Joseph Smith’s proxy, prompting a belief that Lucinda had covenanted with Joseph Smith during his lifetime. It is not known why Joseph would have covenanted with Lucinda.

Sarah Rapsom [Poulterer], b. 1789 in Sussex, England. “Miss B*****” John C. Bennett identified in his 1842 book, *History of the Saints*. Several researchers presume that Sarah was the Sarah joined the Church in Philadelphia along with her husband and children. Her son, Samuel, was disfellowshipped in April 1842 after returning from a trip “west,” presumably to Nauvoo. Her husband subsequently withdrew himself from fellowship and was cut off the following year. See Ward, Maurine C., *“Philadelphia Pennsylvania Branch Membership. 1840-1854,” Mormon Historical Studies*, vol 6, no. 1, Spring 2005, pp. 85-86. In a Dec 1854 letter from Augusta Adams [Cobb Young] to Brigham Young, Augusta wrote “Sister Poulterer wishes to go to him [Joseph Smith] for Eternity. I ought to have mentioned that to you but it entirely slipped my mind while talking with you. Br Joseph and herself had some talk in Philadelphia which makes it appear her duty to go to him.” It is unclear when the “talk in Philadelphia” could have occurred, but it seems possible that the April 1842 discipline involving Sarah’s son and subsequent alienation of her husband from the LDS Church may have been involved in Sarah’s determination to be formally united to Joseph.

Hannah Ann Dubois [Smith Dibble] had married Philo Dibble on 11 Feb 1841. Lyndon Cook notes that “there is convincing evidence that [Hannah] was sealed for time and eternity to Joseph Smith Jr at Nauvoo, IL (1842-1843). However Hannah chose to be sealed to Philo Dibble in the Nauvoo temple and never had herself sealed Joseph Smith. It seems the “convincing evidence” arises from rumors and family accounts from those unable to find documentation for Hannah’s first husband, John F. Smith. Hannah’s descendants have not submitted their claims to DNA evaluation.

Phebe Watrous [Woodworth], b. 1799, would have herself sealed to Joseph Smith in the Nauvoo temple in January 1842. She was married to Joseph Smith’s proxy, prompting a belief that Phebe had covenanted with Joseph Smith during his lifetime. It is not known why Joseph would have covenanted with Phebe. Phebe reportedly told Orange Wight in 1843 that her daughter, Flora Ann Woodworth, was Joseph Smith’s wife. Flora would subsequently marry a non-Mormon, Carlos Gove, on 23 August 1843, reportedly eloping to Carthage.

Sarah Granger [Kimball], b. 1818, would later say Joseph Smith attempted to speak with her in 1842, but she refused to hear such talk from him at the time. In 1877, over a decade after her husband died, Sarah would have herself sealed to Joseph Smith.

Esther Dutcher [Smith], b. 1811, was reportedly sealed to Joseph Smith during his lifetime. The sole evidence for this is a 25 Jun 1888 letter from Daniel H. Wells to Joseph F. Smith, stating “[Albert Smith was] also much afflicted with the loss of his first wife. It seems she was sealed to Joseph the Prophet in the days of Nauvoo, though she still remained his wife, and afterwards nearly broke his heart by telling him of it, and exposing her utter insincerity in adhering to that relationship. He however got to feeling better over it, and acting for Joseph, had her sealed to him, and to himself for time.” There appears to be no formal documentation of the reported sealing. It appears the covenant with Joseph Smith may have occurred in relation to her 1842 report that Gustavus Hill had attempted to seduce her.

The Nauvoo City Council and High Council Minutes, John S. Dinger editor, Signature Books, Salt Lake City, 2011, p. 425. It is clear Esther was testifying to support Mary Clift’s assertion that she had been seduced.

Women offered funds to assist the Continental Army, but General Washington refused monies, as this gave the impression the new nation had to rely on women for finances.
Shirts, however, were deemed acceptable. See Cokie Roberts’s *Founding Mothers*, as noted in *The Nauvoo City Council and High Council Minutes*, John S. Dinger editor, Signature Books, Salt Lake City, 2011, pp. 415-416.

29 *Women’s Women’s testimonies published in the Nauvoo Neighbor issue of May 29, 1844.*

30 *Nauvoo Neighbor*, May 29, 1844.

31 Catherine Fuller statement before the Nauvoo High Council, LDS Archives MS/S/d/2375/Box 8/f/d, Nauvoo, and included in the Valence T. Avery Papers USU/ COLI MSS 316, Box 24, Fd 14, Special Collections and Archives, Utah State University Merrill-Cazier Library, Logan, Utah.

32 Information about William Smith has been crosscut in the hand-written testimony, but is still legible. A late account (Abraham H. Cannon Journal, 9 April 1890) says Brigham Young was to charge William Smith with adultery and other sins. After the trial began, Joseph entered the room and cried, “Bro. Brigham, I will not listen to this abuse of my family a minute longer…” Cannon writes “A rupture between the two greatest men on earth seemed imminent.” Brigham instantly said, “Bro. Joseph, I withdraw the charge.” Joseph may have believed William or Bennett had originated the illicit sex scheme. Once Joseph determined Bennett was the culprit, he tried to protect all others, including his brother.


34 Later reinstated.

35 Later reinstated. His excommunication has been his audacity in suing William Marks. However blaming the discipline on a lawsuit may have been intended to deflect suspicion, given that Lyon was willing to repent.

36 It is not clear if Margaret meant Higbee was having sex with Margaret’s sister during the same period of several weeks, or if Higbee was simultaneously engaging in sex with both of them in a *ménage à trois*. Margaret’s mother, Jane Neyman, is mentioned, but it is unclear whether she was implicated as one who had been seduced.


38 Rachel would marry Lucien Woodworth in January, 1846, embracing Joseph’s teachings.

39 Elder Kingsley was endowed in the Nauvoo temple in February 1846, indicating that she had eventually decided to join the Church and embrace Joseph’s teachings.

40 Dinger suggests it was Esther Smith [Fuller] who testified in September 1842 regarding Gustavus Hills’s attempted seduction. See Dinger, p. 425, note 64. However Esther [Fuller] lived in Iowa, making it unlikely that she was participating in the special Nauvoo Women’s Choir. Also, Esther [Fuller] had been married for many years, making it unlikely she would be referred to as Esther Smith.


42 Those not vested in the idea that Joseph was innocent of Bennett’s activities presume that Bennett learned about Joseph’s plural marriage activities from Joseph himself. However the gross difference between the seducer’s rationale and Joseph’s teachings makes this unlikely.

43 *Nauvoo Neighbor*, May 29, 1844.


14 – Plural Wives of 1842

in a circumstance that would have brought her into a compound with another man. Sarah Miller had previously been married to Gustavus Hills as part of the Beecher family and was thus introduced to the principle of plural marriage. This indicates Horace left in May 1842, right before Dr. Bennett was exposed for fomenting illicit intercourse. See Helen Mar Kimball [Whitney], “Scenes and Incidents in Nauvoo,” Woman’s Exponent 11 (1882-83), online 24 Nov 2015 at http://www.boap.org/LDS/Early-Saints/WHitney.html.

15 – Sanguino and Pratt

Six of the apostles turned against Joseph around 1837, some openly calling for his death. Five were excommunicated. The sixth, Joseph’s younger brother, William Smith, remained a member of the Quorum despite his vocal attacks on Joseph.


2 Affidavit of J. B. Backenstos,” Affidavits and Certificates, Definining the Statements and Affidavits Contained in John C. Bennett’s Letters, Nauvoo, Illinois, Aug. 31, 1842, “Personally appeared before me Ebenezer Robinson acting Justice of the Peace, in and for said county, J. B. Backenstos, who being duly sworn according to law, deposed and said, that some time during last winter, he [Backenstos] accused Doctor John C. Bennett, with having an illicit intercourse with Mrs. Orson Pratt, and some others, when said Bennett replied that she made a first rate go, and from personal observations I should have taken said Doctor Bennett and Mrs. Pratt as man and wife, had I not known to the contrary, and further this deponent saith not.” Online 27 Mar 2014 at http://www.josephsmithdna.com/3/josimproproposals/improproposals/accusations/SarahPratt2.html.

4 The Goddards would indicate that the home itself was built by Foster, though it is possible the home was built by Foster at the behest of Dr. Bennett.


9 Francis Higbee, or Frank, appears to have refuted the implication that he had a sexually acquired disease, countering that he did not have that sort of “pos.” Per discussion with Joseph Johnstone in February 2015.

10 The individuals may have later become Nauvoo Masons. The confession likely occurred on the third floor of the Nauvoo Masonic Hall, later site of the Nauvoo Masonic Lodge.

11 Documentary History of the Church, vol. 4, 400.

12 Smith, Saintly Snarebird, pp. 80-83. Bennett is listed in the 1842 census as living in Ward 1, his name near the names of Orson Pratt and Sarah Bates [Pratt].

13 Chapter 3 of Andrew Smith’s biography of Bennett, Saintly Snarebird, is titled “The ‘Getter Up’ of Colleges”, p. 26.

14 DHC, vol. 4, 414.
In addition to William Smith and Vinson Knight signing the notice of excommunication, Chassey Highge and Francis Highge would sign affidavits in 1842 exonerating Joseph.

15 Martha’s sister, Elizabeth Brotherton, would become a plural wife of Parley P. Pratt in June 1843. Martha’s sister, Mary Brotherton [McKilrick], bore her first child in May 1842. After the death of John McLerrick/McKilrick, Mary would also become a plural wife of Parley P. Pratt. After Martha passed away, Brigham Young had her sealed to him by proxy.


20 John M. McLerrick married Mary Brotherton in England. Their first child was conceived before the Brothertons left England on September 21, 1841.


22 DHC, vol. 5, 60-61.


26 One of Bennett’s early assertions in the Sangamo Journal was the charge that Joseph had instigated the nearly-fatal May 1842 shooting of Governor Boggs.


29 Of interest, the name of Elvira Annie Cowles, Relief Society Treasurer, was allegedly not included on the certificate signed by other members of the Relief Society presidency.


34 John M. McLerrick married Mary Brotherton in England. Their first child was conceived before the Brothertons left England on September 21, 1841.


36 DHC, vol. 5, 60-61.


40 One of Bennett’s early assertions in the Sangamo Journal was the charge that Joseph had instigated the nearly-fatal May 1842 shooting of Governor Boggs.


43 Of interest, the name of Elvira Annie Cowles, Relief Society Treasurer, was allegedly not included on the certificate signed by other members of the Relief Society presidency.

44 In addition to William Smith and Vinson Knight signing the notice of excommunication, Chassey Highge and Francis Highge would sign affidavits in 1842 exonerating Joseph.

17 – Eliza Roxy Snow [Smith]
8  The jailers had apparently hoped they could get some good anti-Mormon propaganda out of the tale of “flesh-eating Mormons.” Lyman Wight was the only prisoner to partake of the proffered meat before it was discovered what the jailers had meant by “Mormon meat.” The jailers eventually realized the prisoners would rather starve than eat human flesh and tried to hide what they had done. See History of the Church, Vol. 3, p. 428.
been invited to lodge with the Markham family. Eliza was living with the Markhams when Joseph was killed, would travel with the Markhams when the Saints were forced to leave Nauvoo, and would live with them once the exodus arrived at Winter Quarters.

18 – Healing Wounded Hearts

1 The Book of Mormon includes discussion of plural marriage. As seen in the marriages of the Queen of the Lamanites, Book of Mormon peoples used levirate marriage that conveyed property, as in the story of Ruth.

2 Timothy 3:6.

3 Gideon, born 1831, was first cousin to Marietta Carter [Holmes], who had been killed by a mob in August 1840. This story regarding Hyrum Smith was related to B. H. Roberts in 1894.


5 Gary Bergera, “The Earliest Mormon Polygamists,” Dialogue, pp. 28-29, online 2 May 2014 at http://www.dialoguejournal.com/wp-content/uploads/shi/articles/Dialogue_V38N03_13.pdf. It appears that around this time Hyrum had a dream of being united to his deceased wife, Jerusha. Mercy Thompson, Hyrum’s sister-in-law, had a corresponding dream of being united to her deceased husband, Robert Thornton. Three days after Hyrum accepted the doctrine of the New and Everlasting Covenant, Hyrum and Mercy stood proxy as each was sealed to their deceased spouses, fulfilling the promise conveyed in their respective dreams.

6 Emily Dow Partridge Young, Incidents in the Life of a Mormon Girl, undated manuscript, CHL, Ms 5220, pp. 186, 186b.

7 Todd Compton, In Sacred Loneliness, p. 407.

8 Temple Lot Transcript.

9 Todd Compton, In Sacred Loneliness, pp. 407–408.

10 Gary Bergera, “The Earliest Mormon Polygamists,” Dialogue, p. 23-25, online 3 May 2014 at http://www.dialoguejournal.com/wp-content/uploads/shi/articles/Dialogue_V38N03_13.pdf. As the Longstroths are not included in the Mormon Migration database, it seems possible they had been Joseph Fickling’s company with Martha Brothermon, as the records of the Tytian and her passengers were lost.


12 Ruth Vose and her non-Mormon husband, Edward Sayers, had taken Joseph in during August 1842, when he was hiding to avoid being extradited to Missouri on charges related to the shooting of Governor Boggs. As recorded by Andrew Jensen, Ruth and Edward Sayers arrived in Nauvoo in 1841. “While there the strongest affection sprang up between the Prophet Joseph and Mr. Sayers. The latter not attaching much importance to the theory of a future life insisted that his wife Ruth should be sealed to the Prophet for eternity, as he himself should only claim her in this life. She was accordingly sealed to the Prophet in Emma Smith’s presence and thus became numbered among the Prophets plural wives though she continued to live with Mr. Sayers until his death.” From Andrew Jensen, “Ruth Vose Sayers Draft biographical sketch,” cited in Brian Hales, Joseph Smith’s Polygamy, Chapter 12, see note 42. Ruth Sayers would attest in May 1869 that she was sealed to Joseph Smith in February 1843 with Hyrum performing the sealing. However Hyrum had not reconciled with Joseph over plural marriage until May 26, 1843. Nor is it likely Emma would have openly participated in a sealing between Joseph and another woman as early as February 1843. If Ruth was right about the year, her sealing to Joseph likely took place after May 26, 1843. If Ruth was right about the month, her sealing to Joseph likely took place in February 1844. A third possibility presents itself if Joseph agreed to the marriage in February 1843, but the
It seems this must have occurred in May 1844, as Malissa’s failure to quicken from the single attempt would likely have occasioned another attempt. If accepted as accurate, Malissa’s description of the solitary attempt to conceive Joseph’s child portrays a “polygymist” who truly was reluctant.

3 History of the Church 5:28-30.
6 This is the meeting after Catherine Fuller, Margaret and Matilda Nyman, Sarah Miller, and others testified before the High Council regarding the seductions carried out by Bennett, Chauncey Highbee, and others.
8 Partridge, Emily Dow, autobiographical sketch, Written Especially for My Children, January 7, 1877, Marriott Library, manuscript owned by Emily Young Knopp.
9 Partridge, Emily Dow, “Emily Young Autobiography,” p. 4; see Women’s Exponent, v. 14, August 1, 1885, p. 38.
10 Only two previously-married couples had been sealed at this point. Newell K. Whitney and his wife, Elizabeth, were sealed in conjunction with the sealing of their daughter, Sarah, to Joseph. Then Heber C. Kimball was sealed to his wife, Vilate, as a result of Kimball’s faith in offering Vilate to Joseph, an offered sacrifice I think was prompted by the apostle’s action in excommunicating Orton Pratt for refusing to publicly confirm that his wife was an adulteress.
11 Orange Wight, Autobiography, “At first the doctrine was taught in private... The next I noticed in company with the young folks the girls were calling another one spiritual.” Orange dates this to 1841-1842, making it possible that the Partridge girls had heard the term and even used it themselves by 1843. Online 12 May 2014 at http://www.boap.org/LDS/Early-Saints/OWight.htm.
12 Orange claims he was initiated into polygamy in the winter of 1841/42, which was almost certainly in the context of John C. Bennett’s spiritual hierarchy. It is uncertain how Orange Wight learned that the Partridge girls, specifically, were wives of Joseph Smith.
13 Emily’s testimony was given as part of the Utah Lot trial, where the Utah Church was helping the Temple Lot Church defend the Missouri property where a Temple was to have been erected. The LDS Church tried to prove that Joseph had practiced and taught polygamy during his lifetime, “proving” that the Reorganized Church of Jesus Christ of Latter Day Saints, headed by Joseph’s sons, was not true to the legacy of Joseph Smith. Emily thus had a motive to be expansive with her claims regarding sexuality with Joseph.
17 An anti-Mormon source, Catherine Lewis, reports Helen telling her mother “I would never have been sealed (married) to Joseph had I known it was anything more than a ceremony.” This was in the context of Brigham Young attempting to collect the young

13 Mary Ann Price traveled to Utah in 1852, one of four women to drive teams in the Henry W. Miller Company. During that crossing, Mary Ann was still being referred to as Miss Mary Ann Price.
15 Orange Wight had set his cap for Flora Ann after hearing about plural marriage from Joseph Smith. Orange Wight’s reaction to the awkward revelation by Flora Ann’s mother was to be pleased Joseph had liked a woman he liked, and to try to find some other worthy woman who had not already been snapped up, cited in Compton, In Sacred Loneliness, p. 389-391.
17 John C. Bennett wrote: “Joe Smith was privately married to his spiritual wives—in the case of Mrs. A.***** B.****, by Apostle Brigham Young; and in that of Miss L.***** B.***** [Louisa Beaman], by Elder Joseph Bates Noble. John C. Bennett, The History of the Saints; or, An Exposé of Joe Smith and Mormonism [Boston: Leland & Whiting, 1842], p. 256.
19 Erastus Snow’s recollection says 1841, but since he was not in Nauvoo until April 1843, this was an error on Snow’s part. We can infer that the 1843 conversation likely occurred no earlier than summer 1843, as Erastus mentions that Emma had administered to Joseph but had since turned against him.

19 – Emma’s Ultimatum

1 Accounts regarding a fall 1841 sermon mentioning polygamy are recorded by Joseph Lee Robinson, George A. Smith, Horace Cummings, and Helen Mar Kimball [Smith Whitney]. Helen also documents Joseph’s sermon and retraction. Robinson and Kimball mention Emma’s reaction, see Brian C. Hales, Joseph Smith’s Polygamy, Volume I, Chapter 9.
2 If Joseph practice plural marriage, it seems reasonable that he did so during the windows where we see bona fide plural wives conceive children. Emily Partridge would testify that she had roomed with Joseph several times and participated in carnal intercourse during May 1843, however she did not conceive. It is sufficient for Emily’s testimony to remain true if actual carnal intercourse occurred only once. An RLDS apostate, after having embezzled funds from the RLDS Church, would claim Malissa Lott told him Joseph Smith had wanted to have her bear his child. Malissa then described the one intimate encounter that followed.
widow, as he believed was his levirate duty. However Helen’s objection may refer to the reality that the “ceremony” interfered with Helen’s flirtations and attendance at dances.  


D&C 132:54.  


12 Jonathan, less than three months younger than Joseph Smith, is the only man whose wife was sealed to Joseph during mid-1843. As late as 1845, Jonathan’s marriage to Elvira may still have been a pretend marriage.  

13 The August 16, 1843 entry in William Clayton’s journal indicates Joseph feared Emma would divorce him if he accepted Emma’s offer to give him the Partridge sisters. As Joseph was already married to the Partridge sisters, this suggests Joseph either had not consummated the unions or had ended conjugal relations and did not want to resume relations for fear Emma would invoke her ultimatum from June 1843. See George D. Smith, Intimate Chronicle, p. 117.  

14 The location of the Wasson’s home is sometimes given as Inlet Grove. However local historians assert that at the time of the arrest the Wasson home was located in Palestine Grove. An 1843 account of the arrest indicates that the arrest occurred in Palestine Grove.  


23 Todd Compton writes of this visit on June 29th, concluding “Once again [Elizabeth Durfee] may have been preparing a young woman, Melissa Lott, for a proposal from Joseph Smith.” See Compton, In Sacred Loneliness, pp. 262-263.  


20 – Revealing the Revelation  

1 Critics of D&C 132 claim James Whitehead, Joseph’s secretary, should have written it down, rather than a simple tithing clerk. However these critics tend to ignore James Whitehead’s 1864 assertion that he had witnessed several sealings where Emma participated in ceremonies where Joseph covenanted with other women.  

2 D&C 132.  


4 Andrew Jensen, The Historical Record 6 [May 1887]: pp. 224, 225–226.  

5 History of the Church 5:507.  

6 History of the Church 5:509.  

7 RLDS History of the Church 3:351–352; The Messenger of the Reorganized Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-Day Saints 1 [April 1875], p. 23. From the date, it appears Briggs’s testimony was published as a direct attack on Clayton’s 1874 account.  

8 When I separated from my first husband, my mother did not want to have to lie to my husband. So she would drop me off around the corner from whatever home I had arranged to shelter in that night, so she could honestly tell my abusive husband that she did not know where I was.  

9 Allegedly Joseph F. Smith or Joseph Fielding Smith later opined that the revelation in its entirety ought not to have been canonized, containing as it did these time-specific and embarrassing details regarding Emma Smith.  

10 One of these early sealings would show Hyrum’s lack of understanding. Even though Joseph had sealed Hyrum to the widowed Mercy Fielding [Thompson] for time, Hyrum had not understood that widows should be given the chance to be sealed to their beloved first husbands. So Hyrum sealed Parley P. Pratt to his wife, Mary Ann Frost [Stemps Pratt], a sealing Joseph would feel he had to cancel.  


14 Modern historians make a point of the fact that Austin’s daughter, Elvira Annie Cowkes [Holmes] had covenanted with Joseph earlier that summer. However I do not know why Austin would have bad any idea about Elvira’s sealing to Joseph.  


17 Daniel Bachman, A Study of the Mormon Practice of Plural Marriage before the Death of Joseph Smith, p. 176.  

18 Jonathan H. Hale was bishop of the Nauvoo 9th Ward after August 20, 1842, see The Nauvoo City Council and High Council Minutes, John S. Dinger editor, Signature Books, Salt Lake City, 2011, pp. 422-423.  

19 Jonathan Harriman Holmes, Jonathan Harriman Hale, and Henry Harriman were three cousins from Massachusetts who joined the Church together and traveled to Kirtland in 1835. Holmes was one of Joseph’s bodyguards, husband of the ill-fated Marietta Carter, and “assigned” husband to Elvira Annie Cowkes, who had been sealed to Joseph by the time this gathering took place. Charlesa “Harriet” Harriman was likely that Harriman’s barren, was sister to Oliver Boynton [Hale], wife of Bishop Jonathan Harriman Hale. Thus Charlotte’s inability to “raise up children” to the name of Harriman was a concern to many of those in attendance at this meeting.  

20 Eliza Elizabeth Jones was born in January 1830, making her at most 14 if Joseph performed a sealing ceremony between Eliza and Henry before Joseph’s death. Henry and Eliza were sealed in the Nauvoo temple in January 1846 and Eliza conceived her first child in the summer when she was 16 years old.  


22 This was John Somers Higbee, uncle to Chauncey Higbee and Francis Higbee. John Higbee is not identified as an early polygamist by either Gary Bergera or George D. Smith. John Higbee was likely involved in Bennett’s spiritual widows rather than Joseph’s plural marriage. John Higbee is mentioned in heroic terms by Orange Wight’s 1904 letter for his protection and aid to the Wight family during the Missouri troubles of 1833 that prompted Joseph Smith to raise Zion’s Camp to come to the rescue.  


24 It is not clear if this means Orange became informed, or if it means he became an active member of the group involved in illicit intercourse. After Orange was “initiated” at age 18, he was sent away from Nauvoo on a mission under the supervision of two older missionaries.  

25 It Brigham was explaining that he, Brigham, had more than one wife, as he was a widower. Thus it would be natural for Brigham to explain that he expected to be united in heaven to both the woman who was his current wife, as well as the wonderful woman who had been his wife before her death. It seems highly unlikely that Brigham would tell a stranger that Joseph had more than one wife at this point in time.
21 – Those Virtuous and Pure

1 D&C 13:52
2 From D&C 13:60-62
3 If these nine were among the “ten” Joseph was told he was permitted, it seems Emma Hale [Smith] may have been the tenth.
4 According to Todd Compton, Martha McBride married Heber C. Kimball on October 12, 1844, when Martha was 39. However other biographies of Martha McBride claim she did not marry Heber C. Kimball until two months before leaving Nauvoo, which would suggest the date was December 12, 1845. As Martha was a widow and mother of four daughters and a son when she covenanted with Joseph, I think the 1845 date is more likely, suggesting she was taken under protection in the context of the danger posed by the wolf hunts and the pending flight from Nauvoo rather than as one of the “virtuous and pure.”
5 Some claim Fanny gave birth to a son named Orson or Orrison. Ugo Perего has evaluated this case and found that the descendents of Orrison could not have Joseph Smith as an ancestor. Chauncey Webb indicated Fanny was pregnant when she lived in his home in the late summer of 1836, however by the Don Bradley reconstruction of the relationship, Fanny may have been only a couple of months pregnant at the time if she conceived after April 1836. The symptoms Chauncey may have observed could have been produced by amenorrhea, cessation of menstruation caused by stress. See Bradley, Don, *Waging the Case of Fanny Alger*, The Persistence of Polygamy, Volume I, pp. 14-58. Also see Perego, Ugo, *Joseph Smith and DNA: The Persistence of Polygamy*, Volume I, pp. 244-246.
6 Vincent Knight’s daughter fled from the attempted discussion. As Joseph and others may have feared, the young Miss Knight left Mormonism, confident in her interpretation that Joseph Smith was involved in proinurient sexuality.
7 Compton, *In Sacred Landmarks*, p. 316. Compton does not explicitly mention Eliza’s departure from Nauvoo.
8 Joseph Smith Papers, journal facsimile for October 5, 1843.
9 The third possibility is Heber Kimball, who may have engendered a child with Sarah Peak Noon by this point. On the other hand, it is not clear who engendered the son Sarah Peak [Noon] gave birth to in 1842.
11 Initially faith healing, performed by both men and women, was seen as a “proof” that the Church was true. In the late 1800s other churches began to perform faith healings. Allocation of priesthood solely to men was a pet theory of Joseph F. Smith, a theory his followers enounced as doctrine in the 1900s.
13 It is not clear what washing and anointing an expectant mother consisted of, exactly. The implication is that it was sufficiently intimate that it was not a form of blessing a man could appropriately perform.
14 Belle Spafford was called to serve in her local Relief Society Presidency as a young mother, a calling she initially despised. Speaking of those days, she said, “To me the society needed lifting up and pushing forward. We needed to enroll more young women, and have programs a little more meaningful. We needed to do something on the homemaking day besides quilting. … So 1 worked toward these goals along with my president and the other counselors.” See Janet Peterson and Connie Lewis, “Making a Difference for Women: Belle S. Spafford,” Ensign, March 2006.
died. Sarah "Bapson" may have been one of the semi-anonymous women mentioned in Bennett’s History of the Saints, suggesting she was working to expose the strikers during 1842. Other women sealed by proxy to Joseph Smith in 1846 and later are alleged to have covenanted with Joseph during his lifetime.

In the heaven I imagine, Mr. Sayers will have accepted the saving ordinances and will be united to Rose, who he loved so much he was willing to let her have the desire of her heart.

22 – Daughter of Promise

1 Jane’s diary mentions Joseph Fitch, his wife and daughter. Additional details on the Fitch family in Wilton were located in the book Descendants of Reuel and Matthew Marvin of Hartford, Ct., by George Franklin Marvin and William Theophilus Rogers Marvin, online 1 Jun 2014 at http://books.google.com/books?id=Ge81AAAMAAJ&pg=PA43001&onepage &q&f=false and familysearch.org.

2 In Jane’s autobiography, she says she, herself, was fourteen. However Jane had a nine-year-old son in 1843. It is likely Jane was using the age of the girl for whom she served as companion. Manning James, Jane, Autobiography, online 1 Jun 2014 at http://www.blacklds.org/manning.

3 Jane’s baptism was likely in the fall of 1842. It is therefore possible that the presence of the Elder in Wilton was occasioned by the missionary efforts conducted to dispel the lurid stories John C. Bennett was telling during his anti-Mormon campaign associated with publication of his History of the Saints.

4 Manning James, Jane, Autobiography, online 1 Jun 2014 at http://www.blacklds.org/manning.

5 Date is given in Black and Mormon, edited by Newell G. Bringham and Darron T. Smith, online 2 Jun 2014 at http://books.google.com/books?id=S0BGF1dKvKCg&pg=PA51#v=onepagedq&fq=&f=false.

6 Jane’s mother had remarried a man named Cato Treadwill, who may have been part of the party, but is not mentioned in Jane’s account. This would have increased the number of men in the party to four.

7 Jane’s son, Sylvester (KNVC-9HP), was eight years old when the Manning party arrived in Nauvoo.


9 It is worth noting that “the washing” had previously been the job of Emily Partridge, who had departed the Smith household a few weeks before Jane joined the Smith household.

10 Manning James, Jane, Autobiography, online 1 Jun 2014 at http://www.blacklds.org/manning.

11 Life History of Sarah Elizabeth Holmes [Watson], available at the Nauvoo Land and Records Office.

12 Cited in Brian C. Hales, Joseph Smith’s Polygamy, Chapter 24. Apparently from page 19 of the autobiography of Sarah Elizabeth Manning [James], but not included in the online version previously cited.

13 Manning James, Jane, Autobiography, online 1 Jun 2014 at http://www.blacklds.org/manning.

14 Personal correspondence with Margaret Blair Young, co-author with Darius Aidan Gray of The Standing on the Promises series of historical novels discussing the history of Blacks in early LDS history. At the time of our correspondence, Margaret did not recall the rape’s name, but conveyed the impression he was a local Connecticut clergyman.

15 Though Jane does not specify which Burlington she fled to, Burlington, Iowa, is more likely than Burlington, Illinois.


18 Ham, Shem, and Japheth were sons of Noah, the Bible prophet at the time of the great flood. Shem was believed to be the father of the Semites, or Israelis. Japheth was believed to have been the father of the Whites who were not Israelis. Ham was believed to be the father of the Blacks. Ironically, there is a Black people who have extremely strong Cohanim DNA, indicating that these Black individuals are a literal branch of Israel. Residual racism was still taught when I was a college student in the 1980s. I think a loving God might have kept his Black children from us, until we were made to have the stain of the ban taken from us. The ban meant we do not have a rich history of White members being actually terrible to their Black brothers. It resembles how a parent might separate two children when one might do irreparable damage to the other. The ban may have been because White members could not be trusted to avoid terrible hatefulness until a later date. I say this as a mixed race child born when it was “void and prohibited” for my parents to marry in the state of my birth.


20 The 1850 and 1860 Utah censuses reported 26 and 29 Black slaves, respectively. Enslavement of native Americans was a larger issue. Brigham’s attempts to transmute slavery into indentured servitude as a step towards complete emancipation backfired. Utah’s efforts to raze Paiute villages for women and children, who they threatened to kill unless the Mormons “bought” the kidnapped victims. This is how Ormer Badigee became the adopted son of Joseph Leland Heywood. A Mormon bought Badigee’s saving his life, but did not take care of Badigee. Heywood, finding this situation, relieved the un-named Mormon of responsibility for the boy, brought him to his household, where Omer was bathed, de-loused, and given decent clothes (the rags Omer had been wearing were burned).


23 See Brigham Young addresses, Ms d 1234, Box 48, folder 3, dated Feb. 5, 1852. Also included in Fred C. Collier, The Teachings of Brigham Young.

24 This is an account I stumbled across in the early days of the internet, which I have been unable to relocate. However it is entirely consistent with the vigil of Mary Fielding [Smith] upon discovering the relocation of her husband’s body. Assuming the account was accurate, it seems likely the Smith brother who may have been buried with Joseph and Hyrum was Samuel.

25 This controversy continued into the next generation, when Emma’s family resorted to “dousing” in 1928 to locate the lost remains of the brothers. Joseph Fielding Smith, Hyrum’s grandson, was livid that Emma’s family would presume to resort to such means to “locate” the graves, then move the bodies yet again without consulting Hyrum’s descendents. Further, there was suspicion that the bodies had been misidentified, a suspicion which has since been allayed by forensic analysis. See Curtis G. Weber, Shards and Crucified Bonest: A
Forensic Study of the Remains of Hyrum and Joseph Smith, online 2 Jun 2014 at http://mormonhistoricsites.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/04/Skulls-and-Crossed-Bones-A-Forensic-Study-of-the-Remains-of-Hyrum-and-Joseph-Smith.pdf. Unbeknownst to Joseph Fielding Smith, the bodies of Joseph and Hyrum had been moved out of Nauvoo from under the beehive burial location just prior to the conflict of September 1846, and subsequently reburied on the grounds of Emma's home, near a spring house which subsequently was torn down, accounting for the lack of accuracy regarding the final resting place of the remains.


It is possible some in the Boston crowd were Mormons who had been sent away from Nauvoo following the scandal. We know William Smith was in the Boston area. Mormon Elders had been following Bennett’s lecture circuit, to mitigate the damage his tales caused. The attack on Bennett could have been to stop him from identifying the other guilty men.

Smith, Saintly Saintoudrel, p. 140.

Cited in Saintly Saintoudrel. Jonathan Dunham, Major General of the Nauvoo Legion, could be the author of the reported letter. However Joseph last instructions to Dunham told Dunham to “instruct the people to stay at home and attend to their own business.” Allen J. Stout alleged Dunham had disobeyed Joseph Smith’s order to rally the Carthage, but it does not appear Joseph issued such an order. If traitorous, Dunham would have been happy to keep the Mormons away from Carthage, as Joseph Smith had commanded him to do.


D&C 124:16-17.

24 – Conferring the Mantle


Hyrum had served a mission to the East with Law in 1841, so was particularly close to him. But Law, though a member of the Quorum of the Anointed, was not exposed to the doctrine of plural marriage before fall 1843, though other members of the Quorum of the Anointed had been taught and experienced the sealing ordinance starting in May 1843. It is not known why Joseph may delayed exposing Law to the doctrine. Hyrum likely approached Law without Joseph’s permission. Law was a leader in the conspiracy to kill Joseph prior to giving this account, suggesting Law’s portrayal of the conversation might be suspect.


Alexander Neibaur journal entry of May 24, 1844. Also corroborated by William Clayton journal entry of June 12, 1844, and Hyrum Smith in the June 17, 1844 Nauvoo Neighbor. Though these three accounts are recorded in 1844, the wording in each is consistent with the possibility that the inquiry occurred in fall 1843. Joseph Jackson claimed in January 1844 that Joseph had been attempting to convince Jane Law of the correctness of the doctrine for “some two months.” Hyrum Smith had also related to the Nauvoo City Council on June 8, 1844, that William Law had confessed to adultery. See The Nauvoo City Council and High Council Minutes, John S. Dinger editor, Signature Books, Salt Lake City, 2011, p. 241-242.


 Ibid., p. 66, footnote 87.

John Allen Hicks was a few years older than Joseph Smith and had been The President of the Elders’ Quorum in Nauvoo. His familysearch record is online 22 Jun 2014 at...
It is not clear which brother was with him, though Robert Francis Hicks seems possible. Hicks was of Irish extraction, like Law, and had joined the Church in 1838. His father, John Law Hicks, and Robert Scott would all end up moving to Wisconsin after leaving Nauvoo.

Most of these men had served together as prominent officers in the Nauvoo Legion, when Bennett was in charge.


John and Robert Scott were brothers to Sarah Scott [Mulholland Mullinder], who would marry Heber Kimball after Joseph’s death and had reportedly covenanted with Joseph Smith during his lifetime.

Joseph Smith, as conveyed via Dennison L. Harris to Horace Cummings, commented on by John Taylor. Horace Cummings’s version was published in *The Contributor* in 1884, and was included in Brian C. Hales, *Joseph Smith Polygamy, Volume 1*, Chapter 15.

25 – Carthage, 1844


5 It seems more than coincidental that the first meeting likely occurred on the anniversary of the founding of Relief Society. Relief Society had proven the downfall of the Stirkers.

6 Wilford Woodruff Journals, 24 March 1844.


9 The reported scalping is given as a reason Joseph would comb the hair forward at his temples. A profile portrait of Joseph painted by Mary Elizabeth Lightner appears to show a significant lack of hair on Joseph’s right temple, see Winter, Lorie, "In Search of the Real Joseph Smith," *Saturnus*, issue 24, Nov./Dec 1980, p. 31.

10 The father of Chauncey and Francis Highbee had been a judge in Nauvoo before his death. Thus they may have been the legal advisors for the conspirators.


13 From John Taylor’s affidavit of 22 September 1844, “I learned of Francis Highbee as being in the neighborhood. On hearing his name mentioned, I immediately arose and said, ‘Captain Smith, you are a justice of the peace; I have heard his name mentioned; I want to swear my life against him.’ I was informed that word was immediately sent to him to leave the place, which he did.”


16 It takes roughly 20 seconds to load a smooth bore musket. Loading a rifle with a patched ball takes a few seconds longer that the time required for smooth bore muskets.

17 Based on William R. Hamilton’s description of his actions that day, he could have been the young man William Daniels saw.


26 – Collecting the Sorrowful


2 The son of Joseph Smith & Sarah Peak Noon, often to have been engaged by Theodrend Turley and Heber C. Kimball respectively, were engaged during Dr. Bennett’s campaign of illicit intercourse. Presuming full-term deliveries, the children of Joseph B. Noble and William Clayton by their plural wives were likely conceived in late May 1843. The son of Heber C. Kimball with Sarah Peak [Noon] may also have been conceived in May 1843. The child of Lorenzo Dow Young was conceived no earlier than December 1843. The children of William Flesch and Theodore Turley with plural wives were conceived in about May 1844. This pattern of conceptions suggests that Joseph Smith may also have consummated a plural marriage in May 1843, presumably the marriage to Emily Partridge. He also may have consummated a plural marriage in about May 1844, consistent with the 1894 testimony of
Malissa Lott. There is no reason to be certain either consummation occurred without the knowledge and agreement of Emma Smith. 2 See Succession Crisis (Latter Day Saints), online 3 Apr 2016 at https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Succession_crisis_(Latter_Day_Saints).

3 William was in the east when Samuel died, but claimed Samuel had been poisoned. William based his accusation on the fact that Samuel was being treated by Willard Richards, a doctor specializing in Thompsonian and homeopathic methods. Homeopathic remedies can produce a brief exacerbation of symptoms before healing occurs. The tendency of the Smiths to credit each other with poisoning may have been influenced by the death of Alvin Smith as a result of “heroic” medicine, which included use of calomel, a highly toxic mercury compound. See Diven, “Medicine and the Mormons,” Dialogue, online, 7 Jul 2014 at https://www-dialoguejournal.com/wp-content/uploads/shb/articles/Dialogue_V12N03_20.pdf.


6 Sidney Rigdon was Joseph’s pick for Vice President, and candidates for President and Vice President on a ticket could not hail from the same state. Selection of Sidney Rigdon as running mate might have been a way to respectfully get Sidney out of Nauvoo at a time when conspiracy was rife.

7 Some believe the letter was merely appointing Strang to lead the congregation (stake) in Wisconsin. Others believe the letter was a forgery that used a legitimate outer covering from a letter posted by Joseph from Nauvoo shortly before his death. See The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter Day Saints (Strangite), online 3 Apr 2016 at https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Church_of_Jesus_Christ_of_Latter_Day_Saints_(Strangite).

8 It is suggested that Strang became Mormon just when the Law conspiracy was gaining steam. Many of those who aligned themselves with Strang had links to the conspiracy, including William Law and Austin Cowles.


10 McKiernan, The voice of one crying in the wilderness: Sidney Rigdon, religious reformer, 1793-1876, p. 56.

11 Cook, Lyndon W., Nauvoo Marriages – Proxy Sealing 1843-1846, Grandin Book Company, Provo, UT, 2004. An example of these proxy sealings is Emmeline B. Harris, a deserted teenage bride who became a plural wife of Newel K. Whitney. Newel K. Whitney’s death in 1850 left Emmeline a single mother again at age 22. She approached Daniel H. Wells and asked him to accept her as a plural wife. She went on to become the General President of the Relief Society, showing how women used plural marriage to meet their needs. Plural marriage was also a pathway to power for women in the early Church, with prominent men able to promote the abilities of those of several wives who were similarly capable.

12 Martha’s marriage to Heber C. Kimball occurred on October 12th. Some authors give the year as 1844, but as it was about two months before leaving Nauvoo, it was likely in 1845.

13 This list of Joseph’s wives is based on Compton and Hales, though I do not believe some of these women (Mary Heron, Elizabeth Durfee, Sarah Kingsley) had actually married Joseph during his lifetime.

14 Brian C. Hales has a list of those women he believes were married to Joseph Smith, with an indication of who they married after Joseph’s death. But Brian’s list includes many years
it is possible Olive's reported father of Olive's child, the child would be attributed to Joseph under levirate custom. While it is possible Olive's reported child was engendered by Joseph, the report is also consistent with Brigham Young being the biological father of "Joseph's" child.

21 Orson Whitney (b. 1855) indicates two other women Heber married in 1846 were known to have covenanted with Joseph Smith: Mary Houston (b. 1816) and Sarah Scott [Mulholland Mullinder] (b. 1818). The profile for both these women suggests they could have been put under covenant in response to the illicit intercourse scandal. Initially sealed to Orson Hyde, later sealed to Joseph Smith and separated from Hyde.

22 Died 1845 with Eliza Snow at her side. Her death almost certainly occurred before December 10, 1845, when the first ordinances were performed in the Nauvoo temple.

23 Almira married Reuben Barton after Joseph's death. It does not appear Almira was sealed to Joseph Smith in the Nauvoo temple.

24 Declined to be sealed to any high-Church leader due to disputes over property and the doctrine of plural marriage as practiced by Brigham and the apostles.

25 As Fanny was Brigham's sister and an older woman, it is possible Brigham and Heber determined there was no need to provide her another "husband" to care for her and husband her as a Church resource.

26 Patty apparently did not participate in any sealings in the Nauvoo temple. Patty was in her fifties and a trusted mid-wife. She remained a mentor and confidant of the rest of Joseph's wives, and was viewed as one who cared for others, not so much as one who needed to be "taken care of." Patty would have herself sealed to Joseph Smith decades later, after the RLDS Church began sending missionaries to Utah to convince people Joseph had never taught plural marriage.

27 Married non-Mormon, left Mormon community in fall 1836.

28 Married non-Mormon in 1843.

29 Had been sealed to Joseph with husband's consent in February 1843 or 1844 in what was clearly an exterty-only sealing.

30 Esther's covenant with Joseph Smith during his lifetime likely occurred in 1842, either following Gustavus Hill's attempt to seduce her or her subsequent testimony months later before the Nauvoo High Council. Esther was sealed (again) to Joseph with her husband standing proxy in 1851.

31 I do not agree that Mary Heron should be listed as a wife of Joseph Smith, but include her here because others have listed her as a likely wife (Quinn, Hales) or possible wife (Compton). I think Joseph Kelly was the "Joseph" described as frigging Mary in Nauvoo.

32 Esther Smith testified Gustavus Hill's teaching was acceptable to engage in illicit intercourse. There are only two possible Esther Smiths in the vicinity of Nauvoo in 1842, Esther Dutcher [Smith] and Esther Smith [Fuller]. Esther [Fuller] lived in Iowa, making it unlikely she participated in the Nauvoo Choir, as was true of the Esther Smith who testified before the High Council. It is unlikely Esther [Fuller] was called by her maiden name, as she had been married for a decade.

33 The rationale for including Eliza Snow in this category is covered in Chapter 19.

34 This idea of a proxy "husband" having little to do with the woman once they left the temple the day of the sealing is seen in the story of Mary Leammon, see Making It Up versus The Scientific Method, online 24 Apr 2014 at http://www.millennialstar.org/making-it-up-versus-the-scientific-method/retrieved.

35 It may be significant that Emma's only son to be named after a biblical polygamist was born after the 1843 ceremony where she entered into the New and Everlasting Covenant.

36 Recounted in Wright letter provided to the Church in the early 1900s as well as Holmes family history. See Chapter 7, page 49.

28 – Eradicating Spiritual Wifery

1 Hannah's authority to bargain away her husband's sons indicates that she was likely not a first wife.

See also D&C 28: 2, 7, 13.

2 A late account (Abraham H. Cannon Journal, 9 April 1890) indicates Brigham Young was asked to accuse William Smith of adultery and other sins. After the trial began, Joseph stood and cried, "Bro. Brigham, I will not listen to this abuse of my family a minute longer..." Cannon writes "A rupture between the two greatest men on earth seemed imminent."


6 Walker, William B. Smith: In the Shadow of a Prophet, pp. 141-142.

7 Walker, William B. Smith: In the Shadow of a Prophet, p. 147.

8 O'Donovan, Connell, "Black Priesthood and Priesthood Denial," The Persistence of Polygamy, Vol 2, pp. 52-53. Also Walker, William B. Smith, pp. 198-203, though Walker characterizes William's activities as merely unauthorized plural marriage rather than spiritual wifery. Joseph may have learned of William's incorrect understanding of the doctrine in spring of 1844, possibly prompting Joseph's comments to William Marks regarding the need to end this spiritual wife doctrine or polygamy.

9 Peay, Parley P., "This Number Closes the First Volume of the 'Prophet.'" Prophets, 1, no. 52 (May 24, 1845): 2. Cited by Kyle Walker in William B. Smith: In the Shadow of a Prophet, p. 245.


11 Huntington Jacobs Smith Young, Zina Dianntha, Diary entry of August 17, 1845, repeated in Beecher, "All Things Must in Order in the City: The Nauvoo Diary of Zina Dianntha Huntington Jacobs," BYU Studies 19, no. 3 (Spring 1979): 318.


14 The Council of Fifty Record for Feb 4, 1845, shows Lyman Wight was dropped from that Council prior to Lyman's emigration to Texas. Lyman's excommunication was documented in the General Church Minutes for Dec 3, 1848 and in Lyndon W. Cook's The Revelations of the Prophet Joseph Smith: A Historical and Biographical Commentary of the Doctrine and Covenants, Salt Lake City: Deseret Book, 1985.


16 I had inferred Henry Jacobs must have been excommunicated. While in Nauvoo in February 1846, I encountered a descendant of Zina and Henry and confidante of Oa Jacobs Cannon who confirmed that Henry had, in fact, been excommunicated upon his return from England.

17 Extreme measures were taken to ensure Zina and her children were not corrupted by their association with an excommunicated man, but this level of interference was rare. Agnes Coolbrith [Smith Smith Smith Pickert] was not rescued when her legal husband abandoned her. The relative neglect may have arisen because she was in California and had been sealed to Don Carlos rather than Joseph Smith in eternity.
29 – Fifty Years in the Wilderness

1 Modern long-term supply standards estimate a need for 300 lbs of grain per person for a year. This would be 1500 lbs of flour for a family of five. Pratt, Parley P. Parley indicated a family of five would need “1 good wagon, 3 yoke cattle, 2 cows, 2 beef cattle, 3 sheep, 1,000 lbs flour, 20 lbs sugar, 1 rifle and ammunition, a tent and tent poles, from 10 to 20 lbs seed to a family, from 25 to 100 lbs tools for farming, and a few other items…” cited by Hubert Howe Bancroft, History of Utah, Salt Lake, 1890, reprinted by Bookcraft, 1964, p. 214, footnote.

2 Rich, Russell R., Ensign to the Nations: A History of the LDS Church from 1846 to 1972, Provo, Utah: Brigham Young University Publications, Chapter 4 – Mormon Battalion, pp. 51-82. As mentioned in the previous note, this is seen in the first plural wives Wilford Woodruff marries. The young women appear to have been added to the Woodruff household primarily for economic reasons. When Wilford Woodruff requested that they refrain from staying out all night with young men, they refused. Wilford Woodruff sent the young ladies packing and asked that they have their men be whipped. Despite modern outrage that these young women were allegedly treated so harshly, these girls went on to be first wives who allowed their husbands to marry young teenage brides, as they had been when they joined the Woodruff household.

3 Emily Partridge’s diary mentions the death of her baby, and also discusses comforting Elvira when her baby died. The two babies are buried in the same “column” of the graveyard, a few rows apart because of the time elapsed between their deaths. If one stands to look at the angel plaque at the Pioneer gravesite at Winter Quarters, one is standing on the grave of Elvira’s baby daughter. The death of Lucy’s daughter Rachel was discussed on the final page of Chapter 17—Healing Wounded Hearts.
End Notes
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30 The Desert Word, Volume 42, Volume 52, p. 834, online 10 Apr 2014 at http://books.google.com/books?id=D2LUAABAAQBAJ&pg=PA834&dq=george+orger+Manwaring+released+and+sentenced+to+the+Penitentiary+of+Rich+and+Alice+Ann+Kimball. See also

Joseph_F._Smith#Marriages_and_family.


33 citizens demanding that Roberts be denied a seat in Congress take up several feet of space in the Library of Congress. The number of signatures on the petitions represent more than 50% of the enfranchised voters in the United States at the time. Unpublished research performed by Steven Statham, also verifiable by accessing the originals held at the U.S.

34 Archives and comparing numbers to the number of enfranchised voters in 1896. Roughly 14 million individuals cast a vote in the presidential election held that year, online 3 Aug 2014 at http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mormon_Political_Manifesto.


36 citizens demanding that Roberts be denied a seat in Congress take up several feet of space in the Library of Congress. The number of signatures on the petitions represent more than 50% of the enfranchised voters in the United States at the time. Unpublished research performed by Steven Statham, also verifiable by accessing the originals held at the U.S.

37 Archives and comparing numbers to the number of enfranchised voters in 1896. Roughly 14 million individuals cast a vote in the presidential election held that year, online 3 Aug 2014 at http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mormon_Political_Manifesto.

38 The LDS Church has never insisted that families must be monogamous in eternity, despite its increasingly harsh penalties against those who practice plural marriage in life.

39 It is clear these post-Manifesto marriages were not solemnized in accordance with Church policy. D&C 28 had made it clear that the prophet was to lead, though counsel could be given by others. D&C 132:7 clarified that only one person at any given time held the power to seal, though that power could be delegated, as Joseph had done with Hyrum and as Brigham did with Erastus Snow. By 1901 there were many to whom the power to officiate at sealings had been delegated. But any sealings that they performed that were not in accordance with the will of the prophet were not considered valid, as seen by Wilford Woodruff’s action in razing the Endowment House due to the report of an unauthorized sealing.

40 A few years later, John W. Taylor would marry John Woolley’s niece, Janet Maria Woolley. The sisters were grand-daughters of Jonathan and Elvira Annie Cowles. The Woodruff family had most recently been residing in Farmington, Utah, where she would...
Presumably Taylor and Cowley felt that marriages performed outside of the United States were still permitted under the 1904 Manifesto.

In March 1904 subpoenas were sent to Joseph F. Smith, M. W. Merrill, John W. Taylor, George Teasdale, Matthias F. Cowley, John Henry Smith, and Dr. Joseph M. Tanner, see Snyder and Snyder, Post Manifesto Polygamy: The 1899-1904 Correspondence of Voites, Owen, and Avery Woodruff, n. 33. Merrill and Teasdale were alienated, Taylor, Cowley, and Tanner went into hiding. Joseph F. Smith refused to do more than ask the rebellious men to testify, saying the hearings were a political matter, not a matter where he could exert spiritual command. Dr. Joseph M. Tanner was the husband of Annie Clark [Tanner], see notes 1-4 in this chapter.

John’s honeymoon with Ellen involved a large family camping trip with his plural wives and their children. Only Nettie, of John’s plural wives, refused to participate in the “honeymoon” trip. Ironically, Nettie’s letter to Ellen, written lest Nettie’s unwillingness to participate in the camping trip be misinterpreted as rejection of Ellen herself, is now the only extant contemporary record of the honeymoon trip.

John W. Taylor’s life is documented in Sam Taylor’s book Family Kingdom, a book the rest of the family would refer to as Nettie’s book, as Sam overwhelmingly featured his own mother’s interactions with John W. Taylor. The other wives did produce life sketches before their deaths, rounding out the picture of this particular post-Manifesto polygamous family.

John W. Taylor’s last big deal never came together. He died still owing over $30,000 to his second wife, Nellie Todd. After his death, she was not permitted to inherit any of his estate, as she was a plural wife.

According to Wikipedia, John W. Taylor’s blessing were secretly restored in 1965 by Joseph Fielding Smith, a few months after John’s first wife, May Leona Rich, turned 100. However John’s plural wives and their children were not aware of this restoration during their lifetimes.

Matthew Cowley joined the Quorum of the Twelve Apostles shortly after the excommunication of Richard Lyman for adultery, an adultery Richard Lyman had pretended was merely a plural marriage. Matthew’s presence in the quorum was no doubt a reminder of the price a man had to pay for believing in the mortal continuation of plural marriage.

A picture of the alleged revelation is extant, which appears to be written in John Taylor’s handwriting. But the original document is not publicly available. See 1886 Revelation, online 4 Aug 2014 at http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/1886_Revelation.


Others claim John W. Woolley was the rightful successor of Joseph F. Smith.

In Sam Taylor’s Family Kingdom, he describes his father, John W. Taylor, being approached after his excommunication by two groups. One group consisted of protestant preachers, who hoped to win the now-unknownized Taylor to their cause. He refused. The second group consisted of those wishing to reinstate polygamy, arguing that John W. Taylor could attract a large portion of the Church to his banner, were he to publicly declare a return to the teachings of his father, John Taylor. Again, John refused. Lorin Woolley’s publication of the account of the 1886 revelation likely followed John W. Taylor’s refusal. A belief that the end of the world might result if reproductive marriages were no longer solemnized within the New and Everlasting Covenant is loosely correlated with the allegory of the Olive grove, found in the Book of Mormon, Jacob 5 and is consistent with the language of Malachi 4:6.

The United Order is a form of Christian communalism attempted in the early days of the Church in which property is shared. This sort of communalism is described in the New Testament, in Acts 4:32-37.

Mark E. Petersen was the apostle who was called to replace excommunicated apostle Richard Lyman, whose adultery was inspired by a belief that plural marriage was still acceptable.

31 – God’s Strange Act: A Legacy

1 John Taylor and Hyrum Smith.
4 c.f. Malachi 4:5-6.
5 c.f. John 5: 28
6 c.f. D&C 138, John 1: 1-14. This ability for the individual to choose, combined with God’s justice and Christ’s mercy, is expected to result in individuals spending eternity in any of various desirable states, from a state where God is not to a state where all effort goes towards forwarding God’s plan of “bringing to pass the immortality and eternal life of Man.” Thus no one is forced to heaven against their will, nor is anyone consigned to hell due to an accident of birth.
7 D&C 133: 6
8 Some propose that Eliza was describing someone else or even society in general as the innocence seduced by the “rotten-hearted wretch.”
9 This assertion is based on Mary’s own affidavit before the Nauvoo High Council in 1842. See The Nauvoo City Council and High Council Minutes, John S. Dinger editor, Signature Books, Salt Lake City, 2011, pp. 424-426.
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